

2022 Annual Report

Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site (A412204)

Township of Greater Madawaska County of Renfrew, Ontario

February 17, 2023

Greenview File: 102.22.012





Executive Summary

This report has been prepared to document the results of the 2022 environmental monitoring program for the Township of Greater Madawaska's Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site, located on Part of Lot 13, Concession 5, in the geographic Township of Matawatchan, in the amalgamated Township of Greater Madawaska, in the County of Renfrew. Access to the site is provided by County Road 71 (Matawatchan Road), approximately one kilometre north of the Hamlet of Matawatchan.

The Matawatchan site was closed to all operations as of December 31, 2009, and prior to closure operated as an active waste disposal site, which was approved to accept municipal waste from the geographic Townships of Griffith and Matawatchan, in accordance with Environmental Compliance Approval A412204. The site consists of an approved waste disposal area of 2.3 hectares within a total property area of 4.9 hectares, inclusive of a 0.6 hectare road allowance. Additional lands acquired to the north and east of the site serve as a contaminant attenuation zone. In 2008, the Township of Greater Madawaska submitted an application to amend the Environmental Compliance Approval to approve final closure concepts at the Matawatchan site. The application to amend the Environmental Compliance Approval was approved with an amendment on February 26, 2010. Final closure activities were undertaken at the site in 2010 and 2011.

In 2022, the groundwater configuration at the site was similar to historical interpretations, with the predominant direction of groundwater flow in the shallow overburden unit being towards the east.

Groundwater quality at leachate monitoring well 91-2C was interpreted to be impacted from landfill-related factors, while downgradient monitoring wells 91-4A, 95-2A, and 95-2B were interpreted to have minor to no impacts resultant of landfill-related factors (including final closure activities). Monitoring well 95-3B was damaged at some point between spring and summer 2020 Attempts were made to repair the damage in summer 2021, but further investigation is required to obtain a sample. Significant impacts related to the closed Matawatchan site were not interpreted at the downgradient property boundary following completion of the 2022 groundwater monitoring program. Decreasing trends noted in groundwater quality results over the past five (5) years were interpreted to represent that landfill-related impacts are diminishing over time downgradient of the site.

No Reasonable Use Concept non-conformances were documented in results from downgradient monitoring well 95-2A in spring 2022, and no sample was obtained during the fall 2022 sampling event due to low-water conditions. No Reasonable Use Concept non-conformances were documented in results for monitoring well 95-2B in 2022. The Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site was not interpreted to be significantly impacting groundwater quality at the adjacent property boundary in 2022 and the site was interpreted to meet the intent of Guideline B-7.

With the inclusion of the 2022 surface water quality results, current and historical results at downstream location SW-2 were interpreted to suggest that significant impacts have not been occurring downstream of the Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site. The Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site was interpreted to be in conformance with Provincial Water Quality Objectives in 2022.

Given that the Matawatchan site has been closed since 2009, and since recent groundwater sampling events at the site have been interpreted to represent significant attenuation downgradient of the closed waste mound and conformance with Guideline B-7 at the downgradient property boundary, it is recommended that the Ontario Ministry of the Environment, Conservation, and Parks consider reductions in the scope of the groundwater monitoring program. Recommendations for changes to the program are included in Section 5.0 of this report.



Table of Contents

| 1.0 | Introduction | 1 |
|-------|---------------------------------------|----|
| 1.1 | Site Information | |
| 1.2 | Background | 1 |
| 1.3 | Purpose and Scope | 2 |
| 2.0 | Site Description | |
| 2.1 | Topography and Drainage | 3 |
| 2.2 | Hydrogeological Conditions | 3 |
| 2.3 | Land Use | 3 |
| 2.4 | Operational Setting | 3 |
| 3.0 | 2022 Environmental Monitoring Program | |
| 3.1 | Groundwater Monitoring | 5 |
| 3.2 | Surface Water Monitoring | 5 |
| 3.3 | Analytical Laboratory Accreditation | 6 |
| 3.4 | Landfill Gas Monitoring | 6 |
| 3.5 | Operational Monitoring | 6 |
| 4.0 | Environmental Monitoring Results | 7 |
| 4.1 | Groundwater Quality Assessment | 7 |
| 4.1.1 | Groundwater Configuration | 7 |
| 4.1.2 | Groundwater Quality | 7 |
| 4.1.3 | Reasonable Use Concept Assessment | 10 |
| 4.2 | Surface Water Quality Assessment | 12 |
| 4.3 | Operations Summary | 15 |
| 4.3.1 | Site Operations | 15 |
| 4.3.2 | Waste Disposal / Transfer Summary | 16 |
| 4.3.3 | Site Inspections and Maintenance | 16 |
| 4.3.4 | Complaints | 16 |
| 4.3.5 | Monitoring and Screening Checklist | 16 |
| 5.0 | Conclusions and Recommendations | 17 |
| 6.0 | Closing | 19 |
| 7.0 | References | 20 |



List of Tables

Table 1 2022 Groundwater and Surface Water Monitoring Program

Table 2 Groundwater Monitoring Well and Surface Water Sampling Locations

Table 3 Groundwater Elevations

Table 4 Groundwater Quality

Table 5 Groundwater Quality Compared to PWQO

Table 6 Surface Water Quality

Graphs

Graph 1 Trend Analysis – Total Dissolved Solids

List of Figures

Figure 1 Regional Location Plan

Figure 2 Existing Site Conditions Plan

Figure 3 Environmental Monitoring Location Plan – Spring 2022

Figure 4 Environmental Monitoring Location Plan – Fall 2022

List of Appendices

Appendix A Environmental Compliance Approval (A412204) and Certificate of Requirement

Appendix B Field Sampling Records

Appendix C Laboratory Certificates of Analysis

Appendix D Monitoring and Screening Checklist

Appendix E Statement of Service Conditions and Limitations



1.0 Introduction

1.1 Site Information

The Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site operates under Environmental Compliance Approval (ECA) A412204 and the most recent amendment dated February 26, 2010 (Appendix A). The Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site is located in the geographic Township of Matawatchan, in the amalgamated Township of Greater Madawaska (Township), on Part of Lot 13, Concession 5. The Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) coordinates at the site entrance gate relative to the North American Datum (NAD83) are 333853.0 metres (m) East, 5002303.0 m North, in Zone 18T (Google Earth, 2013). The site is accessed by County Road 71 (Matawatchan Road), approximately one (1) kilometre (km) north of the hamlet of Matawatchan (Figure 1).

The Matawatchan site consists of an approved waste disposal area (AWDA) of 2.3 hectares (ha) within a total property area of 4.9 ha, inclusive of a 0.6 ha road allowance (Figure 2). The lands to the north and east of the AWDA, within the total property area, serve as a contaminant attenuation zone (CAZ) for the site as proposed in the approved Closure Plan (Greenview Environmental Management Limited [Greenview], 2008a). The Township registered the CAZ lands on title on March 5, 2013 (Appendix A).

Since December 31, 2009, the Matawatchan site has been closed to the public and all waste operations in accordance with an application to amend the ECA and supporting technical information (Closure Plan; Greenview, 2008a), which was submitted to the Ontario Ministry of the Environment, Conservation, and Parks (MECP) on September 19, 2008. Prior to site closure, the Matawatchan site operated as an active waste disposal site, and was approved to accept municipal waste from the geographic Townships of Griffith and Matawatchan.

1.2 Background

As part of the Township's long-term waste management plan, the Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site was closed to all waste operations as of December 31, 2009. The Closure Plan (Greenview, 2008a) was approved in the most recent Amendment to the ECA for the site, dated February 26, 2010 (Appendix A).

On December 18, 2012, the Township submitted a Certificate of Requirement to the MECP, in accordance with Condition 1 (14) (a) of the ECA (Appendix A), regarding registration of the CAZ lands on title. On March 5, 2013, the Certificate of Requirement was registered on title to the site at the land registry office in accordance with Condition 1 (14) (b) of the ECA, and subsequently a duplicate registered copy was submitted to the MECP Director (Appendix A; Greenview, 2013).

In 2013, the Township also passed a new waste management by-law, By-law No. 09 - 2013, to maintain and regulate a system for the disposal of municipal waste, recyclables, and other refuse (Greenview, 2014).

On September 29, 2014, the Township received MECP Technical Support Section (TSS) groundwater review comments to the 2011 and 2012 Annual Reports (Greenview, 2012 and 2013), dated January 10, 2014 (Greenview, 2015). A response to the MECP TSS groundwater review of the 2011 and 2012 Annual Reports was included in the 2014 Annual Report (Greenview, 2015). As part of the MECP TSS review, the MECP approved the removal of the summer groundwater elevation measurement event for the Matawatchan site. This change was instituted for the 2015 groundwater monitoring program (Greenview, 2016).

In 2016, the MECP TSS issued a surface water review of the 2015 Annual Report for the Matawatchan site, dated July 5, 2016 (Greenview, 2017). As part of the review, the MECP TSS reviewer noted their agreement with Greenview's interpretation in the 2015 Annual Report (Greenview, 2016) that the surface water system in the vicinity of the Matawatchan site was in conformance with the Provincial Water Quality Objectives (PWQO) and the surface water system was not significantly impacted by the closed landfill (Greenview, 2017).

The Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site was inspected by the MECP Ottawa District Office on July 11, 2016. Subsequent to the inspection, a *Closed Waste Disposal Site Inspection Report* (Inspection Report) was issued by the MECP Ottawa District Office dated July 19, 2016, and was received by the Township electronically on



July 26, 2016 (Greenview, 2017). No action items were noted as part of the Inspection Report.

On October 30, 2017, the MECP TSS issued groundwater review comments to the 2016 Annual Report for the Matawatchan site (Greenview, 2018). The review noted that groundwater quality in 2016 was similar to previous years and was not interpreted to indicate significant adverse landfill-related impacts. A contingency plan was not deemed to be warranted at the time of the review.

In 2022, and as of the time of preparation of this 2022 Annual Report, no communications relative to the Matawatchan site were understood to have been received by the Township from the MECP.

Greenview was retained by the Township to complete the 2022 environmental monitoring and reporting program at the Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site.

1.3 Purpose and Scope

The purpose of this report is to provide an overview of the annual monitoring, environmental compliance, and operations at the Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site, in accordance with Condition 2 (3) and 2 (4) of the ECA (Appendix A), including the following:

- Groundwater quality assessment and Reasonable Use Concept (MECP Guideline B-7) compliance (Section 4.1).
- Surface water quality assessment (Section 4.2).
- Site operational overview (Section 4.3).
- Conclusions and recommendations (Section 5.0).



2.0 Site Description

The following sections present a summary of the physical characteristics for the Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site. Locations of features described in this report are referenced to grid north.

2.1 Topography and Drainage

The former landfilling area at the Matawatchan site is located on a bedrock ridge that slopes generally to the northeast of the site (Figure 2). Based on the northeastern trending slope, the direction of surface water drainage and groundwater flow in the vicinity of the former landfilling area is predominantly to the east (Figures 3 and 4). The western property boundary of the site acts as a drainage divide with surface water to the west of this boundary flowing westward towards a seasonal creek between the site and Matawatchan Road, where it is diverted southward towards an intermittent creek (Figures 3 and 4). The nearest significant water body in the vicinity of the Matawatchan site is Centennial (Black Donald) Lake, which is located approximately 2 km to the east (Greenview, 2009).

2.2 Hydrogeological Conditions

Overburden geology at the Matawatchan site is characterized by subsurface layers of sand and silty sand from 1.8 metres (m) to 3.3 m (recorded at boreholes 91-4 and 91-5), with pockets of sand and gravel underlying the refuse at the site (Golder, 2007). Gneiss bedrock is found at the site, ranging in depth from 2.0 to 7.0 m below ground surface, with the sand and gravel to silty sand overburden overlying the bedrock unit. Overburden depths are greater at the eastern portion of the site, given the relief of the natural topography sloping towards the east, and the layers of sand and refuse located on the eastern slope of the site in this area (Golder Associates Ltd. [Golder], 2007). Bedrock outcrops are visible to the west of the waste mound at the site, in the vicinity of monitoring well 95-4, indicating that overburden thickness is generally shallow in this area. Immediately to the south of the site is a steep bedrock ridge overlain by limited to no overburden material. Bedrock outcropping to surface is visible along most of the eastward-trending ridge; the private lands south of the bedrock ridge are at a significantly lower elevation than the Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site (Figure 2). No groundwater-to-surface water interactions have been observed along the extent of the eastward-trending bedrock ridge.

Hydraulic conductivity values for overburden geology at the site have been estimated at $1x10^{-7}$ to $2x10^{-3}$ centimetres per second (cm/s) for silt, $2x10^{-5}$ to $2x10^{-2}$ cm/s for fine to medium sand, and $3x10^{-2}$ to 3 cm/s for gravel (Sonderegger and Wade, 2001).

Borehole logs recorded during monitoring well installations at the site are not available.

Based on site topography and annual groundwater monitoring at the site, groundwater at the Matawatchan site is interpreted to flow predominantly to the east (Figures 3 and 4).

2.3 Land Use

The land use designation for the Matawatchan site is Waste Disposal (WD), per the County's Official Plan. The property is bound to the south by private property designated as Rural (RU), and to the west, north, and east by designated Renfrew County Forest lands (CFR). The Renfrew County Forest is owned and managed by the County of Renfrew. The Matawatchan site has been closed to all waste operations since December 31, 2009.

2.4 Operational Setting

The Matawatchan site consists of an AWDA of 2.3 ha within a total property area of 4.9 ha, inclusive of a 0.6 ha road allowance (Figure 2). The land to the north and east of the AWDA, within the total property area, serves as a CAZ for the site as proposed in the Closure Plan (Greenview, 2008a). The Closure Plan (Greenview, 2008a) and the application to amend the site's ECA were approved by the MECP with the Amendment to the ECA dated February 26, 2010 (Appendix A). On December 18, 2012, the Township submitted



a Certificate of Requirement to the MECP regarding registration of the CAZ lands on title, in accordance with Condition 1 (14) (a) of the ECA (Appendix A). On March 5, 2013, the Certificate of Requirement was registered on title to the site at the land registry office in accordance with Condition 1 (14) (b) of the ECA, and subsequently a duplicate registered copy was submitted to the MECP Director (Appendix A; Greenview, 2013).

Prior to site closure on December 31, 2009, the Matawatchan site operated as an active waste disposal site, and was approved to accept municipal waste from the service area of the geographic Townships of Griffith and Matawatchan. Access to the site is provided by County Road 71 (Matawatchan Road), approximately one (1) km north of the Hamlet of Matawatchan (Figure 1). The site is surrounded primarily by forested lands, with a lowland area located to the east of the site (Figure 2).

As part of the Township's long-term waste management plan, the Matawatchan site was closed to all operations on December 31, 2009. The application of 600 millimetres (mm) of barrier soil to satisfy final cover requirements of the waste mound was substantially completed in late 2010 (Greenview, 2011). The Township completed additional final cover application, grading, and seeding of the site in order to satisfy final cover requirements in spring 2011. Final cover application in spring 2011 included additional barrier soil (600 mm) placement in the northeastern portion of the former landfilling area and vegetative cover (150 mm) placement over the entire former landfilling area. Monitoring well 91-2C was extended concurrent with final cover application in the northeastern corner of the former landfilling area (Figure 2).



3.0 2022 Environmental Monitoring Program

The following sections present a methodology of the environmental monitoring program conducted at the Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site in 2022.

3.1 Groundwater Monitoring

Groundwater monitoring and sampling activities were conducted at the site by Greenview on May 31, 2022, and November 01, 2022, from the site's network of groundwater monitoring wells as part of the 2022 environmental monitoring program (Figures 3 and 4; Table 1). The UTM coordinates of the groundwater monitoring wells were confirmed or measured by Greenview personnel during site visits in 2022 using a handheld geographic positioning system (GPS) instrument with an anticipated accuracy of within +/- 5 m (Table 2). Groundwater sampling was conducted in accordance with Condition 2 (2) of the ECA for the Matawatchan site (Appendix A).

Further to MECP TSS review comments to the Closure Plan (Greenview, 2010), shallow monitoring well 95-2A was added to the environmental monitoring program in 2010 (Table 1). Additionally, monitoring well 91-4A is compared annually to the Provincial Water Quality Objectives (PWQO) given that groundwater is interpreted to discharge to surface in the vicinity of 91-4A, based on the historically observed flowing conditions during spring sampling events (Greenview, 2009). During the spring and fall sampling events in 2022, groundwater elevations were measured at each monitoring well using an electronic water level tape prior to sampling. Based on the groundwater elevations, well purge volumes equivalent to approximately three (3) borehole volumes were calculated in-situ using a standard conversion factor relevant to the respective well diameter.

During the spring and fall 2022 sampling events, monitoring well 95-3B was observed to be damaged and no sample was obtained. Monitoring well 95-3B was partially repaired by Greenview in summer 2021, but further repairs are needed to obtain a sample. During the 2022 fall sampling event, monitoring well 95-2A was observed to have insufficient water to sample (Appendix B).

Groundwater samples were collected from each monitoring well using dedicated polyethylene tubing and inertial lift foot-valves. Samples were collected into appropriate sample bottles, as provided by an accredited laboratory, and the designated sample for metal parameters was field-filtered using a dedicated high capacity 45-micron filter to reduce the potential for turbidity induced bias in the analytical results for the metal parameters.

