



**Matrix
Heritage**

SUPPLEMENTARY DOCUMENTATION

Stage 1 and 2 Archaeological Assessment:

Stones Lake
(PIN 57352-0111 and Part 5 Plan 49R-10129)
Part Lots 11 and 12, Concession 9,
Geographic Township of Bagot,
Township of Greater Madawaska,
Renfrew County, Ontario

Prepared For

Rick Rump
President
165141 Ontario Inc.
11728 Lanark Road
Calabogie, ON K0J 1H0
613-986-9261
Rump96@gmail.com

September 30, 2025

Stage 1 PIF: P1032-0007-2025
Stage 2 PIF: P1032-0022-2025

Andrea Jackson (License Number P1032)

Report: MH1390-SD.01

Matrix Heritage Inc.
6131 Perth Street
Richmond, Ontario
K0A 2Z0
Tel: (613) 807-2071
www.MatrixHeritage.ca

1.0 Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism Communicaion




RE: Request for Advice on Stage 1 Recommendations – PIF P1032-0007-2025

From Tulloch, Heather (MCM) <Heather.Tulloch@ontario.ca>

Date Fri 2025-05-23 9:14 AM

To Nadine Kopp <NKopp@matrixheritage.ca>

Cc Andrea Jackson <AJackson@matrixheritage.ca>; Archaeology (MCM) <archaeology@ontario.ca>

 3 attachments (5 MB)

LOCATION.pdf; METHODS KET COND.pdf; 24-2166 H-Model.pdf;

Hi Nadine,

Thank you for the project details. While the Ministry does support exceptions to Section 2.1, Standard 1, to assess the entire property, on a case-by-case basis depending on the project details, the Ministry is unable to support the proposed recommendations to limit the Stage 2 archaeological assessment to the area outlined in blue on the Map titled 'Methods, Key, Conditions'.

When determining whether a reduced Stage 2 project area may be acceptable it is essential that the area of assessment include all proposed impacts. The attached Site Plan related to the Application for a Zoning By-law Amendment depicts multiple areas of proposed impacts that have not been included in the area recommended for Stage 2 assessment. Specifically, there is proposed 40-unit apartment complex in the southwest corner of the property as well as proposed trails. These areas of impact cannot be excluded from archaeological assessment if the property has been determined to have archeological potential.

Additionally, in order to limit the Stage 2 assessment, the Ministry expects that the proposed development will be a single continuous area confined to a small part of the property and not leave any small or isolated areas unassessed. In this case, the proposed Stage 2 assessment area is an irregular shape spread across a large portion of the property and divides the property into multiple small and/or isolated areas.

Based on the project details the Ministry can support limiting the Stage 2 archaeological assessment to the western portion of the property that is being re-zoned. The Stage 2 assessment should include all of the property west of Stones Lake and north and west of the Proposed Re-Zoning Line (the dashed line that runs from the southern end of Stones Lake to the northeast corner of the residential property located at 11812 Lanark Road). Given the location of the property, if the property is appropriately documented to be located on the Canadian Shield, Section 2.1.5 may be applied which may reduce the amount of Stage 2 test pit survey required.

In terms of recommendations, the Stage 1 recommendations must make recommendations for the entire property based on archaeological potential according to Section 1.3, Standard 1. Given the property's location, Section 1.3.3 may also be applicable in the evaluation of archaeological potential and making recommendations for Stage 2 strategies. For clarity, I suggest making one recommendation that addresses the entire property, followed by a second recommendation that indicates the Stage 2 assessment may be limited to the western portion of the property that is being re-zoned according to the Application for a Zoning By-Law Amendment.

Following the Stage 1, if the eastern portion of the property is not subject to Stage 2 survey as part of the current re-zoning and development project, the Stage 2 report must include a recommendation that addresses any unassessed portions of the property that retain archaeological potential as determined by the Stage 1. The Stage 2 recommendation for the unassessed part of the property must reference a map that clearly depicts the area where Stage 2 archaeological assessment is still required.

Have a good weekend,

Heather

Please include a PDF copy of this advice as supplementary documentation to your project report package.

As a standard part of all advice provided to licensees, please note that this advice has been provided by this ministry under the assumption that the information submitted by the licensed archaeologist is complete and accurate. The advice provided applies only to the project in question and is not to be used as a precedent for future projects. Further measures may need to be taken in the event that additional artifacts or archaeological sites are identified or if the information provided by the licensed archaeologist is otherwise found to be inaccurate, incomplete, misleading, or fraudulent.

Heather Tulloch

Archaeology Review Officer | Heritage Operations Branch/Citizenship, Inclusion and Heritage Division
Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism | Ontario Public Service
613-790-0486 | heather.tulloch@ontario.ca



Taking pride in strengthening Ontario, its places and its people

From: Nadine Kopp <NKopp@matrixheritage.ca>
Sent: May 14, 2025 9:49 AM
To: Archaeology (MCM) <archaeology@ontario.ca>
Cc: Andrea Jackson <AJackson@matrixheritage.ca>
Subject: Request for Advice on Stage 1 Recommendations – PIF P1032-0007-2025

CAUTION -- EXTERNAL E-MAIL - Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender.

Good morning,

We are reaching out for guidance regarding our recommendations for a Stage 1 archaeological assessment under PIF P1032-0007-2025. The proponent is proposing a small hotel/cabin retreat development within a small, defined portion of the overall property within the Township of Greater Madawaska, Renfrew County.

I am aware the typical Planning Act triggered archaeological assessment requires assessment of the entire area under the application, however given the limited and clearly delineated development impact areas within a much larger development application boundary, we are recommending that the Stage 2 archaeological assessment be restricted to those areas of development impact. This targeted approach was previously implemented with MCM approval under PIF P369-0355-2023.

For reference, we have attached the following documents:

- Mapping of the overall project area
- The proponent's development plan
- Our recommendations, based on the provided mapping

Below are our draft recommendations for inclusion in the report:

1. Given the limited and defined areas of development impact within a significantly larger development application area, Stage 2 archaeological assessment shall be limited to areas of development impact within areas of archaeological potential, as shown outlined in blue on Map 3.

2. Stage 2 archaeological assessment be conducted by a licensed consultant archaeologist and shall follow the requirements set out in the 2011 Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists (MCM 2011).

Please let us know if you have any feedback or require further information.

Thanks,
Nadine

Nadine Kopp (she/her)



Partner and Senior Archaeologist

Phone 613-807-2071

Mobile 613-807-1124

Web <http://www.matrixheritage.ca>

Email nkopp@matrixheritage.ca

Office 6131 Perth St, Richmond, Ontario, K0A 2Z0

Mailing PO Box 69, Richmond, Ontario, K0A 2Z0