A duplicate groundwater sample was collected for Quality Assurance and Quality Control (QA/QC) purposes from SW-3 and monitoring well 95-1 for the spring and fall 2022 sampling events, respectively (Appendix B).

All samples were submitted to an accredited analytical laboratory to be analyzed for the parameter suite listed in Table 1.

Field measurements of pH, conductivity, dissolved oxygen (DO) and temperature were recorded at each respective groundwater well immediately following the collection of the groundwater samples. Field sampling records completed during the 2022 monitoring program are included in Appendix B. The groundwater samples were recorded on a laboratory Chain of Custody Form, and placed in coolers packed with contained ice for preservation during transport to the analytical laboratory.

The results of the 2022 groundwater monitoring program are presented in Section 4.1.

3.2 Surface Water Monitoring

Surface water monitoring and sampling activities were conducted by Greenview on May 31, 2022, and November 01, 2022, from the established surface water monitoring locations at the site (Figure 2), in accordance with Condition 2 (2) of the ECA for the Matawatchan site (Appendix A). The UTM coordinates of the surface monitoring locations were confirmed or measured by Greenview personnel during site visits in 2022 using a handheld GPS instrument with an anticipated accuracy of within +/- 5 m (Table 2).



The surface water samples were collected by submerging a dedicated, non-preserved, sample container into the water body and decanting into preserved sample bottles so as not to displace preservative chemicals.

Surface water sampling location SW-3 was sampled during both the spring and fall 2022 sampling events, while location SW-1 was observed to have insufficient water to sample during both sampling events in 2022. Sampling location SW-2 had insufficient water to sample in fall 2022 (Appendix B).

A duplicate surface water sample was collected for QA/QC purposes from surface water sampling location SW-3 for both the spring and fall 2022 sampling events (Appendix B).

All samples were submitted to an accredited analytical laboratory to be analyzed for the parameter suite listed in Table 1.

Field measurements of pH, conductivity, DO, and temperature were recorded at SW-2 and SW-3 during the collection of the surface water samples. Physical characteristics including depth, width, and flow velocity of each surface water location were recorded at the time of sampling. Field sampling records completed during the 2022 monitoring program are included in Appendix B. The surface water samples were recorded on a laboratory Chain of Custody Form, and placed in coolers packed with contained ice for preservation during transport to the analytical laboratory.

The results of the 2022 surface water monitoring program are presented in Section 4.2.

3.3 Analytical Laboratory Accreditation

Collected groundwater and surface water samples were submitted for analysis to the Caduceon Environmental Laboratories (Caduceon), located in Kingston, Ontario. Caduceon is accredited by the Canadian Association for Laboratory Accreditation (CALA), for specific environmental testing procedures listed in the scope of accreditation and is assessed biannually by CALA to the ISO/IEC 17025 standard. ISO/IEC 17025 is an international standard for both quality management and technical aspects of operating a testing laboratory. Caduceon is licensed by the MECP to perform analysis on drinking water in Ontario in accordance with the Safe Drinking Water Act.

3.4 Landfill Gas Monitoring

Landfill gas monitoring is not part of the current environmental monitoring program for the site. The waste mound at the Matawatchan site is covered with porous soil materials, allowing natural gas flux to the atmosphere. Overburden geology at and adjacent to the site is characterized by shallow, sandy materials, overlying a dense bedrock unit. These overburden and bedrock characteristics, coupled with the extended distance to the nearest residence, provide a minimal risk of landfill gases impinging off-site receivers.

3.5 Operational Monitoring

Operational monitoring at the Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site is minimal, given that the site was closed to all waste activities on December 31, 2009. On September 27, 2011, a survey was conducted to update the existing topographic data for the Matawatchan site following completion of final closure activities in 2011, which included the application of vegetative cover.

Waste record keeping and reporting were not conducted for the Matawatchan site in 2022, as the site was closed to operations and did not receive waste or recyclables for disposal, transfer, or market.

In 2022, all monitoring wells at the Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site were observed to be in good condition and in compliance with Ontario Regulation 903 (O. Reg. 903), as amended, with the exception of monitoring well 95-3B, which was observed to be damaged at some point between spring and summer 2020. Monitoring well 95-3B was repaired by Greenview in summer 2021 and was observed to be dry in 2022 (Appendix B). Further examination and/or repairs will be needed to acquire a sample.



4.0 Environmental Monitoring Results

The following sections present a summary of the environmental monitoring results of the 2022 environmental monitoring program conducted at the Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site.

4.1 Groundwater Quality Assessment

The results of the 2022 groundwater monitoring program conducted at the site are presented as follows.

4.1.1 Groundwater Configuration

Historically, groundwater at the site has been interpreted to flow towards the east, in the general direction of the gully immediately east of the site (Golder, 2007). The interpreted groundwater configuration at the Matawatchan site in the shallow overburden unit in 2022 was generally consistent with historical interpretations (Greenview, 2022), as groundwater was interpreted to flow predominantly to the east (Figures 3 and 4).

Groundwater elevation data obtained during the 2022 groundwater monitoring program at the site are provided in Table 3. Average horizontal gradients in the vicinity of the waste mound and to the east of the site in 2022 were calculated as follows:

| Location | Horizontal Gradient | Predominant | Horizontal Gradient | Predominant |
|-------------------------|---------------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------|
| | (Spring 2022) | Direction | (Fall 2022) | Direction |
| Vicinity of waste mound | 0.162 | East | 0.154 | East |

Using groundwater elevations measured in 2022 (Table 3), vertical hydraulic gradients were calculated at the following pairs of shallow and deep monitoring wells for the spring and fall groundwater elevation monitoring events. The vertical gradients calculated in 2022 were as follows:

| Monitoring Wells | Vertical Gradient (Spring 2022) | Vertical Gradient (Fall 2022) |
|------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 91-2A and 91-2B | - 0.004 | 0.016 |
| 95-2A and 95-2B | - 0.256 | - 0.232 |

Typically, vertical gradients at monitoring well pairs 91-2A/91-2B and 95-2A/95-2B have been downward for both the spring and fall sampling events (i.e. 2020, 2018, 2014, 2012, and 2011); however, upward vertical gradients (or zero gradients) have been documented periodically for monitoring well pair 91-2A/91-2B (i.e. 2022, 2017, 2015, and 2010). Monitoring well 95-2A has also been observed to be dry during the fall sampling event (i.e. 2019, 2016 and 2013), and less frequently during the spring sampling event (i.e. 2013), resulting in no calculation of vertical gradient at this monitoring well pair location (Table 3).

4.1.2 Groundwater Quality

The results of the 2022 groundwater monitoring program are presented in Table 4 and the accredited laboratory Certificates of Analysis are attached in Appendix C. Analytical data obtained from the groundwater samples were compared to the Ontario Drinking Water Standards (ODWS; MECP, 2006), median background groundwater quality at the site, and MECP Guideline B-7 and the RUC (MECP, 1994a). Additionally, given that groundwater was interpreted to discharge to surface in the vicinity of 91-4A, based on the historically observed flowing conditions of the well in previous spring sampling events (Table 3; Greenview, 2022), groundwater quality results at monitoring well 91-4A were compared with the PWQO (MECP, 1994b) and Table B of the MECP Technical Guidance Document (TGD, MECP, 2010). Trend analysis was completed using results from the previous five (5) years and only significant trends are discussed.

The blind duplicate samples collected from SW-3 and monitoring well 95-1 during the spring and fall 2022



sampling events were similar to the identified samples, indicating that the results of the 2022 groundwater monitoring program can be interpreted with confidence.

Consistent with historical results (Greenview, 2022), background groundwater quality at the Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site in 2022 was assessed at monitoring wells 95-1 and 95-4, located approximately 70 m and 45 m west and upgradient, respectively, of the existing limit of waste (Figures 3 and 4). In 2022, some parameter concentrations in the samples collected from background wells 95-1 and 95-4 were above the median background concentrations (Table 4). Non-conformances of ODWS and significant groundwater trends at background groundwater monitoring locations 95-1 and 95-4 were as follows (Table 4):

| Manitanina VAIaII | ODWS Non-Conformance | | Five (5) Year Trend Analysis | |
|----------------------|----------------------|---|---|--------------------------|
| Monitoring Well | Spring 2022 | Fall 2022 | Increasing | Decreasing |
| 95-1 (background) | Iron Manganese | IronManganesepH (low; field-tested) | Calcium Conductivity (labtested) Magnesium Strontium Cation Sum | No significant trends |
| 95-4 (background) | • None | • None | No significant trends | No significant trends |

Concentrations of iron and manganese above the ODWS limits in the results from monitoring well 95-1 in spring and fall 2022 was interpreted to be the result of naturally-occurring conditions in the background at the site and/or related to off-site sources (Table 4). Historically, monitoring well 95-4 had high concentrations of iron and manganese in the results of previous years (Table 4). Low pH values have also periodically been documented in results at background monitoring wells 95-1 and 95-4. Results from 95-1 and 95-4 were interpreted to continue to be representative of background groundwater quality at the Matawatchan site in 2022.

Monitoring well 91-2C, located within the existing limit of waste at the site, has historically been used to characterize leachate quality at the Matawatchan site (Figures 3 and 4). In 2022, most parameter concentrations in samples collected from leachate well 91-2C were above the median background concentrations (Table 4). Non-conformances of ODWS and significant groundwater trends at leachate groundwater monitoring location 91-2C were as follows (Table 4):

| Manitarina Wall | ODWS Non-Conformance | | Five (5) Year Trend Analysis | |
|-----------------|----------------------|-----------|------------------------------|----------------------|
| Monitoring Well | Spring 2022 | Fall 2022 | Increasing | Decreasing |
| 91-2C | Manganese | Manganese | No significant trends | Ammonia Chloride |

In 2022, groundwater samples collected from 91-2C did not exhibit non-conformances of ODWS for concentrations of volatile organic compounds (VOC; Table 4). Groundwater quality at 91-2C was interpreted to be impacted from landfill-related factors in 2022, consistent with the historical interpretation that groundwater results from 91-2C characterize leachate quality at the site (Greenview, 2022). Decreasing trends noted in groundwater quality results over the past five (5) years were interpreted to represent that landfill-related impacts at 91-2C are diminishing over time. Iron concentrations at 91-2C (leachate well) have generally been historically lower than those documented in the background at monitoring wells 95-1 and 95-4 (Table 4).

Groundwater monitoring well 91-4A is located east and approximately 50 m downgradient of the limit of waste (Figures 3 and 4). Consistent with historical results, flowing conditions were observed for 91-4A during the spring 2022 groundwater sampling event at the Matawatchan site (Table 3; Appendix B). In 2022, many parameter



concentrations in samples collected from 91-4A were above the median background concentrations (Table 4). Non-conformances of ODWS and significant groundwater trends at groundwater monitoring location 91-4A were as follows (Table 4):

| Manufer of our March | ODWS Non-Conformance | | Five (5) Year Trend Analysis | |
|----------------------|----------------------|-----------|------------------------------|------------|
| Monitoring Well | Spring 2022 | Fall 2022 | Increasing | Decreasing |
| 91-4A | None | None | No significant trends | Nitrate |

Based on 2022 results, 91-4A was not interpreted to be significantly impacted by landfill-related factors (Table 4; Figures 3 and 4). Consistent with recent results, impacts related to the closed waste mound were interpreted to be decreasing with time in the vicinity of 91-4A (Greenview, 2022).

As noted in previous MECP TSS surface water review comments (Greenview, 2009), it was recommended that groundwater quality from monitoring well 91-4A be compared to the PWQO (MECP, 1994b), given that groundwater was interpreted to discharge to surface in the vicinity of 91-4A based on the observation of flowing conditions of the well during historical spring sampling events (Table 3). Non-conformances of PWQO and Table B of the TGD at 91-4A (and from background monitoring wells 95-1 and 95-4 for comparison purposes) were as follows (Table 5):

| Manitanina Wall | PWQO Non-Conformance | | Table B of TGD Non-Conformance | |
|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------|
| Monitoring Well | Spring 2022 | Fall 2022 | Spring 2022 | Fall 2022 |
| 95-1 (background) | • Iron | Iron pH (low; field-tested) | • None | None |
| 95-4 (background) | Copper Phenols | Copper | • None | • None |
| 91-4A | • Boron | • Boron | • None | • None |

Consistent with historical results, boron concentrations were not in conformance with the PWQO for the spring and fall 2022 sampling events at 91-4A (Table 5). However, the concentration of boron did not exceed the limits of Table B of the TGD in spring and fall 2022 at 91-4A. Historically, minor non-conformances of the Table B limit for concentrations of nitrite were documented in both background monitoring wells 95-1 and 95-4, in addition to monitoring well 91-4A. Given that the non-conformances for nitrite were noted in both background wells, as well as in monitoring well 91-4A, the non-conformances were not interpreted to be significant.

Monitoring well 95-3B is located approximately 40 m southeast of monitoring well 91-4A, and approximately 80 m east and downgradient of the limit of waste at the Matawatchan site (Figures 3 and 4). A detailed review of the condition of monitoring well 95-3B was completed as part of the spring 2021 sampling event. Based on the condition assessment, attempts were made to repair the damaged well by Greenview in summer 2021, but further repairs may be required. During the spring and fall 2022 sampling events, monitoring well 95-3B was documented to be damaged and no sample was obtained. Non-conformances of ODWS and significant groundwater trends at groundwater monitoring location 95-3B were as follows (Table 4):



| Manitarina Wall | ODWS Non-Conformance | | Five (5) Year Trend Analysis | |
|-----------------|---|---|--|--|
| Monitoring Well | Spring 2022 | Fall 2022 | Increasing | Decreasing |
| 95-3B | Insufficient water for sampling purposes (damaged well) | Insufficient water for sampling purposes (damaged well) | Insufficient water for trend analysis (damaged well) | Insufficient water for trend analysis (damaged well) |

Monitoring well 95-3B will continue to be monitored in future groundwater sampling events at the Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site once further repairs are made.

Groundwater quality at the southeastern downgradient property boundary at the Matawatchan site was assessed at nested monitoring wells 95-2A and 95-2B, which are located approximately 85 m east of the limit of waste (Figures 3 and 4). 95-2A was added to the environmental monitoring program in 2010, in accordance with recommendations of the MECP TSS review comments to the Closure Plan (Greenview, 2010). In 2022, most parameter concentrations in samples collected from 95-2A and 95-2B were above the median background concentrations (Table 4). Consistent with recent historical results (Greenview, 2022), monitoring well 95-2A was not sampled in fall 2022, as it was observed to have insufficient water for sampling purposes (Appendix B). Nonconformances of ODWS and significant groundwater trends at groundwater monitoring locations 95-2A and 95-2B were as follows (Table 4):

| Manitanina Wall | ODWS Non-Conformance | | Five (5) Year Trend Analysis | |
|-----------------|----------------------|---|---|---|
| Monitoring Well | Spring 2022 | Fall 2022 | Increasing | Decreasing |
| 95-2A | • None | Insufficient water for sampling purposes | Alkalinity Boron Calcium Conductivity (labtested) Magnesium Potassium Strontium TDS Cation Sum Anion Sum | AmmoniapH (field-tested) |
| 95-2B | None | • None | Copper | Ammonia |

Neither 95-2A or 95-2B were historically interpreted to be significantly impacted from landfill-related factors (Table 4). Impacts related to the closed waste mound were interpreted to be decreasing with time in the vicinity of 95-2A and 95-2B.

4.1.3 Reasonable Use Concept Assessment

In an effort to assess potential landfill-related impacts migrating beyond the site boundary, the RUC was used as an assessment tool to monitor downgradient impacts from the Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site. Downgradient impacts are typically assessed using the MECP RUC at monitoring wells located at, or in close proximity to, the downgradient property boundary. The downgradient monitoring wells located near the property boundary were compared to trigger concentrations for specific parameters as determined by groundwater quality at the site using the RUC for groundwater (MECP Procedure B-7-1, 1994a).

The MECP Procedure B-7-1: Determination of Contaminant Limits and Attenuation Zones iterates that in accordance with the appropriate criteria for particular uses, a change in groundwater quality on an adjacent property as a result of landfilling activities will only be accepted by the MECP as follows:



The quality cannot be degraded by an amount in excess of 50% of the difference between background and the Ontario Drinking Water Standards for non-health related parameters and in excess of 25% of the difference between background and the Ontario Drinking Water Standards for health-related parameters. Background is considered to be the quality of the groundwater prior to any man-made contamination.

MECP Procedure B-7-1

The RUC assessment was conducted using the concepts and procedures outlined in MECP Procedure B-7-1 (MECP, 1994a), specifically using the median value of individual parameter concentrations from background monitoring wells 95-1 and 95-4 to characterize natural groundwater quality at the site. Groundwater monitoring wells 95-2A and 95-2B were used for monitoring downgradient impacts at the property boundary southeast of the Matawatchan site, and for assessing site compliance with the RUC and MECP Guideline B-7.

All parameters tested as part of the established annual monitoring program were used as groundwater triggers, and a respective RUC criteria value was calculated for each parameter at the Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site. The trigger concentrations used to assess RUC compliance for the groundwater regime at the site are based on the MECP RUC for each of the respective parameters.

The RUC values for individual parameters should be generated each year based on analytical results obtained from the groundwater monitoring program. If RUC exceedances are noted, then action will be undertaken as appropriate and necessary in accordance with a defined groundwater contingency plan for the site. In cases where a groundwater contingency plan is not defined, a meeting with representatives of the district MECP office should be held to develop an appropriate contingency plan, as necessary and appropriate for the particular site.

Non-conformances of RUC in spring and fall 2022 from groundwater results at downgradient monitoring wells 95-2A and 95-2B (and from background monitoring wells 95-1 and 95-4 for comparison purposes) are included in the table below:

| Manifestina Mall | RUC Non-Conformance | | |
|-------------------|---------------------|---|--|
| Monitoring Well | Spring 2022 | Fall 2022 | |
| 95-1 (background) | Iron Manganese | IronManganesepH (low; field-tested) | |
| 95-4 (background) | • None | • None | |
| 95-2A | None | Insufficient water for sampling purposes | |
| 95 - 2B | None | • None | |

No RUC non-conformances were documented in downgradient monitoring wells 95-2A and 95-2B during the sampling events in 2022. During the fall 2022 sampling event, no sample was obtained from 95-2A due to insufficient water conditions (Table 4). Historically, downgradient well 95-2B had RUC non-conformances for concentrations of alkalinity, iron, and TDS. The RUC non-conformances historically noted from monitoring well 95-2B were attributed to the low groundwater conditions noted across the site and not to landfill-related factors. The concentrations of alkalinity and TDS were only slightly elevated above the RUC limit, while the iron concentration in previous results from 95-2B was noted to be less than the concentration documented in background monitoring well 95-1 for the same previous sampling event (Table 4). RUC non-conformances for concentrations of iron and manganese were noted in the 2022 results for background well 95-1; as well as a non-conformance for the parameter pH (low; field-tested) in fall 2022 for 95-1. No RUC non-conformances were documented in results for background monitoring well 95-4 during the spring and fall sampling events in 2022 (Table 4).



There are no known users of groundwater immediately downgradient and southeast of the site, and as no RUC non-conformances attributed to landfill-related impacts were documented in 2022 results from at the downgradient monitoring wells located near the downgradient property boundary, the Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site was not interpreted to be significantly impacting groundwater quality at the adjacent property boundary in 2022 and the site was interpreted to meet the intent of MECP Guideline B-7.

Consistent with previous Annual Reports (Greenview, 2021), for trend analysis review purposes, Graph 1 (Trend Analysis – Total Dissolved Solids) was prepared for this report to demonstrate that since 2011, TDS concentrations in leachate monitoring well 91-2C, and RUC monitoring wells 95-2A and 95-2B, were interpreted to be generally decreasing. Based on documented groundwater quality results (Table 4), impacts related to the closed landfill were interpreted to be decreasing with time. Continued sampling and analysis are required to monitor site conformance with Guideline B-7 and to confirm the interpreted decreasing trends for TDS downgradient of the Matawatchan site.

4.2 Surface Water Quality Assessment

As part of the spring and fall 2022 surface water sampling events, physical characteristics of sampling locations SW-1, SW-2, and SW-3 (background) were recorded.

For the spring 2022 sampling event, depth (m), width (m), velocity (metres per second, m/s), and discharge (cubic metres per second, m³/s) were measured and calculated with results as follows:

| Spring 2022 | | | | |
|----------------------|-----------|--|----------------|------------------|
| Sample Location | Depth (m) | Width (m) | Velocity (m/s) | Discharge (m³/s) |
| SW-1 | | Insufficient water for sampling purposes | | |
| SW-2 | 0.15 | 1.00 | 0.17 | 0.011 |
| SW-3 (background) | 0.20 | 0.50 | 0.17 | 0.015 |

For the fall 2022 sampling event, depth (m), width (m), velocity (m/s), and discharge (m³/s) were measured and calculated with results as follows:

| Fall 2022 | | | | |
|----------------------|--|-----------|---------------------|------------------|
| Sample Location | Depth (m) | Width (m) | Velocity (m/s) | Discharge (m³/s) |
| SW-1 | Insufficient water for sampling purposes | | | |
| SW-2 | Insufficient water for sampling purposes | | | |
| SW-3 (background) | 0.15 | 0.50 | No discernible flow | |

Surface water quality results for the Matawatchan site were compared to PWQO (MECP, 1994b) and the results of the 2022 surface water monitoring program are presented in Table 6. Surface water quality results were also compared with the Canadian Water Quality Guidelines (CWQG; Canadian Council of Resource and Environment Ministers [CCREM], 1987) for select parameters, in accordance with Table B of the MECP Technical Guidance Document (TGD; MECP, 2010). Accredited laboratory Certificates of Analysis for the surface water quality results are provided In Appendix C. Background surface water quality was established using the median of a minimum of the previous ten (10) sampling event results from background surface water monitoring location SW-3. Trend analysis was completed using results from the previous five (5) years and only significant trends are discussed in this report.


No surface water samples were obtained as part of the spring and fall 2022 sampling event from sampling location SW-1, as it was observed to have insufficient water to sample (dry) at the time of sampling



(Appendix B).

The blind duplicate sample collected at surface water location SW-3 during the spring and fall 2022 sampling events were similar to the identified samples, indicating that the results of the 2022 surface water monitoring program can be interpreted with confidence.

In spring and fall 2022, background surface water quality at the Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site was assessed at surface water sampling location SW-3, located on the intermittent creek south of the site and upstream of a small low-lying area southeast of the site (Figure 2). The following photographs are representative of background surface water location SW-3:





In 2022, some parameter concentrations at SW-3 in spring and fall 2022 were above median background surface water quality at the site (Table 6). Non-conformances of PWQO, and significant trends, at background surface water sampling location SW-3 were as follows (Table 6):

| Committee Location | PWQO Noi | n-Conformance | Five (5) Year | Trend Analysis |
|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---|-------------------------|
| Sampling Location | Spring 2022 | Fall 2022 | Increasing | Decreasing |
| SW-3 (background) | Iron Phosphorus | Iron Phosphorus | Alkalinity Calcium Conductivity (labtested) Strontium Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN) Cation Sum | Anion-Cation Balance |

Surface water quality at SW-3 in 2022 was interpreted to be generally consistent with historical results (Table 6). Iron and phosphorus concentrations were noted to be elevated in background surface water quality at SW-3 during the spring and fall sampling events, and the noted non-conformances of the PWQO were attributed to naturally occurring conditions at the site and/or off-site sources (Table 6). In spring and fall 2022, the concentrations of cadmium was in non-conformance with the limits of Table B of the TGD for results from background surface water location SW-3. Surface water quality at SW-3 was interpreted to be generally stable over time and continued to be representative of background surface water quality at the site in 2022.

Surface water quality immediately to the east of the waste mound was assessed at SW-1, located approximately 60 m downgradient of the limit of waste along an intermittent stream in the gully east of the site. The following



photographs are representative of surface water location SW-1 in 2022:






Surface water location SW-1 is located in the vicinity of the historically observed groundwater seep and well 91-4A (Figures 3 and 4). Consistent with historical results, SW-1 was observed to have insufficient water to sample in spring and fall 2022 (Greenview, 2022; Appendix B). The intermittent stream on which SW-1 is located terminates to underground near downgradient monitoring well 95-2B (Figures 3 and 4), and is not directly connected to the surface water channel along which SW-3 (background) and SW-2 are located. Nonconformances of PWQO, and significant trends, at surface water sampling location SW-1 were as follows (Table 6):

| Compliant costion | PWQO Non-0 | Conformance | Five (5) Year T | rend Analysis |
|-------------------|--|---|--|---|
| Sampling Location | Spring 2022 | Fall 2022 | Increasing | Decreasing |
| SW-1 | Insufficient water for sampling purposes | Insufficient water for sampling purposes | Insufficient water for sampling purposes | Insufficient water for sampling purposes |

Surface water location SW-1 will continue to be monitored in future surface water sampling events at the Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site.

Surface water sampling location SW-2 is located southeast of the Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site on an intermittent creek, downstream of the small low-lying area, as shown on Figure 2. The following photographs are representative of surface water location SW-2 in 2022:







In 2022, few parameter concentrations at SW-2 in spring and fall 2022 were above median background surface water quality at the site (Table 6). Non-conformances of PWQO, and significant trends, at surface water sampling location SW-2 were as follows (Table 6):

| Committee Location | PWQO Non- | Conformance | Five (5) Year 1 | rend Analysis |
|--------------------|-------------|---|-----------------------|----------------------------------|
| Sampling Location | Spring 2022 | Fall 2022 | Increasing | Decreasing |
| SW-2 | Phosphorus | Insufficient water for sampling purposes | No significant trends | Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) |

In spring 2022, the concentrations of cadmium was in non-conformance with the limits of Table B of the TGD for results from downstream surface water location SW-2. Historically, in spring 2021, nitrite was in non-conformance with the limits of Table B of the TGD for SW-2. Given the historically observed shallow depth of the sampling location (0.15 m; Appendix B), concentration effects have been interpreted to have occurred in samples collected at SW-2 which may have affected the observed concentrations of dissolved constituents at the sampling location (Table 6). Based on surface water quality results in 2022, significant impacts resultant of landfill-related activities were not interpreted to be occurring in the vicinity of SW-2.

Historical results at downstream location SW-2 were interpreted to suggest that significant impacts have not been occurring downstream of the Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site (Table 6).

4.3 Operations Summary

A summary of 2022 waste management operations at the Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site are presented below.

4.3.1 Site Operations

On December 31, 2009, the Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site was closed to all waste operations, in advance of final closure activities at the site. Prior to closure, the site operated as a municipal solid waste landfill, accepting municipal waste and recyclables for disposal and market. The Matawatchan site was approved to accept waste from the geographic Townships of Griffith and Matawatchan, and operated in accordance with ECA A412204 (Appendix A).

An application to amend the ECA and supporting technical information including the Closure Plan (Greenview, 2008a), were submitted to the MECP on September 19, 2008, in recognition of the operational concepts for the Township's waste management facilities. The Closure Plan was approved by the MECP in the



Amendment to the ECA for the site issued on February 26, 2010 (Appendix A).

A sign is posted at the entrance to the waste disposal site that indicates that the site was closed as of December 31, 2009, and provides addresses of other Township sites that are available to accept waste and recyclables. Access to the site is provided by County Road 71 (Matawatchan Road), approximately 1 km north of the Hamlet of Matawatchan (Figures 1 and 2).

Access to the Matawatchan site is restricted by a lockable gate at the site entrance. The site is surrounded by forested lands, which provide adequate screening and restricted access for vehicular traffic, aside of the maintained site entrance-way. The site access road extending from County Road 71 has sufficient width at the entrance and within the site to allow for unimpeded winter travel and access for emergency and snow removal equipment, when required. The site access road was observed to be in serviceable condition during the routine site inspections conducted by Greenview during site visits in 2022.

4.3.2 Waste Disposal / Transfer Summary

The Matawatchan site has been closed to all waste and recycling operations since December 31, 2009. Prior to closure, the Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site was approved to receive municipal waste and recyclables from the geographic Townships of Griffith and Matawatchan.

Developed as part of the Closure Plan, a proposed limit of waste for final closure was defined complete with associated final contours at closure (Greenview, 2008a). As such, final closure activities in 2010 and 2011 were undertaken in accordance with the Closure Plan (Greenview, 2008a) and MECP guidelines. On September 27, 2011, a survey was conducted to update the existing site topographic data at the Matawatchan site following completion of final closure activities in 2011, which included the application of vegetative cover.

In 2013, the Township passed a new waste management by-law (By-law No. 09 - 2013), to establish, maintain and regulate a system for the disposal of municipal waste, recyclables, and other refuse (Greenview, 2014).

4.3.3 Site Inspections and Maintenance

Site inspections of the Matawatchan site were conducted by Greenview on May 31, 2022, during the spring sampling event and on November 01, 2022, during the fall sampling event (Appendix B). The Township also conducted periodic inspections to verify the compliance status of the site.

The site inspections included a cursory investigation of housekeeping/litter control aspects, monitoring well maintenance requirements in accordance with O. Reg. 903 (Wells), as amended, and a general site overview for MECP regulatory compliance issues.

The application of final cover (600 mm barrier cover plus 150 mm vegetative cover) was completed at the site in 2011 inclusive of seeding of the site in order to satisfy final cover requirements. Additional seeding of the former landfilling area at the site was completed in the spring 2012, specifically to the northeast and in the vicinity of monitoring well 91-2C. The extent of cover application is consistent with the limit of waste for final closure, as recognized in the ECA (February 26, 2010; Appendix A), and as shown on Figure 2.

4.3.4 Complaints

There were no complaints received by the Township with respect to waste management operations at the Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site in 2022.

4.3.5 Monitoring and Screening Checklist

In accordance with the MECP TGD (MECP, 2010), the Monitoring and Screening Checklist for the Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site is included as Appendix D of this report.



5.0 Conclusions and Recommendations

Based on the results of the 2022 environmental monitoring program completed for the Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site, the following conclusions are provided:

- The interpreted groundwater configuration at the site was similar to historical interpretations with the predominant direction of groundwater flow interpreted to be to the east. In spring and fall 2022, the average horizontal gradients in the vicinity of and to the east of the waste mound were calculated to be 0.162 and 0.154, respectively.
- Based on groundwater elevation data, monitoring wells 91-2A and 91-2B were calculated to have a
 downward vertical gradient of -0.004 in spring 2022 and an upward vertical gradient of 0.016 in fall 2022,
 respectively. Monitoring wells 95-2A and 95-2B were calculated to have downward vertical gradients of
 -0.256 and -0.232 in spring and fall 2022, respectively.
- Groundwater quality at leachate monitoring well 91-2C was interpreted to be impacted from landfill-related factors, while downgradient monitoring wells 91-4A, 95-2A, and 95-2B were interpreted to have minor to no impacts resultant of landfill-related factors (including final closure activities). Significant impacts related to the closed Matawatchan site were not interpreted at the downgradient property boundary following completion of the 2022 groundwater monitoring program. Decreasing trends noted in groundwater quality results over the past five (5) years were interpreted to represent that landfill-related impacts are diminishing over time downgradient of the site.
- RUC non-conformances for concentrations of iron and manganese were noted in the 2022 results for background well 95-1; as well as a non-conformance for the parameter pH (low; field-tested) in fall 2022 for 95-1. No RUC non-conformances were documented in results for background monitoring well 95-4 during the spring and fall sampling events in 2022. During the fall 2022 sampling event, no sample was obtained from 95-2A due to insufficient water conditions. Historically, downgradient well 95-2B had RUC non-conformances for concentrations of alkalinity, iron, and TDS. The RUC non-conformances historically noted from monitoring well 95-2B were attributed to the low groundwater conditions noted across the site and not to landfill-related factors. The concentrations of alkalinity and TDS were only slightly elevated above the RUC limit, while the iron concentration in previous results from 95-2B was noted to be less than the concentration documented in background monitoring well 95-1 for the same previous sampling event. The Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site was not interpreted to be significantly impacting groundwater quality at the adjacent property boundary in 2022 and the site was interpreted to meet the intent of MECP Guideline B-7.
- Based on the results of the 2022 environmental monitoring program at the Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site, the groundwater monitoring program at the site was interpreted to be sufficient; however, consideration should be given by the MECP for decreasing the scope of the groundwater monitoring program at the site.
- With the inclusion of the 2022 surface water quality results, current and historical results at downstream location SW-2 were interpreted to suggest that significant impacts have not been occurring downstream of the Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site. The Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site was interpreted to be in conformance with PWQO in 2022.
- The Matawatchan site has been closed since December 31, 2009, and no waste or recycling operations were conducted at the site in 2022.

The following recommendations are provided to the Township for consideration as part of the 2022 environmental work program for the Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site:

• The 2022 groundwater monitoring program for the site should continue to include two (2) sampling



- events annually (spring and fall). The groundwater samples should be collected in accordance with the parameter suite shown in Table 1, for the groundwater regime at the site.
- In 2022, the surface water monitoring program at the site should continue to include two (2) sampling events annually (spring and fall), inclusive of surface water sampling stations SW-1, SW-2, and SW-3, for the parameter suite shown in Table 1, to monitor the surface water regime at the site. Collection of surface water samples during scheduled sampling events should only be conducted if sufficient quantities of water are available at the sampling location to avoid potentially biased results.
- During the spring and fall 2022 sampling events, monitoring well 95-3B was observed to be damaged and no sample was obtained. Monitoring well 95-3B was partially repaired by Greenview in summer 2021, but further repairs are needed to obtain a sample.
- events at the site have been interpreted to represent significant attenuation downgradient of the closed waste mound and conformance with MECP Guideline B-7 at the downgradient property boundary, it is recommended that the MECP consider reductions in the scope of the groundwater monitoring program. At this time, it is recommended that the groundwater monitoring program be modified to include the sampling and analysis of the following monitoring wells twice annually in spring and fall: 95-1 (background), 95-4 (background), 91-2C (leachate), 95-2A (RUC), and 95-2B (RUC). No changes to the existing parameter suite for analysis are recommended as part of a revised groundwater monitoring program. Sampling for VOC at 91-2C annually in spring is recommended to be discontinued, given that no impacts related to VOCs have been historically apparent in results. All existing wells on-site are recommended to remain in place, such that groundwater elevations can be measured at each existing well for the establishment of groundwater contours and flow direction in future spring and fall sampling events; no monitoring wells are proposed to be decommissioned at this time. If approved by the MECP, these proposed changes should be implemented the monitoring year following formal MECP approval of the changes.



6.0 Closing

Greenview has prepared the 2022 Annual Report in accordance with MECP guidelines to document the results of the 2022 environmental monitoring program for the Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site, per Condition 2 (3) and 2 (4) of the Amended ECA.

This report is governed by the attached statement of service conditions and limitations (Appendix E). All respectfully submitted by,

Greenview Environmental Management Limited

Tyler H. Peters, P.Eng.

Project Director





7.0 References

CCREM (Canadian Council of Resource and Environment Ministers). 1987. Canadian Water Quality Guidelines. Prepared by the Task Force on Water Quality Guidelines.

Jp2g Consultants Inc., 2001. Matawatchan Landfill Site, Interim Site Development and Operations Plan. August 2001.

Golder Associates Ltd., 2006. 2005 Annual Report, Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site. March 2006.

Golder Associates Ltd., 2007. 2006 Annual Report, Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site. March 2007.

Google Earth. August 5, 2004. January 23, 2013.

Greenview Environmental Management Limited, 2008a. Closure Plan, Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site (A412204). September 19, 2008.

Greenview Environmental Management Limited, 2008b. 2007 Annual Report, Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site (A412204). March 26, 2008.

Greenview Environmental Management Limited, 2009. 2008 Annual Report, Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site (A412204). March 19, 2009.

Greenview Environmental Management Limited, 2010. 2009 Annual Report, Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site (A412204). March 24, 2010.

Greenview Environmental Management Limited, 2011. 2010 Annual Report, Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site (A412204). March 25, 2011.

Greenview Environmental Management Limited, 2012. 2011 Annual Report, Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site (A412204). March 26, 2012.

Greenview Environmental Management Limited, 2013. 2012 Annual Report, Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site (A412204). March 22, 2013.

Greenview Environmental Management Limited, 2014. 2013 Annual Report, Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site (A412204). March 21, 2014.

Greenview Environmental Management Limited, 2015. 2014 Annual Report, Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site (A412204). March 23, 2015.

Greenview Environmental Management Limited, 2016. 2015 Annual Report, Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site (A412204). March 14, 2016.

Greenview Environmental Management Limited, 2017. 2016 Annual Report, Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site (A412204). March 2017.

Greenview Environmental Management Limited, 2018. 2017 Annual Report, Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site (A412204). March 2018.

Greenview Environmental Management Limited, 2019. 2018 Annual Report, Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site (A412204). March 2019.

Greenview Environmental Management Limited, 2020. 2019 Annual Report, Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site (A412204). March 2020.

Greenview Environmental Management Limited, 2021. 2020 Annual Report, Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site (A412204). March 2021

Ontario Ministry of the Environment, 1994a. MECP Procedure B-7-1: Determination of Contaminant Limits and Attenuation Zones. 1994.

Ontario Ministry of the Environment, 1994b. Water Management: Policies, Guidelines, Provincial Water Quality Objectives of the Ministry of Environment and Energy. July, 1994.

Ontario Ministry of the Environment, 1994c. MECP Guideline B-9: Resolution of Groundwater Interference Problems. 1994.



Ontario Ministry of the Environment, 2006. Technical Support Document for Ontario Drinking Water Standards, Objectives and Guidelines. June 2006

Ontario Ministry of the Environment, 2010. Monitoring and Reporting for Waste Disposal Sites, Groundwater, and Surface Water - Technical Guidance Document. November, 2010.

Sonderegger and Wade. 2001. Manual of Applied Field Hydrogeology. McGraw-Hill, New York. 2001



Tables



Table 1 2022 Groundwater and Surface Water Monitoring Program Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site

| Loca | ation | Frequency | | Parameters | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|---------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | C 91-4A 1 95-2A B 95-3B 4 | | Groundwater | | | | | | | | | | |
| 91-2C | 91-4A | | Alkalinity | Ammonia (total) | Boron | | | | | | | | |
| 95-1 | 95-2A | | Calcium | Chloride | COD | | | | | | | | |
| 95-2B | 95-3B | Turing (Oct) | Copper | Ion Balance | Iron | | | | | | | | |
| 95-4 | | Twice (2x) | Magnesium | Manganese | Nitrate | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Nitrite | Phenols | Potassium | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Sodium | Strontium | Sulphate | | | | | | | | |
| 1x QA/QC | | | TDS | TKN | | | | | | | | | |
| | | (Spring | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | & | | Field Measurements | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Fall) | Conductivity Dissolved Oxygen pH | | | | | | | | | | |
| COUNT = | 8 | | Temperature | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Ground | water (Volatile Organic Con | npounds) | | | | | | | | | |
| 91-2C | | Once (1x) | EPA 624 Volatile Organi | ic Compounds | | | | | | | | | |
| COUNT = | 1 | (Spring) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Groundwater Elevations | | | | | | | | | | |
| 91-2A | 91-2B | | Groundwater Levels (All | Wells) | | | | | | | | | |
| 91-2C | 91-4A | Twice (2x) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 95-1 | 95-2A | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 95-2B | 95-3B | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 95-4 | | (Spring & Fall) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| COUNT = | 9 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Surface Water | | | | | | | | | | |
| SW-1 | SW-2 | | Alkalinity | Ammonia (total) | BOD | | | | | | | | |
| SW-3 | | | Boron | Cadmium | Calcium | | | | | | | | |
| | | Twice (2x) | Chloride | COD | Copper | | | | | | | | |
| | | 1 WICC (2A) | Ion Balance | Iron | Magnesium | | | | | | | | |
| 1x QA/QC | | | Manganese | Nitrate | Nitrite | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Phenols | Phosphorus (total) | Potassium | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Sodium | Strontium | Sulphate | | | | | | | | |
| | | (Spring | TDS | TKN | Zinc | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Field Measurements | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Fall) | Conductivity | Dissolved Oxygen | pН | | | | | | | | |
| COUNT = | 4 | | Temperature | Un-ionized Ammonia (cal- | culation) | | | | | | | | |





Table 2 Groundwater Monitoring Well and Surface Water Sampling Locations Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site

| | Groun | dwater | |
|---------|-------|----------|---------|
| Monitor | Zone | Northing | Easting |
| 91-2C | 18T | 5002265 | 334182 |
| 91-4A | 18T | 5002314 | 334255 |
| 95-1 | 18T | 5002289 | 334030 |
| 95-2A | 18T | 5002228 | 334214 |
| 95-2B | 18T | 5002227 | 334216 |
| 95-3B | 18T | 5002287 | 334316 |
| 95-4 | 18T | 5002254 | 334114 |

| | Surfac | e Water | |
|---------|--------|----------|---------|
| Monitor | Zone | Northing | Easting |
| SW-1 | 18T | 5002260 | 334215 |
| SW-2 | 18T | 5002147 | 334360 |
| SW-3 | 18T | 5002055 | 334180 |

Global Positioning System (GPS) point locations acquired by Greenview using a Garmin eTrex Venture HC.





Table 3 Groundwater Elevations Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site

| Monitor | Ground Elevation | Top of Pipe Elevation | Original Stick-Up | Measured Stick-Up | Depth of | Well Diameter | | | | | | (| Groundwater | Elevation (m |) | | | | | |
|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | (m) ¹ | (m) ² | (m) ³ | (m) ⁴ | Well (m) ⁷ | (mm) | 26-May-16 | 26-Oct-16 | 04-May-17 | 25-Oct-17 | 08-May-18 | 30-Oct-18 | 14-May-19 | 17-Oct-19 | 23-Apr-20 | 27-Oct-20 | 18-May-21 | 16-Nov-21 | 31-May-22 | 01-Nov-22 |
| 91-2A ⁶ | 96.66 | 97.59 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 35.30 | 38.1 | 88.06 | 86.70 | 88.45 | 87.15 | 88.05 | 86.91 | 88.49 | 86.75 | 88.25 | 87.07 | 87.88 | 86.96 | 88.04 | 87.30 |
| 91-2B ⁶ | 96.66 | 97.62 | 0.96 | 0.95 | 24.45 | 38.1 | 87.97 | 86.73 | 88.79 | 87.10 | 88.35 | 86.92 | 88.97 | 86.76 | 88.72 | 87.12 | 87.94 | 86.80 | 88.08 | 87.12 |
| 91-2C ⁶ | 96.66 | 97.63 | 0.96 | 0.95 | 16.49 | 38.1 | 86.27 | 84.92 | 88.20 | 85.07 | 87.97 | 85.00 | 88.61 | 84.98 | 88.47 | 85.17 | 86.57 | 85.03 | 86.78 | 85.44 |
| 91-4A | 77.76 | 78.48 | 0.72 | 0.74 | 9.38 | 38.1 | flowing | 77.62 | flowing | 77.81 | flowing | 77.61 | flowing | 77.63 | flowing | 77.55 | flowing | 77.89 | flowing | 78.19 |
| 95-1 | 99.67 | 100.72 | 0.99 | 0.97 | 4.64 | 38.1 | 98.58 | 98.29 | 99.78 | 97.99 | 99.83 | 97.76 | 99.65 | 98.30 | 99.06 | 98.45 | 98.66 | 98.28 | 98.45 | 98.02 |
| 95-2A | 71.99 | 72.99 | 1.00 | 1.01 | 4.83 | 38.1 | 67.79 | Dry | 67.95 | 67.58 | 67.96 | 67.31 | 68.02 | Dry | 67.92 | 67.65 | 67.81 | 67.63 | 67.82 | 67.72 |
| 95-2B | 71.97 | 73.07 | 1.10 | 0.88 | 7.50 | 38.1 | 67.17 | 66.97 | 67.24 | 67.08 | 67.24 | 66.99 | 67.27 | 66.98 | 67.24 | 67.15 | 67.19 | 67.10 | 67.17 | 67.13 |
| 95-3B ⁸ | 74.07 | 75.05 | 1.15 | 0.98 | 5.96 | 38.1 | 72.21 | 71.61 | 74.11 | 71.71 | 74.21 | 71.47 | 74.01 | 71.44 | 74.25 | - | - | Dry | Dry | Dry |
| 95-4 | 104.38 | 105.41 | 1.03 | 1.02 | 4.51 | 38.1 | 102.09 | 101.53 | 102.73 | 100.47 | 102.70 | 100.40 | 102.56 | 100.21 | 102.47 | 101.96 | 102.17 | 101.66 | 102.14 | 101.45 |

- 1. Ground elevations from SGS Lakefield Research (2004).
- Top of pipe elevation from SGS Lakefield Research (2004).
- 3. Original stick-up based on survey information from SGS Lakefield Research (2004).
- 4. Stick-up measured by Greenview on April 24, 2007.
- 5. Decommissioned on November 8, 2010.
- 6. Top of pipe, ground elevation, stick up based on survey completed by Greenview in September 2011.
- 7. Depth of well below ground surface (m).
- 8. Monitoring well 95-3B repaired by Greenview in 2021. Ground elevation, top of pipe, and measured stick-up updated based on a survey completed on November 16, 2021.

All elevations are realative to a site specific benchmark elevation of 100.00 m.

Groundwater elevations from April 2007 to present are calculated using top of pipe elevations.

- * Well casing upgrade in 2002 increased top of pipe elevation.
- "-" indicates data is not available.





Table 4 Groundwater Quality Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site

| Parameter | Background | RUC ¹ | ODWS ² | | | | | | | 91 | -2C | | | | | | | 5-year Trends |
|-------------------------------------|------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|
| | (median) | | | 26-May-16 | 26-Oct-16 | 04-May-17 | 25-Oct-17 | 08-May-18 | 30-Oct-18 | 14-May-19 | 17-Oct-19 | 23-Apr-20 | 27-Oct-20 | 18-May-21 | 16-Nov-21 | 31-May-22 | 01-Nov-22 | (sparkline) |
| Alkalinity (as CaCO ₃) | 78 | 289 | 30 - 500 | 467 | 461 | 468 | 450 | 407 | 390 | 401 | 413 | 395 | 377 | 416 | 414 | 401 | 411 | $\checkmark \checkmark \checkmark$ |
| Ammonia, Total (as N) | 0.03 | N/L | N/L | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.14 | 0.12 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 7 |
| Boron | 0.007 | 1.3 | 5 | 0.256 | 0.263 | 0.247 | 0.261 | 0.250 | 0.242 | 0.238 | 0.247 | 0.255 | 0.234 | 0.232 | 0.241 | 0.257 | 0.201 | ~~ |
| Calcium | 35 | N/L | N/L | 154 | 156 | 153 | 159 | 150 | 156 | 127 | 151 | 138 | 137 | 123 | 139 | 136 | 131 | V |
| Chemical Oxygen Demand | 12 | N/L | N/L | 22 | 10 | 15 | 27 | 23 | 14 | 27 | 19 | 31 | 18 | 15 | 23 | 9 | 15 | W_ |
| Chloride | 0.7 | 125 | 250 | 13.9 | 12.5 | 10.5 | 9.6 | 11.9 | 10.5 | 9.8 | 8.8 | 9.4 | 8.0 | 7.4 | 7.3 | 6.3 | 5.8 | ~~ |
| Conductivity (µS/cm) ³ | 235 | N/L | N/L | 959 | 962 | 936 | 933 | 881 | 853 | 867 | 892 | 852 | 830 | 820 | 861 | 848 | 834 | VV |
| Conductivity (µS/cm) ⁴ | 159 | N/L | N/L | 703 | 672 | 663 | 676 | 622 | 564 | 547 | 941 | 506 | 581 | 631 | 604 | 613 | 615 | $\sqrt{}$ |
| Copper | 0.002 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.003 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | 0.005 | 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | 0.002 | < 0.002 | 0.0038 | 0.0034 | 0.0043 | 0.0020 | \\\\\ |
| Iron | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.064 | 0.250 | 0.098 | 0.077 | 0.037 | 0.021 | 0.072 | 0.100 | 0.005 | 0.054 | 0.017 | 0.090 | 0.007 | 0.072 | |
| Magnesium | 3.9 | N/L | N/L | 26.2 | 29.6 | 26.4 | 27.8 | 26.4 | 25.5 | 23.6 | 26.6 | 25.0 | 25.4 | 23.1 | 24.6 | 24.7 | 22.0 | V~\ |
| Manganese | 0.078 | 0.078 | 0.05 | 1.57 | 0.700 | 0.932 | 0.725 | 0.662 | 0.710 | 0.490 | 0.653 | 0.596 | 0.624 | 0.722 | 0.536 | 0.424 | 0.495 | ~ |
| Nitrate (as N) | 0.07 | 2.5 | 10 | < 0.1 | < 0.1 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | 0.21 | < 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.05 | 0.12 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | _/~~_ |
| Nitrite (as N) | 0.05 | 0.29 | 1 | < 0.1 | < 0.1 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | 0.08 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | |
| pH (units) ⁴ | 7.00 | 6.5 - 8.5 | 6.5 - 8.5 | 7.31 | 7.08 | 7.20 | 7.75 | 7.96 | 6.65 | 7.16 | 7.39 | 7.01 | 7.18 | 7.51 | 7.50 | 7.07 | 7.17 | \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\ |
| Phenols | 0.002 | N/L | N/L | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | |
| Potassium | 2.3 | N/L | N/L | 12.6 | 13.5 | 12.3 | 14.1 | 14.6 | 14.3 | 12.2 | 13.5 | 13.3 | 13.1 | 12.0 | 13.1 | 13.1 | 11.2 | ~~ |
| Sodium | 1.4 | 101 | 200 | 19.4 | 16.6 | 17.8 | 15.6 | 16.9 | 15.5 | 18.4 | 15.5 | 17.6 | 13.9 | 16.7 | 15.8 | 17.6 | 16.0 | \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\ |
| Strontium | 0.058 | N/L | N/L | 0.942 | 1.00 | 0.888 | 0.965 | 0.908 | 0.888 | 0.840 | 0.931 | 0.876 | 0.841 | 0.808 | 0.868 | 0.855 | 0.750 | ~~ |
| Sulphate | 33 | 267 | 500 | 53 | 50 | 40 | 38 | 49 | 46 | 42 | 39 | 42 | 43 | 43 | 45 | 45 | 52 | |
| Total Dissolved Solids | 120 | 310 | 500 | 539 | 532 | 515 | 513 | 466 | 450 | 458 | 457 | 453 | 433 | 433 | 430 | 456 | 434 | ~~~ |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | 0.4 | N/L | N/L | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | \\\\\ |
| Cation Sum (meq/L) | - | N/L | N/L | 11.1 | 11.3 | 11.0 | 11.3 | 10.8 | 11.0 | 9.42 | 10.8 | 10.1 | 9.90 | 9.10 | 10.0 | 9.94 | 9.36 | V |
| Anion Sum (meq/L) | - | N/L | N/L | 10.80 | 10.60 | 10.50 | 10.00 | 9.49 | 9.05 | 9.18 | 9.30 | 9.04 | 8.64 | 9.43 | 9.41 | 9.12 | 9.46 | VV |
| Anion-Cation Balance (% difference) | - | N/L | N/L | 1.20 | 3.20 | 2.22 | 5.87 | 6.47 | 9.56 | 1.31 | 7.36 | 5.44 | 6.77 | 1.79 | 3.11 | 4.32 | 0.562 | M |

- 1. Reasonable Use Concept (RUC) criteria.
- Neasonable ose Contept (NOC) Internation
 Ontario Drinking Water Standards (ODWS).
 Results obtained from laboratory analysis.
 Results obtained from field analysis.

- All results are expressed in mg/L unless otherwise stated. Bold and shaded values exceed the ODWS.

- Bold and Italic values exeed RUC limits. N/L indicates No Limit. "-" indicates parameter not analyzed.





Table 4 Groundwater Quality Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site

| Parameter | Background | RUC ¹ | ODWS ² | | | | | | | 91 | -4A | | | | | | | 5-year Trends |
|-------------------------------------|------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|
| raiametei | (median) | RUC | ODWS | 26-May-16 | 26-Oct-16 | 04-May-17 | 25-Oct-17 | 08-May-18 | 30-Oct-18 | 14-May-19 | 17-Oct-19 | 23-Apr-20 | 27-Oct-20 | 18-May-21 | 16-Nov-21 | 31-May-22 | 01-Nov-22 | (sparkline) |
| Alkalinity (as CaCO ₃) | 78 | 289 | 30 - 500 | 323 | 534 | 348 | 473 | 294 | 454 | 331 | 443 | 322 | 399 | 280 | 497 | 293 | 421 | $\wedge \wedge \wedge \wedge$ |
| Ammonia, Total (as N) | 0.03 | N/L | N/L | 0.02 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.04 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | Λ |
| Boron | 0.007 | 1.3 | 5 | 0.243 | 0.400 | 0.233 | 0.374 | 0.204 | 0.378 | 0.228 | 0.363 | 0.219 | 0.359 | 0.184 | 0.370 | 0.204 | 0.271 | $\wedge \wedge \wedge$ |
| Calcium | 35 | N/L | N/L | 107 | 178 | 115 | 165 | 104 | 183 | 108 | 158 | 113 | 149 | 82.7 | 163 | 101 | 132 | $\wedge \wedge \wedge$ |
| Chemical Oxygen Demand | 12 | N/L | N/L | 14 | 9 | 6 | 22 | 14 | 12 | 15 | 23 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 18 | < 5 | 14 | ~_\ |
| Chloride | 0.7 | 125 | 250 | 3.8 | 8.9 | 2.4 | 5.2 | 1.9 | 5.6 | 2.6 | 5.6 | 2.7 | 4.7 | 2.5 | 4.3 | 2.3 | 1.7 | \bigwedge |
| Conductivity (µS/cm) ³ | 235 | N/L | N/L | 699 | 1120 | 718 | 1030 | 612 | 998 | 723 | 954 | 695 | 899 | 566 | 1009 | 634 | 849 | $\wedge \wedge \wedge \wedge$ |
| Conductivity (µS/cm) ⁴ | 159 | N/L | N/L | 512 | 756 | 473 | 635 | 398 | 594 | 441 | 997 | 390 | 761 | 359 | 624 | 352 | 616 | ~~~ |
| Copper | 0.002 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.0040 | 0.0030 | 0.0020 | < 0.002 | 0.0040 | 0.0020 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | 0.0020 | 0.0030 | 0.0023 | 0.0046 | 0.0027 | 0.0032 | \^^ |
| Iron | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | < 0.005 | 0.010 | 0.009 | 0.020 | 0.011 | 0.018 | 0.006 | 0.012 | < 0.005 | 0.014 | 0.121 | 0.021 | 0.010 | 0.025 | |
| Magnesium | 3.9 | N/L | N/L | 19.4 | 35.0 | 20.6 | 30.0 | 18.2 | 30.9 | 20.3 | 29.5 | 20.9 | 29.0 | 16.1 | 29.9 | 19.1 | 24.0 | $\wedge \wedge \wedge \wedge$ |
| Manganese | 0.078 | 0.078 | 0.05 | 0.009 | 0.040 | 0.023 | 0.056 | 0.021 | 0.078 | 0.013 | 0.033 | 0.011 | 0.027 | 0.053 | 0.044 | 0.019 | 0.031 | \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\ |
| Nitrate (as N) | 0.07 | 2.5 | 10 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 1.05 | 0.94 | 0.68 | 0.52 | 1.20 | 0.31 | 0.89 | 0.34 | 0.41 | 0.65 | 0.33 | < 0.05 | M_ |
| Nitrite (as N) | 0.05 | 0.29 | 1 | < 0.1 | < 0.1 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | 0.07 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | |
| pH (units) ⁴ | 7.00 | 6.5 - 8.5 | 6.5 - 8.5 | 6.02 | 6.83 | 6.62 | 6.74 | 7.10 | 6.78 | 7.20 | 7.35 | 6.99 | 6.68 | 7.04 | 7.37 | 7.07 | 6.61 | |
| Phenols | 0.002 | N/L | N/L | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | 0.012 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | |
| Potassium | 2.3 | N/L | N/L | 6.1 | 9.4 | 6.2 | 9.2 | 7.0 | 10.1 | 6.6 | 9.2 | 7.0 | 9.0 | 6.0 | 9.4 | 6.8 | 7.3 | $\wedge \wedge \wedge$ |
| Sodium | 1.4 | 101 | 200 | 14.9 | 26.5 | 14.6 | 22.4 | 14.3 | 25.5 | 12.8 | 22.1 | 12.2 | 21.2 | 11.0 | 23.1 | 11.4 | 15.9 | $\wedge \wedge \wedge \wedge$ |
| Strontium | 0.058 | N/L | N/L | 0.393 | 0.604 | 0.405 | 0.557 | 0.357 | 0.553 | 0.387 | 0.535 | 0.388 | 0.488 | 0.359 | 0.564 | 0.377 | 0.423 | $\wedge \wedge \wedge$ |
| Sulphate | 33 | 267 | 500 | 51 | 101 | 39 | 69 | 30 | 77 | 43 | 60 | 38 | 59 | 31 | 69 | 34 | 66 | $\wedge \sim \sim$ |
| Total Dissolved Solids | 120 | 310 | 500 | 389 | 634 | 395 | 566 | 318 | 532 | 377 | 504 | 369 | 464 | 291 | 521 | 329 | 447 | $\wedge \wedge \wedge \wedge$ |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | 0.4 | N/L | N/L | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\ |
| Cation Sum (meq/L) | - | N/L | N/L | 7.74 | 13.1 | 8.24 | 11.9 | 7.49 | 13.0 | 7.79 | 11.5 | 8.07 | 11.0 | 6.09 | 11.8 | 7.28 | 9.44 | $\wedge \wedge \wedge \wedge$ |
| Anion Sum (meq/L) | - | N/L | N/L | 7.67 | 13.1 | 7.83 | 11.1 | 6.59 | 10.9 | 7.67 | 10.3 | 7.37 | 9.36 | 6.35 | 11.5 | 6.65 | 9.83 | $\wedge \wedge \wedge \wedge$ |
| Anion-Cation Balance (% difference) | - | N/L | N/L | 0.479 | 0.289 | 2.53 | 3.53 | 6.37 | 9.15 | 0.736 | 5.60 | 4.55 | 7.95 | 2.05 | 1.31 | 4.54 | 2.01 | \\\\\ |

- 1. Reasonable Use Concept (RUC) criteria.
- Neasonable ose Contept (NOC) Internation
 Ontario Drinking Water Standards (ODWS).
 Results obtained from laboratory analysis.
 Results obtained from field analysis.

All results are expressed in mg/L unless otherwise stated. Bold and shaded values exceed the ODWS.

Bold and stated values exceed the ODV Bold and Italic values exceed RUC limits. N/L indicates No Limit.

"-" indicates parameter not analyzed.





Table 4 Groundwater Quality Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site

| Parameter | Background | RUC ¹ | ODWS ² | | | | | | | 95-1 (Bac | kground) | | | | | | | 5-year Trends |
|-------------------------------------|------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------------|
| Turumeter | (median) | KUC | ODWS | 26-May-16 | 26-Oct-16 | 04-May-17 | 25-Oct-17 | 08-May-18 | 30-Oct-18 | 14-May-19 | 17-Oct-19 | 23-Apr-20 | 27-Oct-20 | 18-May-21 | 16-Nov-21 | 31-May-22 | 01-Nov-22 | (sparkline |
| Alkalinity (as CaCO ₃) | 78 | 289 | 30 - 500 | 80 | 79 | 58 | 77 | 53 | 63 | 57 | 67 | 49 | 68 | 84 | 83 | 67 | 85 | ~~ |
| Ammonia, Total (as N) | 0.03 | N/L | N/L | 0.03 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.10 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.01 | < 0.01 | 0.02 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | ^ |
| Boron | 0.007 | 1.3 | 5 | 0.007 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | 0.008 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | 0.005 | < 0.005 | 0.007 | 0.005 | 0.010 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | |
| Calcium | 35 | N/L | N/L | 40.8 | 34.1 | 26.9 | 33.6 | 27.0 | 34.3 | 23.8 | 28.3 | 29.5 | 36.5 | 35.0 | 37.7 | 36.6 | 41.8 | ~~~ |
| Chemical Oxygen Demand | 12 | N/L | N/L | 13 | < 5 | < 5 | 9 | 12 | < 5 | 7 | 15 | 8 | 7 | < 5 | 12 | < 5 | < 5 | |
| Chloride | 0.7 | 125 | 250 | < 0.5 | < 0.5 | < 0.5 | 0.8 | < 0.5 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.9 | < 0.5 | // |
| Conductivity (µS/cm) ³ | 235 | N/L | N/L | 260 | 252 | 204 | 249 | 190 | 212 | 208 | 209 | 197 | 236 | 251 | 255 | 248 | 288 | ~~ |
| Conductivity (µS/cm) 4 | 159 | N/L | N/L | 157 | 179 | 140 | 175 | 118 | 170 | 119 | 94 | 111 | 220 | 159 | 303 | 151 | 108 | \sim |
| Copper | 0.002 | 0.5 | 1 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | 0.002 | < 0.002 | 0.0011 | 0.0042 | 0.0010 | 0.0017 | |
| Iron | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 2.04 | 0.758 | 1.32 | 1.20 | < 0.005 | 0.750 | 0.860 | 0.923 | 0.959 | 1.10 | 0.553 | 1.72 | 2.14 | 0.509 | |
| Magnesium | 3.9 | N/L | N/L | 5.28 | 5.49 | 3.94 | 4.96 | 4.01 | 4.69 | 3.77 | 4.07 | 4.46 | 5.45 | 5.39 | 5.60 | 5.64 | 5.73 | ~ |
| Manganese | 0.078 | 0.078 | 0.05 | 0.183 | 0.105 | 0.103 | 0.073 | 0.113 | 0.069 | 0.078 | 0.063 | 0.121 | 0.147 | 0.085 | 0.136 | 0.198 | 0.101 | ~~^ |
| Nitrate (as N) | 0.07 | 2.5 | 10 | < 0.1 | 0.1 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.18 | < 0.05 | 0.07 | < 0.05 | 0.10 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | \bigwedge |
| Nitrite (as N) | 0.05 | 0.29 | 1 | < 0.1 | 0.1 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | 0.08 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | |
| pH (units) ⁴ | 7.00 | 6.5 - 8.5 | 6.5 - 8.5 | 7.28 | 6.71 | 6.33 | 6.22 | 7.41 | 6.84 | 6.87 | 7.04 | 6.55 | 6.41 | 7.44 | 7.73 | 7.01 | 6.29 | ~ |
| Phenois | 0.002 | N/L | N/L | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | 0.003 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | |
| Potassium | 2.3 | N/L | N/L | 3.1 | 3.2 | 2.2 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 2.1 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 3.8 | 3.6 | 3.2 | ~~~ |
| Sodium | 1.4 | 101 | 200 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.3 | $\sim \sim \sim$ |
| Strontium | 0.058 | N/L | N/L | 0.075 | 0.053 | 0.040 | 0.048 | 0.038 | 0.051 | 0.038 | 0.044 | 0.047 | 0.056 | 0.055 | 0.060 | 0.055 | 0.060 | ~~~ |
| Sulphate | 33 | 267 | 500 | 40 | 45 | 33 | 35 | 36 | 35 | 38 | 35 | 33 | 36 | 40 | 38 | 44 | 55 | ~~/ |
| Total Dissolved Solids | 120 | 310 | 500 | 138 | 138 | 112 | 137 | 97 | 108 | 106 | 110 | 93 | 113 | 124 | 115 | 120 | 131 | ~~~ |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | 0.4 | N/L | N/L | 0.1 | 0.2 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | < 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | < 0.1 | ^ |
| Cation Sum (meq/L) | - | N/L | N/L | 2.72 | 2.36 | 1.85 | 2.30 | 1.82 | 2.29 | 1.66 | 1.94 | 2.03 | 2.48 | 2.36 | 2.61 | 2.57 | 2.73 | ~~~ |
| Anion Sum (meq/L) | - | N/L | N/L | 2.45 | 2.54 | 1.86 | 2.29 | 1.81 | 2.04 | 1.96 | 2.10 | 1.71 | 2.12 | 2.55 | 2.45 | 2.28 | 2.84 | ~~~ |
| Anion-Cation Balance (% difference) | - | N/L | N/L | 5.36 | 3.77 | 0.312 | 0.201 | 0.0285 | 5.84 | 8.26 | 3.92 | 8.55 | 8.03 | 3.97 | 3.12 | 6.00 | 2.08 | /\/\ |

- 1. Reasonable Use Concept (RUC) criteria.
- Neasonable ose Contept (NOC) Internation
 Ontario Drinking Water Standards (ODWS).
 Results obtained from laboratory analysis.
 Results obtained from field analysis.

- All results are expressed in mg/L unless otherwise stated. Bold and shaded values exceed the ODWS.
- Bold and stated values exceed the ODV Bold and Italic values exceed RUC limits. N/L indicates No Limit.
- "-" indicates parameter not analyzed.





Table 4 Groundwater Quality Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site

| Parameter | Background | RUC ¹ | ODWS ² | | | | 95-2A | | | | 5-year Trends |
|-------------------------------------|------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------------|
| | (median) | | | 26-May-16 | 04-May-17 | 08-May-18 | 14-May-19 | 23-Apr-20 | 18-May-21 | 31-May-22 | (sparkline) |
| Alkalinity (as CaCO ₃) | 78 | 289 | 30 - 500 | 253 | 161 | 104 | 139 | 135 | 223 | 224 | |
| Ammonia, Total (as N) | 0.03 | N/L | N/L | 0.04 | < 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.02 | < 0.01 | |
| Boron | 0.007 | 1.3 | 5 | 0.182 | 0.137 | 0.129 | 0.128 | 0.134 | 0.218 | 0.257 | |
| Calcium | 35 | N/L | N/L | 89.3 | 53.1 | 35.8 | 47.6 | 50.5 | 65.5 | 78.4 | / |
| Chemical Oxygen Demand | 12 | N/L | N/L | 100 | 68 | 33 | 58 | 96 | 56 | 16 | |
| Chloride | 0.7 | 125 | 250 | 3.4 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.6 | |
| Conductivity (µS/cm) ³ | 235 | N/L | N/L | 601 | 374 | 247 | 346 | 340 | 469 | 509 | // |
| Conductivity (µS/cm) ⁴ | 159 | N/L | N/L | 443 | 669 | 168 | 207 | 174 | 315 | 305 | ~ |
| Copper | 0.002 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.0040 | 0.0030 | 0.0030 | < 0.002 | 0.0030 | 0.0039 | 0.0051 | |
| Iron | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.006 | < 0.005 | 0.221 | 1.48 | 0.007 | 0.009 | 0.006 | \wedge |
| Magnesium | 3.9 | N/L | N/L | 12.2 | 7.34 | 5.02 | 7.34 | 7.42 | 10.3 | 11.6 | / |
| Manganese | 0.078 | 0.078 | 0.05 | < 0.001 | 0.005 | 0.012 | 0.064 | 0.001 | 0.003 | < 0.001 | |
| Nitrate (as N) | 0.07 | 2.5 | 10 | 0.3 | 0.54 | 0.20 | 0.61 | 0.55 | 0.26 | 0.13 | |
| Nitrite (as N) | 0.05 | 0.29 | 1 | < 0.1 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | |
| pH (units) ⁴ | 7.00 | 6.5 - 8.5 | 6.5 - 8.5 | 7.62 | 6.70 | 8.05 | 7.58 | 7.58 | 7.29 | 7.35 | \ |
| Phenois | 0.002 | N/L | N/L | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.002 | 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.001 | _/_ |
| Potassium | 2.3 | N/L | N/L | 3.4 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 3.0 | 3.8 | ~/ |
| Sodium | 1.4 | 101 | 200 | 25.8 | 16.3 | 13.1 | 12.6 | 11.1 | 15.5 | 16.0 | $\sqrt{}$ |
| Strontium | 0.058 | N/L | N/L | 0.207 | 0.116 | 0.080 | 0.116 | 0.115 | 0.162 | 0.184 | / |
| Sulphate | 33 | 267 | 500 | 53 | 27 | 15 | 31 | 28 | 33 | 35 | ~ |
| Total Dissolved Solids | 120 | 310 | 500 | 327 | 206 | 126 | 178 | 173 | 242 | 262 | |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | 0.4 | N/L | N/L | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 0.5 | $\overline{}$ |
| Cation Sum (meq/L) | - | N/L | N/L | 6.67 | 4.02 | 2.84 | 3.68 | 3.68 | 4.87 | 5.66 | / |
| Anion Sum (meq/L) | - | N/L | N/L | 6.29 | 3.80 | 2.44 | 3.52 | 3.37 | 5.22 | 5.25 | |
| Anion-Cation Balance (% difference) | - | N/L | N/L | 2.89 | 2.87 | 7.67 | 2.16 | 4.33 | 3.48 | 3.78 | \ |

- Notes:

 1. Reasonable Use Concept (RUC) criteria.

 2. Ontario Drinking Water Standards (ODWS).

 3. Results obtained from laboratory analysis.

 4. Results obtained from field analysis.
- All results are expressed in mg/L unless otherwise stated. Bold and shaded values exceed the ODWS.
- Bold and stated values exceed the ODV Bold and Italic values exceed RUC limits. N/L indicates No Limit.
- "-" indicates parameter not analyzed.





Groundwater Quality Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site

| Parameter | Background | RUC ¹ | ODWS ² | | | | | | | 95-2B | | | | | | | 5-year Trends |
|-------------------------------------|------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|
| Taranteer | (median) | KUC | ODWS | 26-May-16 | 26-Oct-16 | 04-May-17 | 25-Oct-17 | 08-May-18 | 30-Oct-18 | 14-May-19 | 23-Apr-20 | 27-Oct-20 | 18-May-21 | 16-Nov-21 | 31-May-22 | 01-Nov-22 | (sparkline) |
| Alkalinity (as CaCO ₃) | 78 | 289 | 30 - 500 | 197 | 259 | 167 | 243 | 181 | 239 | 178 | 172 | 210 | 212 | 297 | 201 | 269 | \wedge |
| Ammonia, Total (as N) | 0.03 | N/L | N/L | 0.04 | 0.01 | < 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.01 | < 0.01 | 0.02 | ~~~ |
| Boron | 0.007 | 1.3 | 5 | 0.114 | 0.147 | 0.083 | 0.184 | 0.127 | 0.175 | 0.130 | 0.135 | 0.201 | 0.170 | 0.281 | 0.196 | 0.212 | ~~^ |
| Calcium | 35 | N/L | N/L | 64.7 | 78.3 | 47.1 | 81.1 | 58.1 | 84.7 | 54.9 | 57.6 | 75.4 | 63.4 | 101 | 68.2 | 84.6 | $\wedge \wedge \wedge$ |
| Chemical Oxygen Demand | 12 | N/L | N/L | 91 | 113 | 95 | 72 | 54 | 71 | 185 | 480 | 170 | 34 | 16 | 11 | 30 | $\overline{}$ |
| Chloride | 0.7 | 125 | 250 | 2.9 | 4.3 | 1.3 | 2.7 | 1.8 | 3.0 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 1.5 | < 0.5 | ~~~ |
| Conductivity (µS/cm) ³ | 235 | N/L | N/L | 455 | 572 | 391 | 545 | 428 | 553 | 423 | 399 | 488 | 450 | 640 | 455 | 561 | $\wedge \sim \wedge$ |
| Conductivity (µS/cm) ⁴ | 159 | N/L | N/L | 320 | 343 | 235 | 360 | 209 | 334 | 213 | 191 | 491 | 296 | 422 | 294 | 392 | ~\\\ |
| Copper | 0.002 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.0040 | 0.0030 | 0.0020 | 0.0040 | 0.0040 | 0.0050 | < 0.002 | 0.0040 | 0.0050 | 0.0047 | 0.0055 | 0.0069 | 0.0109 | ~ |
| Iron | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.009 | 0.007 | 0.008 | 0.011 | 0.017 | 0.005 | 0.025 | 0.026 | 0.016 | 0.017 | 0.528 | 0.009 | 0.012 | |
| Magnesium | 3.9 | N/L | N/L | 8.6 | 11.5 | 6.14 | 10.7 | 8.05 | 10.7 | 7.96 | 8.08 | 10.9 | 9.31 | 14.1 | 9.84 | 11.3 | \sim |
| Manganese | 0.078 | 0.078 | 0.05 | < 0.001 | 0.001 | < 0.001 | 0.001 | < 0.001 | 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.009 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | \sim |
| Nitrate (as N) | 0.07 | 2.5 | 10 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.43 | 0.15 | 0.28 | 0.24 | 0.37 | 0.26 | 0.35 | 0.38 | 0.22 | 0.22 | < 0.05 | ~~ |
| Nitrite (as N) | 0.05 | 0.29 | 1 | < 0.1 | < 0.1 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | 0.09 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | /_ |
| pH (units) ⁴ | 7.00 | 6.5 - 8.5 | 6.5 - 8.5 | 7.76 | 6.98 | 6.52 | 6.85 | 7.86 | 7.25 | 7.54 | 7.50 | 7.01 | 7.51 | 7.56 | 7.13 | 6.95 | \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\ |
| Phenols | 0.002 | N/L | N/L | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | 0.003 | < 0.002 | 0.004 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | \mathcal{N} |
| Potassium | 2.3 | N/L | N/L | 2.9 | 3.3 | 2.1 | 3.8 | 3.0 | 3.8 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 3.6 | 3.1 | 4.2 | 3.4 | 3.4 | $\wedge \wedge \wedge$ |
| Sodium | 1.4 | 101 | 200 | 18.0 | 22.4 | 11.9 | 22.5 | 15.5 | 20.9 | 15.8 | 13.6 | 16.3 | 14.8 | 21.5 | 15.6 | 15.9 | $\wedge \wedge \wedge$ |
| Strontium | 0.058 | N/L | N/L | 0.149 | 0.168 | 0.115 | 0.167 | 0.130 | 0.169 | 0.125 | 0.127 | 0.168 | 0.146 | 0.221 | 0.148 | 0.167 | \sim |
| Sulphate | 33 | 267 | 500 | 37 | 52 | 24 | 31 | 32 | 36 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 33 | 39 | 31 | 43 | \sim |
| Total Dissolved Solids | 120 | 310 | 500 | 252 | 330 | 215 | 300 | 221 | 287 | 218 | 214 | 254 | 234 | 312 | 239 | 291 | $\wedge \sim \wedge$ |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | 0.4 | N/L | N/L | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 3.3 | 4.8 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 | Λ |
| Cation Sum (meq/L) | - | N/L | N/L | 4.80 | 5.91 | 3.43 | 6.00 | 4.32 | 6.12 | 4.16 | 4.21 | 5.46 | 4.65 | 7.27 | 4.98 | 5.93 | $\wedge \wedge \wedge$ |
| Anion Sum (meq/L) | - | N/L | N/L | 4.81 | 6.42 | 3.88 | 5.59 | 4.36 | 5.63 | 4.28 | 4.17 | 4.96 | 5.00 | 6.82 | 4.71 | 6.28 | \wedge |
| Anion-Cation Balance (% difference) | - | N/L | N/L | 0.137 | 4.13 | 6.14 | 3.60 | 0.505 | 4.16 | 1.40 | 0.426 | 4.84 | 3.61 | 3.20 | 2.74 | 2.88 | \sim |

- 1. Reasonable Use Concept (RUC) criteria.
- Neasonable ose Concept (NOC) citienta.
 Ontario Drinking Water Standards (ODWS).
 Results obtained from laboratory analysis.
 Results obtained from field analysis.

All results are expressed in mg/L unless otherwise stated. Bold and shaded values exceed the ODWS.

Bold and Italic values exeed RUC limits. N/L indicates No Limit.

"-" indicates parameter not analyzed.





Table 4 Groundwater Quality Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site

| Parameter | Background (median) | RUC ¹ | ODWS ² | 95-3B | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------------------------|
| | | | | 25-May-15 | 19-Oct-15 | 26-May-16 | 26-Oct-16 | 04-May-17 | 25-Oct-17 | 08-May-18 | 30-Oct-18 | 14-May-19 | 17-Oct-19 | (sparkline) |
| Alkalinity (as CaCO ₃) | 78 | 289 | 30 - 500 | 242 | 342 | 212 | 376 | 191 | 303 | 127 | 311 | 195 | 292 | $\sim\sim$ |
| Ammonia, Total (as N) | 0.03 | N/L | N/L | < 0.1 | < 0.1 | 0.04 | 0.10 | < 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.09 | 0.06 | \mathcal{N} |
| Boron | 0.007 | 1.3 | 5 | 0.160 | 0.246 | 0.133 | 0.235 | 0.146 | 0.258 | 0.111 | 0.258 | 0.172 | 0.219 | $\wedge \wedge \wedge \wedge$ |
| Calcium | 35 | N/L | N/L | 84.2 | 112.0 | 72.5 | 113 | 64.5 | 103 | 46.4 | 109 | 58.4 | 84.4 | $\sim\sim$ |
| Chemical Oxygen Demand | 12 | N/L | N/L | 13 | 16 | 93 | 222 | 164 | 114 | 156 | 132 | 401 | 81 | $\wedge \wedge$ |
| Chloride | 0.7 | 125 | 250 | 5 | 9.0 | 2.9 | 5.3 | 1.6 | 3.2 | 1.2 | 3.5 | 2.0 | 3.9 | ^ ~~~ |
| Conductivity (µS/cm) ³ | 235 | N/L | N/L | - | - | 504 | 784 | 443 | 660 | 304 | 685 | 416 | 594 | |
| Conductivity (µS/cm) 4 | 159 | N/L | N/L | 329 | 538 | 339 | 555 | 283 | 433 | 189 | 441 | 249 | 635 | ~~~/ |
| Copper | 0.002 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.00353 | 0.00379 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.003 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | 0.002 | |
| Iron | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | < 0.007 | 0.06 | 0.008 | < 0.005 | 0.006 | 0.012 | 0.019 | 0.010 | 0.031 | 0.667 | |
| Magnesium | 3.9 | N/L | N/L | 10.1 | 14.3 | 9.57 | 16.8 | 8.76 | 13.6 | 6.18 | 13.7 | 8.44 | 12.0 | |
| Manganese | 0.078 | 0.078 | 0.05 | 0.00068 | 0.6050 | 0.001 | 0.065 | < 0.001 | 0.027 | 0.002 | 0.038 | 0.003 | 0.069 | |
| Nitrate (as N) | 0.07 | 2.5 | 10 | 0.45 | 0.14 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.78 | 0.21 | 0.42 | 0.43 | 0.89 | 0.58 | ~~~ |
| Nitrite (as N) | 0.05 | 0.29 | 1 | < 0.03 | < 0.03 | < 0.1 | < 0.1 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | |
| pH (units) ⁴ | 7.00 | 6.5 - 8.5 | 6.5 - 8.5 | 7.73 | 7.10 | 6.09 | 6.84 | 6.90 | 6.64 | 8.11 | 7.01 | 7.72 | 7.36 | \\\\\ |
| Phenois | 0.002 | N/L | N/L | 0.003 | < 0.002 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | 0.005 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | $\overline{}$ |
| Potassium | 2.3 | N/L | N/L | 3.47 | 5.29 | 3.2 | 4.7 | 2.5 | 4.8 | 2.5 | 5.0 | 2.7 | 4.2 | $\wedge \wedge \wedge \wedge$ |
| Sodium | 1.4 | 101 | 200 | 20.8 | 32.0 | 21.8 | 33.1 | 17.0 | 29.9 | 13.5 | 27.7 | 13.6 | 22.0 | \\\\\\ |
| Strontium | 0.058 | N/L | N/L | 0.178 | 0.272 | 0.174 | 0.261 | 0.146 | 0.222 | 0.108 | 0.229 | 0.145 | 0.194 | $\sim\sim$ |
| Sulphate | 33 | 267 | 500 | 47 | 63 | 43 | 61 | 30 | 33 | 21 | 40 | 34 | 39 | M_~ |
| Total Dissolved Solids | 120 | 310 | 500 | 326 | 474 | 278 | 455 | 244 | 363 | 156 | 356 | 215 | 333 | ^ |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | 0.4 | N/L | N/L | 0.6 | < 0.5 | 1.6 | 2.8 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 2.1 | 9.9 | 0.4 | \bigwedge |
| Cation Sum (meq/L) | - | N/L | N/L | - | 8.29 | 5.43 | 8.57 | 4.74 | 7.69 | 3.48 | 7.91 | 4.28 | 6.31 | - |
| Anion Sum (meq/L) | - | N/L | N/L | - | 8.40 | 5.25 | 8.97 | 4.48 | 6.85 | 3.05 | 7.18 | 4.72 | 6.80 | - |
| Anion-Cation Balance (% difference) | - | N/L | N/L | - | -0.62 | 1.68 | 2.28 | 2.80 | 5.78 | 6.56 | 4.84 | 4.93 | 3.75 | - |

- 1. Reasonable Use Concept (RUC) criteria.
- Neasonable ose Contept (NOC) Internation
 Ontario Drinking Water Standards (ODWS).
 Results obtained from laboratory analysis.
 Results obtained from field analysis.

All results are expressed in mg/L unless otherwise stated. Bold and shaded values exceed the ODWS.

Bold and stated values exceed the ODV Bold and Italic values exceed RUC limits. N/L indicates No Limit. "-" indicates parameter not analyzed.





Table 4 Groundwater Quality Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site

| Parameter | Background (median) | RUC ¹ | ODWS ² | 95-4 (Background) | | | | | | | | | | | | 5-year Trends |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|
| | | | | 26-May-16 | 26-Oct-16 | 04-May-17 | 25-Oct-17 | 08-May-18 | 14-May-19 | 23-Apr-20 | 27-Oct-20 | 18-May-21 | 16-Nov-21 | 31-May-22 | 01-Nov-22 | (sparkline) |
| Alkalinity (as CaCO ₃) | 78 | 289 | 30 - 500 | 87 | 111 | 55 | 132 | 90 | 39 | 25 | 89 | 79 | 88 | 53 | | \bigvee |
| Ammonia, Total (as N) | 0.03 | N/L | N/L | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.08 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | 0.03 | \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\ |
| Boron | 0.007 | 1.3 | 5 | 0.011 | 0.005 | < 0.005 | 0.016 | 0.009 | 0.005 | 0.006 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.013 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | |
| Calcium | 35 | N/L | N/L | 43.4 | 43.2 | 24.1 | 57.4 | 31.4 | 18.0 | 13.0 | 37.2 | 40.7 | 43.2 | 20.0 | 34.8 | V |
| Chemical Oxygen Demand | 12 | N/L | N/L | 17 | < 5 | 18 | 28 | 33 | 15 | 13 | 9 | 22 | 16 | 9 | 15 | \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\ |
| Chloride | 0.7 | 125 | 250 | < 0.5 | < 0.5 | < 0.5 | 0.9 | < 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.8 | | |
| Conductivity (µS/cm) ³ | 235 | N/L | N/L | 244 | 263 | 152 | 319 | 235 | 111 | 86 | 226 | 231 | 244 | 141 | | V |
| Conductivity (µS/cm) 4 | 159 | N/L | N/L | 179 | 140 | 161 | 168 | 137 | 124 | 64 | 183 | 173 | 173 | 120 | 148 | \ |
| Copper | 0.002 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.0030 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | 0.0030 | < 0.002 | 0.0030 | 0.0030 | 0.0031 | 0.0048 | 0.0121 | 0.0051 | |
| Iron | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.0620 | 0.365 | 0.026 | 0.402 | 0.029 | 0.011 | 0.097 | 0.045 | 0.216 | 0.024 | 0.022 | 0.034 | |
| Magnesium | 3.9 | N/L | N/L | 3.85 | 3.58 | 3.01 | 4.62 | 3.43 | 1.98 | 1.45 | 3.52 | 3.81 | 3.46 | 2.16 | 2.82 | \ |
| Manganese | 0.078 | 0.078 | 0.05 | 0.076 | 0.129 | 0.017 | 0.357 | 0.022 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.018 | 0.057 | 0.025 | 0.007 | 0.015 | |
| Nitrate (as N) | 0.07 | 2.5 | 10 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.11 | 0.11 | < 0.05 | 0.18 | 0.06 | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.12 | 0.09 | | // |
| Nitrite (as N) | 0.05 | 0.29 | 1 | < 0.1 | 0.2 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | 0.07 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | | |
| pH (units) ⁴ | 7.00 | 6.5 - 8.5 | 6.5 - 8.5 | 6.23 | 7.06 | 6.46 | 6.14 | 7.96 | 6.86 | 6.56 | 6.92 | 7.03 | 7.59 | 7.04 | 6.53 | |
| Phenois | 0.002 | N/L | N/L | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | 0.001 | < 0.001 | |
| Potassium | 2.3 | N/L | N/L | 2.0 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 1.5 | V1 |
| Sodium | 1.4 | 101 | 200 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 1.0 | |
| Strontium | 0.058 | N/L | N/L | 0.100 | 0.091 | 0.065 | 0.127 | 0.069 | 0.027 | 0.029 | 0.078 | 0.096 | 0.093 | 0.044 | 0.065 | |
| Sulphate | 33 | 267 | 500 | 23 | 24 | 17 | 23 | 22 | 13 | 11 | 21 | 23 | 23 | 17 | | |
| Total Dissolved Solids | 120 | 310 | 500 | 122 | 150 | 84 | 175 | 120 | 56 | 42 | 120 | 102 | 111 | 72 | | V |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | 0.4 | N/L | N/L | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | |
| Cation Sum (meq/L) | - | N/L | N/L | 2.62 | 2.60 | 1.57 | 3.44 | 1.97 | 1.14 | 0.841 | 2.27 | 2.47 | 2.56 | 1.26 | | |
| Anion Sum (meq/L) | - | N/L | N/L | 2.22 | 2.74 | 1.46 | 3.15 | 2.27 | 1.07 | 0.755 | 2.25 | 2.10 | 2.27 | 1.45 | | V |
| Anion-Cation Balance (% difference) | - | N/L | N/L | 8.23 | 2.56 | 3.68 | 4.53 | 7.08 | 3.34 | 5.36 | 0.371 | 8.14 | 5.97 | 6.94 | | W~ |

- 1. Reasonable Use Concept (RUC) criteria.
- Neasonable ose Contept (NOC) Internation
 Ontario Drinking Water Standards (ODWS).
 Results obtained from laboratory analysis.
 Results obtained from field analysis.

All results are expressed in mg/L unless otherwise stated. Bold and shaded values exceed the ODWS.

Bold and Italic values exeed RUC limits. N/L indicates No Limit.

"-" indicates parameter not analyzed.





Table 4 Groundwater Quality Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site

| | | | | | 91-2C | | | |
|---|--------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Parameter | ODWS 1 | 26-May-16 | 04-May-17 | 08-May-18 | 14-May-19 | 23-Apr-20 | 18-May-21 | 31-May-22 |
| Acetone | N/L | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.03 | < 0.03 | < 0.03 | < 0.03 |
| Benzene | 0.001 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 |
| Bromobenzene | N/L | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0004 | < 0.0004 | < 0.0004 | < 0.0004 |
| Bromodichloromethane | N/L | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 |
| Bromoform | | - | | - | | | | |
| | N/L | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 |
| Bromomethane | N/L | < 0.0003 | < 0.0003 | < 0.0003 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 |
| Carbon tetrachloride | 0.002 | < 0.0002 | < 0.0002 | < 0.0002 | < 0.0002 | < 0.0002 | < 0.0002 | < 0.0002 |
| Monochlorobenzene (Chlorobenzene) | 0.08 | < 0.0002 | < 0.0002 | < 0.0002 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 |
| Chloroethane | N/L | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | < 0.003 | < 0.003 | < 0.003 | < 0.003 |
| Chloroform | N/L | < 0.0003 | < 0.0003 | < 0.0003 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 |
| Chloromethane | N/L | < 0.0003 | < 0.0003 | < 0.0003 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 |
| Chlorotoluene,2- | N/L | < 0.0002 | < 0.0002 | < 0.0002 | < 0.0002 | < 0.0002 | < 0.0002 | < 0.0002 |
| Chlorotoluene,4- | N/L | < 0.0002 | < 0.0002 | < 0.0002 | < 0.0002 | < 0.0002 | < 0.0002 | < 0.0002 |
| Dibromo-3-Chloropropane, 1,2- | N/L | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.0006 | < 0.0006 | < 0.0006 | < 0.0006 |
| Dibromochloromethane | N/L | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 |
| Dibromoethane,1,2- (Ethylene Dibromide) | N/L | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0002 | < 0.0002 | < 0.0002 | < 0.0002 |
| Dibromomethane | N/L | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 |
| Dichlorobenzene,1,2- | 0.2 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 |
| Dichlorobenzene,1,3- | N/L | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 |
| Dichlorobenzene,1,4- | 0.005 | 0.0003 | < 0.0002 | < 0.0002 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | N/L | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 |
| Dichloroethane,1,1- | N/L | 0.0002 | < 0.0001 | 0.0002 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 |
| Dichloroethane,1,2- | 0.005 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 |
| Dichloroethene, cis-1,2- | N/L | 0.0013 | 0.0008 | 0.0009 | 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | 0.0006 | < 0.0005 |
| Dichloroethene, trans-1,2- | N/L | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0003 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 |
| | | - | | < 0.0001 | | | | |
| Dichloroethene,1,1- | 0.014 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 |
| Dichloromethane (Methylene Chloride) | 0.05 | < 0.0003 | < 0.0003 | < 0.0003 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 |
| Dichloropropane,1,2- | N/L | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 |
| Dichloropropane,1,3- | N/L | < 0.0002 | < 0.0002 | < 0.0002 | < 0.0002 | < 0.0002 | < 0.0002 | < 0.0002 |
| Dichloropropane,2,2- | N/L | < 0.0002 | < 0.0002 | < 0.0002 | - | - | - | - |
| Dichloropropene, cis-1,3- | N/L | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 |
| Dichloropropene, trans-1,3- | N/L | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 |
| Dichloropropene,1,1- | N/L | < 0.0002 | < 0.0002 | < 0.0002 | < 0.0002 | < 0.0002 | < 0.0002 | < 0.0002 |
| Ethylbenzene | 0.14 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | N/L | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.0006 | < 0.0006 | < 0.0006 | < 0.0006 |
| Hexane | N/L | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 |
| Isopropylbenzene | N/L | < 0.0002 | < 0.0002 | < 0.0002 | < 0.0002 | < 0.0002 | < 0.0002 | < 0.0002 |
| Isopropyltoluene,4- | N/L | < 0.0004 | < 0.0004 | < 0.0004 | < 0.0002 | < 0.0002 | < 0.0002 | < 0.0002 |
| Methyl Butyl Ketone | N/L | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 |
| Methyl Ethyl Ketone | N/L | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.02 | < 0.02 | < 0.02 | < 0.02 |
| Methyl Isobutyl Ketone | N/L | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.02 | < 0.02 | < 0.02 | < 0.02 |
| Methyl-t-butyl Ether | N/L | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 |
| Naphthalene | N/L | < 0.0007 | < 0.0007 | < 0.0007 | < 0.0004 | < 0.0004 | < 0.0004 | < 0.0004 |
| n-Butylbenzene | N/L | < 0.0007 | < 0.0007 | < 0.0007 | < 0.0004 | < 0.0004 | < 0.0004 | < 0.0004 |
| · | N/L | < 0.0004 | < 0.0004 | < 0.0004 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 |
| n-Propylbenzene sec-Butylbenzene | N/L | < 0.0004 | < 0.0004 | < 0.0004 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 |
| | | | | · | | | | |
| Styrene tort Butulbanzana | N/L | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 |
| tert-Butylbenzene | N/L | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 |
| Tetrachloroethane 1,1,1,2- | N/L | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 |
| Tetrachloroethane 1,1,2,2- | N/L | < 0.0004 | < 0.0004 | < 0.0004 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 0.03 | < 0.0002 | < 0.0002 | < 0.0002 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 |
| Toluene | 0.06 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 |
| Total Purgeables (Gasoline, C6-C10) | N/L | < 0.050 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Trichlorobenzene,1,2,3- | N/L | < 0.0002 | < 0.0002 | < 0.0002 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 |
| Trichlorobenzene,1,2,4- | N/L | < 0.0002 | < 0.0002 | < 0.0002 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 |
| Trichloroethane,1,1,1- | N/L | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 |
| Trichloroethane,1,1,2- | N/L | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 |
| Trichloroethylene | 0.005 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | N/L | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 |
| Trichloropropane,1,2,3- | N/L | < 0.0002 | < 0.0002 | < 0.0002 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 |
| Trimethylbenzene,1,2,4- | N/L | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 |
| Trimethylbenzene,1,3,5- | N/L | < 0.0002 | < 0.0006 | < 0.0006 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 |
| | 0.001 | < 0.0008 | | < 0.0008 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 |
| Vinyl Chloride | | | < 0.0002 | - | | | | |
| Xylene (Total) | 0.09 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0015 | < 0.0015 | < 0.0015 | < 0.0015 |
| Xylene, m,p- | N/L | < 0.0004 | < 0.0004 | < 0.0004 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 |
| Xylene, o- | N/L | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 | < 0.0005 |

Notes:
1. Ontario Drinking Water Standards (ODWS).

All results are expressed in mg/L unless otherwise stated. Bold and shaded values exceed the ODWS. N/L indicates No Limit.
"." indicates parameter not analyzed.





Table 5 Groundwater Quality Compared to PWQO Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site

| | | | | | | | | 95-1 (Bac | kground) | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Parameter | PWQO ¹ | 26-May-16 | 26-Oct-16 | 04-May-17 | 25-Oct-17 | 08-May-18 | 30-Oct-18 | 14-May-19 | 17-Oct-19 | 23-Apr-20 | 27-Oct-20 | 18-May-21 | 16-Nov-21 | 31-May-22 | 01-Nov-22 |
| Alkalinity (as CaCO ₃) | 25% Decrease | 80 | 79 | 58 | 77 | 53 | 63 | 57 | 67 | 49 | 68 | 84 | 83 | 67 | 85 |
| Ammonia, Total (as N) | N/L | 0.03 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.10 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.01 | < 0.01 | 0.02 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 |
| Boron | 0.2 | 0.007 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | 0.008 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | 0.005 | < 0.005 | 0.007 | 0.005 | 0.010 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 |
| Calcium | N/L | 40.8 | 34.1 | 26.9 | 33.6 | 27.0 | 34.3 | 23.8 | 28.3 | 29.5 | 36.5 | 35.0 | 37.7 | 36.6 | 41.8 |
| Chemical Oxygen Demand | N/L | 13 | < 5 | < 5 | 9 | 12 | < 5 | 7 | 15 | 8 | 7 | < 5 | 12 | < 5 | < 5 |
| Chloride | N/L | < 0.5 | < 0.5 | < 0.5 | 0.8 | < 0.5 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.9 | < 0.5 |
| Conductivity (µS/cm) ² | N/L | 260 | 252 | 204 | 249 | 190 | 212 | 208 | 209 | 197 | 236 | 251 | 255 | 248 | 288 |
| Conductivity (µS/cm) ³ | N/L | 157 | 179 | 140 | 175 | 118 | 170 | 119 | 94 | 111 | 220 | 159 | 303 | 151 | 108 |
| Copper | 0.005 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | 0.002 | < 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.004 | 0.0010 | 0.0017 |
| Iron | 0.3 | 2.04 | 0.758 | 1.32 | 1.20 | < 0.005 | 0.750 | 0.860 | 0.923 | 0.959 | 1.10 | 0.55 | 1.72 | 2.14 | 0.509 |
| Magnesium | N/L | 5.28 | 5.49 | 3.94 | 4.96 | 4.01 | 4.69 | 3.77 | 4.07 | 4.46 | 5.45 | 5.39 | 5.60 | 5.64 | 5.73 |
| Manganese | N/L | 0.183 | 0.105 | 0.103 | 0.073 | 0.113 | 0.069 | 0.078 | 0.063 | 0.121 | 0.147 | 0.085 | 0.136 | 0.198 | 0.101 |
| Nitrate (as N) | N/L | < 0.1 | 0.1 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.18 | < 0.05 | 0.07 | < 0.05 | 0.10 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 |
| Nitrite (as N) | N/L | < 0.1 | 0.1 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | 0.08 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 |
| pH (units) ³ | 6.5 - 8.5 | 7.28 | 6.71 | 6.33 | 6.22 | 7.41 | 6.84 | 6.87 | 7.04 | 6.55 | 6.41 | 7.44 | 7.73 | 7.01 | 6.29 |
| Phenois | 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | 0.003 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 |
| Potassium | N/L | 3.1 | 3.2 | 2.2 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 2.1 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 3.8 | 3.6 | 3.2 |
| Sodium | N/L | 1.4 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.3 |
| Strontium | N/L | 0.075 | 0.053 | 0.040 | 0.048 | 0.038 | 0.051 | 0.038 | 0.044 | 0.047 | 0.056 | 0.055 | 0.060 | 0.055 | 0.060 |
| Sulphate | N/L | 40 | 45 | 33 | 35 | 36 | 35 | 38 | 35 | 33 | 36 | 40 | 38 | 44 | 55 |
| Total Dissolved Solids | N/L | 138 | 138 | 112 | 137 | 97 | 108 | 106 | 110 | 93 | 113 | 124 | 115 | 120 | 131 |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | N/L | 0.1 | 0.2 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | < 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | < 0.1 |
| Cation Sum (meq/L) | N/L | 2.72 | 2.36 | 1.85 | 2.30 | 1.82 | 2.29 | 1.66 | 1.94 | 2.03 | 2.48 | 2.36 | 2.61 | 2.57 | 2.73 |
| Anion Sum (meq/L) | N/L | 2.45 | 2.54 | 1.86 | 2.29 | 1.81 | 2.04 | 1.96 | 2.10 | 1.71 | 2.12 | 2.55 | 2.45 | 2.28 | 2.84 |
| Anion-Cation Balance (% difference) | N/L | 5.36 | 3.77 | 0.312 | 0.201 | 0.0285 | 5.84 | 8.26 | 3.92 | 8.55 | 8.03 | 3.97 | 3.12 | 6.00 | 2.08 |

All results are expressed in mg/L unless otherwise stated. Bold and shaded values exceed thePWQO.

N/L indicates No Limit.

"-" indicates parameter not analyzed.



Provincial Water Quality Objectives (PWQO).
 Results obtained from laboratory analysis.

Results obtained from field analysis.



Table 5 Groundwater Quality Compared to PWQO Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site

| | 1 | | | | | | 95-4 (Bac | kground) | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Parameter | PWQO ¹ | 26-May-16 | 26-Oct-16 | 04-May-17 | 25-Oct-17 | 08-May-18 | 14-May-19 | 23-Apr-20 | 27-Oct-20 | 18-May-21 | 16-Nov-21 | 31-May-22 | 01-Nov-22 |
| Alkalinity (as CaCO ₃) | 25% Decrease | 87 | 111 | 55 | 132 | 90 | 39 | 25 | 89 | 79 | 88 | 53 | |
| Ammonia, Total (as N) | N/L | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.08 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | 0.03 |
| Boron | 0.2 | 0.011 | 0.005 | < 0.005 | 0.016 | 0.009 | 0.005 | 0.006 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.013 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 |
| Calcium | N/L | 43.4 | 43.2 | 24.1 | 57.4 | 31.4 | 18.0 | 13.0 | 37.2 | 40.7 | 43.2 | 20.0 | 34.8 |
| Chemical Oxygen Demand | N/L | 17 | < 5 | 18 | 28 | 33 | 15 | 13 | 9 | 22 | 16 | 9 | 15 |
| Chloride | N/L | < 0.5 | < 0.5 | < 0.5 | 0.9 | < 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.8 | |
| Conductivity (µS/cm) ² | N/L | 244 | 263 | 152 | 319 | 235 | 111 | 86 | 226 | 231 | 244 | 141 | |
| Conductivity (µS/cm) 3 | N/L | 179 | 140 | 161 | 168 | 137 | 124 | 64 | 183 | 173 | 173 | 120 | 148 |
| Copper | 0.005 | 0.003 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | 0.003 | < 0.002 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.0031 | 0.0048 | 0.0121 | 0.0051 |
| Iron | 0.3 | 0.062 | 0.365 | 0.026 | 0.402 | 0.029 | 0.011 | 0.097 | 0.045 | 0.216 | 0.024 | 0.022 | 0.034 |
| Magnesium | N/L | 3.85 | 3.58 | 3.01 | 4.62 | 3.43 | 1.98 | 1.45 | 3.52 | 3.81 | 3.46 | 2.16 | 2.82 |
| Manganese | N/L | 0.076 | 0.129 | 0.017 | 0.357 | 0.022 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.018 | 0.057 | 0.025 | 0.007 | 0.015 |
| Nitrate (as N) | N/L | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.11 | 0.11 | < 0.05 | 0.18 | 0.06 | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.12 | 0.09 | |
| Nitrite (as N) | N/L | < 0.1 | 0.2 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | 0.07 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | |
| pH (units) ³ | 6.5 - 8.5 | 6.23 | 7.06 | 6.46 | 6.14 | 7.96 | 6.86 | 6.56 | 6.92 | 7.03 | 7.59 | 7.04 | 6.53 |
| Phenols | 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | 0.001 | < 0.001 |
| Potassium | N/L | 2.0 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 1.5 |
| Sodium | N/L | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 1.0 |
| Strontium | N/L | 0.100 | 0.091 | 0.065 | 0.127 | 0.069 | 0.027 | 0.029 | 0.078 | 0.096 | 0.093 | 0.044 | 0.065 |
| Sulphate | N/L | 23 | 24 | 17 | 23 | 22 | 13 | 11 | 21 | 23 | 23 | 17 | |
| Total Dissolved Solids | N/L | 122 | 150 | 84 | 175 | 120 | 56 | 42 | 120 | 102 | 111 | 72 | |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | N/L | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Cation Sum (meq/L) | N/L | 2.62 | 2.60 | 1.57 | 3.44 | 1.97 | 1.14 | 0.841 | 2.27 | 2.47 | 2.56 | 1.26 | |
| Anion Sum (meq/L) | N/L | 2.22 | 2.74 | 1.46 | 3.15 | 2.27 | 1.07 | 0.755 | 2.25 | 2.10 | 2.27 | 1.45 | |
| Anion-Cation Balance (% difference) | N/L | 8.23 | 2.56 | 3.68 | 4.53 | 7.08 | 3.34 | 5.36 | 0.371 | 8.14 | 5.97 | 6.94 | |

Provincial Water Quality Objectives (PWQO).
 Results obtained from laboratory analysis.

Results obtained from field analysis. All results are expressed in mg/L unless otherwise stated.

Bold and shaded values exceed thePWQO.

N/L indicates No Limit.

"-" indicates parameter not analyzed.





Table 5 Groundwater Quality Compared to PWQO Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site

| | 1 | | | | | | | 91- | -4A | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Parameter | PWQO ¹ | 26-May-16 | 26-Oct-16 | 04-May-17 | 25-Oct-17 | 08-May-18 | 30-Oct-18 | 14-May-19 | 17-Oct-19 | 23-Apr-20 | 27-Oct-20 | 18-May-21 | 16-Nov-21 | 31-May-22 | 01-Nov-22 |
| Alkalinity (as CaCO ₃) | 25% Decrease | 323 | 534 | 348 | 473 | 294 | 454 | 331 | 443 | 322 | 399 | 280 | 497 | 293 | 421 |
| Ammonia, Total (as N) | N/L | 0.02 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.04 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 |
| Boron | 0.2 | 0.243 | 0.400 | 0.233 | 0.374 | 0.204 | 0.378 | 0.228 | 0.363 | 0.219 | 0.359 | 0.184 | 0.370 | 0.204 | 0.271 |
| Calcium | N/L | 107 | 178 | 115 | 165 | 104 | 183 | 108 | 158 | 113 | 149 | 82.7 | 163 | 101 | 132 |
| Chemical Oxygen Demand | N/L | 14 | 9 | 6 | 22 | 14 | 12 | 15 | 23 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 18 | < 5 | 14 |
| Chloride | N/L | 3.8 | 8.9 | 2.4 | 5.2 | 1.9 | 5.6 | 2.6 | 5.6 | 2.7 | 4.7 | 2.5 | 4.3 | 2.3 | 1.7 |
| Conductivity (µS/cm) ² | N/L | 699 | 1120 | 718 | 1030 | 612 | 998 | 723 | 954 | 695 | 899 | 566 | 1009 | 634 | 849 |
| Conductivity (µS/cm) 3 | N/L | 512 | 756 | 473 | 635 | 398 | 594 | 441 | 997 | 390 | 761 | 359 | 624 | 352 | 616 |
| Copper | 0.005 | 0.0040 | 0.0030 | 0.0020 | < 0.002 | 0.0040 | 0.0020 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | 0.0020 | 0.0030 | 0.0023 | 0.0046 | 0.0027 | 0.0032 |
| Iron | 0.3 | < 0.005 | 0.010 | 0.009 | 0.020 | 0.011 | 0.018 | 0.006 | 0.012 | < 0.005 | 0.014 | 0.121 | 0.021 | 0.010 | 0.025 |
| Magnesium | N/L | 19.4 | 35.0 | 20.6 | 30.0 | 18.2 | 30.9 | 20.3 | 29.5 | 20.9 | 29.0 | 16.1 | 29.9 | 19.1 | 24.0 |
| Manganese | N/L | 0.009 | 0.040 | 0.023 | 0.056 | 0.021 | 0.078 | 0.013 | 0.033 | 0.011 | 0.027 | 0.053 | 0.044 | 0.019 | 0.031 |
| Nitrate (as N) | N/L | 0.6 | 0.6 | 1.05 | 0.94 | 0.68 | 0.52 | 1.20 | 0.31 | 0.89 | 0.34 | 0.41 | 0.65 | 0.33 | < 0.05 |
| Nitrite (as N) | N/L | < 0.1 | < 0.1 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | 0.07 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 |
| pH (units) ³ | 6.5 - 8.5 | 6.02 | 6.83 | 6.62 | 6.74 | 7.10 | 6.78 | 7.20 | 7.35 | 6.99 | 6.68 | 7.04 | 7.37 | 7.07 | 6.61 |
| Phenols | 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | 0.012 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 |
| Potassium | N/L | 6.1 | 9.4 | 6.2 | 9.2 | 7.0 | 10.1 | 6.6 | 9.2 | 7.0 | 9.0 | 6.0 | 9.4 | 6.8 | 7.3 |
| Sodium | N/L | 14.9 | 26.5 | 14.6 | 22.4 | 14.3 | 25.5 | 12.8 | 22.1 | 12.2 | 21.2 | 11.0 | 23.1 | 11.4 | 15.9 |
| Strontium | N/L | 0.393 | 0.604 | 0.405 | 0.557 | 0.357 | 0.553 | 0.387 | 0.535 | 0.388 | 0.488 | 0.359 | 0.564 | 0.377 | 0.423 |
| Sulphate | N/L | 51 | 101 | 39 | 69 | 30 | 77 | 43 | 60 | 38 | 59 | 31 | 69 | 34 | 66 |
| Total Dissolved Solids | N/L | 389 | 634 | 395 | 566 | 318 | 532 | 377 | 504 | 369 | 464 | 291 | 521 | 329 | 447 |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | N/L | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Cation Sum (meq/L) | N/L | 7.74 | 13.1 | 8.24 | 11.9 | 7.49 | 13.0 | 7.79 | 11.5 | 8.07 | 11.0 | 6.1 | 11.8 | 7.28 | 9.44 |
| Anion Sum (meq/L) | N/L | 7.67 | 13.1 | 7.83 | 11.1 | 6.59 | 10.9 | 7.67 | 10.3 | 7.37 | 9.36 | 6.35 | 11.5 | 6.65 | 9.83 |
| Anion-Cation Balance (% difference) | N/L | 0.479 | 0.289 | 2.53 | 3.53 | 6.37 | 9.15 | 0.736 | 5.60 | 4.55 | 7.95 | 2.05 | 1.31 | 4.54 | 2.01 |

 Results obtained from field analysis.

All results are expressed in mg/L unless otherwise stated. Bold and shaded values exceed thePWQO.

N/L indicates No Limit.

"-" indicates parameter not analyzed.



Provincial Water Quality Objectives (PWQO).
 Results obtained from laboratory analysis.



Table 6 Surface Water Quality Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site

| Parameter | Background | PWQO ¹ | | | sv | V-1 | | | 5-year Trends |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------------|
| i didilicici | (75th Percentile) | rwqo | 25-May-15 | 26-May-16 | 04-May-17 | 08-May-18 | 14-May-19 | 23-Apr-20 | (sparkline) |
| Alkalinity (as CaCO ₃) | 132 | 25 % Decrease | 236 | 316 | 192 | 132 | 169 | 191 | |
| Ammonia, Total (as N) | 0.1 | N/L | 0.1 | 0.02 | < 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 0.02 | \nearrow |
| Ammonia, Un-ionized (as N) ² | 0.00038 | 0.02 | 0.0002 | 0.00003 | 0.00001 | 0.00028 | 0.00037 | 0.00007 | |
| Biological Oxygen Demand | 4 | N/L | < 4 | < 2 | < 2 | < 2 | < 3 | < 3 | |
| Boron | 0.0083 | 0.2 | 0.183 | 0.260 | 0.201 | 0.126 | 0.192 | 0.228 | |
| Cadmium | 0.000022 | 0.0002 | 0.000205 | 0.00005 | 0.000098 | 0.000019 | 0.000029 | 0.000024 | |
| Calcium | 51 | N/L | 81.7 | 105 | 62.9 | 51.7 | 66.4 | 74.9 | |
| Chemical Oxygen Demand | 15 | N/L | 14 | 28 | 12 | 15 | 15 | 18 | / |
| Chloride | 15 | N/L | 5 | 4.7 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 2.2 | |
| Conductivity (µS/cm) ³ | 342 | N/L | - | 707 | 451 | 304 | 424 | 470 | |
| Conductivity (µS/cm) ⁴ | 241 | N/L | 120 | 532 | 298 | 212 | 255 | 232 | \ <u></u> |
| Copper | 0.0015 | 0.005 | 0.0129 | 0.0041 | 0.0068 | 0.0023 | 0.0027 | 0.0024 | |
| Dissolved Oxygen ⁴ | 14.04 | 5 | 10.22 | 12.66 | 11.99 | 10.80 | 12.23 | 15.82 | / |
| Iron | 0.104 | 0.3 | 0.836 | 0.060 | 0.036 | 0.046 | 0.056 | 0.012 | |
| Magnesium | 7.86 | N/L | 10.5 | 14.7 | 8.87 | 7.32 | 8.58 | 10.2 | / |
| Manganese | 0.013 | N/L | 0.363 | 0.064 | 0.015 | 0.019 | 0.016 | 0.013 | |
| Nitrate (as N) | 0.09 | N/L | 0.96 | 0.5 | 0.76 | 0.46 | 0.90 | 0.92 | |
| Nitrite (as N) | 0.06 | N/L | < 0.03 | < 0.1 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | |
| pH (units) 4 | 8.01 | 6.5 - 8.5 | 6.99 | 6.87 | 6.83 | 7.87 | 7.46 | 7.44 | / |
| Phenols | 0.001 | 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | |
| Phosphorus, Total | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.088 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | < 0.01 | $\overline{}$ |
| Potassium | 2.1 | N/L | 3.81 | 3.9 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 3.1 | / |
| Sodium | 7.2 | N/L | 24.3 | 29.7 | 16.7 | 14.9 | 13.5 | 15.0 | \ <u></u> |
| Strontium | 0.12 | N/L | 0.182 | 0.260 | 0.148 | 0.151 | 0.152 | 0.170 | _/ |
| Sulphate | 14 | N/L | 55 | 59 | 31 | 22 | 34 | 35 | |
| Total Dissolved Solids | 192 | N/L | 331 | 391 | 248 | 156 | 229 | 236 | \ <u></u> |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | 0.5 | N/L | < 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | |
| Zinc | 0.009 | 0.02 | 0.007 | < 0.005 | 0.008 | 0.018 | 0.012 | < 0.005 | |
| Cation Sum (meq/L) | - | N/L | 6.10 | 7.86 | 4.66 | 3.89 | 4.68 | 5.31 | - |
| Anion Sum (meq/L) | - | N/L | 6.01 | 7.71 | 4.52 | 3.16 | 4.21 | 4.67 | - |
| Anion-Cation Balance (% Difference) | - | N/L | 0.67 | 0.950 | 1.59 | 10.40 | 5.28 | 6.38 | - |

- Provincial Water Quality Objectives (PWQO).
 Calculated using Total Ammonia and field analysis.
 Results obtained from laboratory analysis.

- Results obtained from laboratory analysis.
 Results obtained from field analysis.
 All results are expressed in mg/L unless otherwise stated.
 Bold and shaded values exceed the PWQO.
- N/L indicates No Limit.
 "-" indicates parameter not analyzed.





Table 6 Surface Water Quality Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site

| Parameter | Background | PWQO ¹ | | | | | SV | N-2 | | | | | 5-year Trends |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|-----------------|
| | (75th Percentile) | | 26-May-16 | 26-Oct-16 | 04-May-17 | 25-Oct-17 | 08-May-18 | 14-May-19 | 23-Apr-20 | 18-May-21 | 16-Nov-21 | 31-May-22 | (sparkline) |
| Alkalinity (as CaCO ₃) | 132 | 25 % Decrease | 140 | 171 | 91 | 178 | 101 | 91 | 89 | 134 | 166 | 142 | |
| Ammonia, Total (as N) | 0.1 | N/L | 0.02 | 0.01 | < 0.01 | 0.10 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.01 | < 0.01 | 0.02 | < 0.01 | ^_ |
| Ammonia, Un-ionized (as N) ² | 0.00038 | 0.02 | 0.00004 | 0.00008 | 0.00001 | 0.00012 | 0.00001 | 0.00027 | 0.00005 | 0.00011 | 0.00022 | 0.00007 | $\wedge \wedge$ |
| Biological Oxygen Demand | 4 | N/L | < 2 | < 2 | < 2 | 9 | < 2 | < 3 | < 3 | < 3 | < 3 | < 3 | |
| Boron | 0.0083 | 0.2 | 0.007 | < 0.005 | 0.017 | 0.066 | 0.005 | 0.005 | < 0.005 | 0.008 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | |
| Cadmium | 0.000022 | 0.0002 | 0.00002 | < 0.00002 | 0.000083 | 0.00147 | 0.000025 | 0.000061 | < 0.000015 | < 0.000015 | < 0.000015 | 0.000018 | |
| Calcium | 51 | N/L | 48.0 | 60.8 | 34.7 | 82.1 | 42.3 | 36.6 | 36.2 | 49.5 | 55.2 | 51.5 | |
| Chemical Oxygen Demand | 15 | N/L | 19 | < 5 | 6 | 575 | 27 | 17 | 11 | < 5 | 6 | 5 | \ |
| Chloride | 15 | N/L | 4.2 | 5.3 | 10.6 | 6.3 | 7.8 | 9.3 | 9.1 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 7.1 | |
| Conductivity (µS/cm) 3 | 342 | N/L | 303 | 373 | 251 | 381 | 235 | 234 | 241 | 307 | 354 | 323 | |
| Conductivity (µS/cm) ⁴ | 241 | N/L | 201 | 225 | 166 | 270 | 165 | 140 | 121 | 216 | 246 | 217 | |
| Copper | 0.0015 | 0.005 | 0.0018 | 0.0003 | 0.0054 | 0.0551 | 0.0019 | 0.0033 | 0.0009 | 0.0009 | 0.0011 | 0.0013 | 1 |
| Dissolved Oxygen ⁴ | 14.04 | 5 | 16.07 | 8.05 | 13.53 | 7.00 | 11.51 | 12.57 | 14.09 | 7.58 | 12.20 | 6.09 | |
| Iron | 0.104 | 0.3 | 0.276 | 0.478 | 0.019 | 44.8 | 0.387 | 0.941 | 0.006 | 0.080 | 0.022 | 0.228 | |
| Magnesium | 7.86 | N/L | 7.20 | 9.47 | 5.16 | 17.0 | 6.21 | 5.11 | 5.00 | 6.64 | 9.35 | 7.09 | |
| Manganese | 0.013 | N/L | 0.033 | 0.089 | 0.003 | 7.14 | 0.089 | 0.192 | 0.001 | 0.013 | 0.009 | 0.046 | 1 |
| Nitrate (as N) | 0.09 | N/L | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.12 | 0.21 | 0.07 | 0.11 | 0.10 | < 0.05 | ^ |
| Nitrite (as N) | 0.06 | N/L | < 0.1 | < 0.1 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | 0.07 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | |
| pH (units) 4 | 8.01 | 6.5 - 8.5 | 7.12 | 7.79 | 6.95 | 6.80 | 6.56 | 7.82 | 7.65 | 7.71 | 7.91 | 7.53 | |
| Phenols | 0.001 | 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | |
| Phosphorus, Total | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 2.97 | 0.05 | 0.12 | < 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.04 | \ |
| Potassium | 2.1 | N/L | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 3.0 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 1.2 | ─ |
| Sodium | 7.2 | N/L | 3.4 | 3.7 | 6.0 | 5.4 | 6.6 | 5.3 | 5.6 | 5.5 | 4.1 | 5.4 | ~~ |
| Strontium | 0.12 | N/L | 0.104 | 0.113 | 0.085 | 0.181 | 0.112 | 0.080 | 0.083 | 0.100 | 0.111 | 0.106 | |
| Sulphate | 14 | N/L | 10 | 12 | 11 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 10 | 11 | 11 | / |
| Total Dissolved Solids | 192 | N/L | 169 | 204 | 138 | 210 | 120 | 123 | 118 | 147 | 183 | 157 | |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | 0.5 | N/L | 0.4 | 0.5 | 2.5 | 17.4 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | |
| Zinc | 0.009 | 0.02 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | 0.007 | 0.623 | 0.019 | 0.015 | < 0.005 | 0.013 | 0.010 | < 0.005 | |
| Cation Sum (meq/L) | - | N/L | 3.19 | 4.05 | 2.46 | 8.53 | 2.97 | 2.57 | 2.50 | 3.30 | 3.75 | 3.43 | |
| Anion Sum (meq/L) | - | N/L | 3.13 | 3.82 | 2.35 | 3.85 | 2.43 | 2.30 | 2.30 | 3.06 | 3.71 | 3.27 | |
| Anion-Cation Balance (% Difference) | - | N/L | 0.898 | 2.87 | 2.28 | 37.8 | 10.1 | 5.55 | 4.22 | 3.70 | 0.538 | 2.51 | <u></u> |

- Provincial Water Quality Objectives (PWQO).
 Calculated using Total Ammonia and field analysis.
 Results obtained from laboratory analysis.
- Results obtained from laboratory analysis.
 Results obtained from field analysis.
 All results are expressed in mg/L unless otherwise stated.
 Bold and shaded values exceed the PWQO.

- N/L indicates No Limit.
 "-" indicates parameter not analyzed.





Table 6 Surface Water Quality Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site

| Parameter | Background | PWQO ¹ | | | | | SV | W-3 (Backgrour | nd) | | | | | 5-year Trends |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|------------|----------------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------------|
| T didilicati | (75th Percentile) | rwgo | 26-May-16 | 04-May-17 | 25-Oct-17 | 08-May-18 | 14-May-19 | 23-Apr-20 | 27-Oct-20 | 18-May-21 | 16-Nov-21 | 31-May-22 | 01-Nov-22 | (sparkline) |
| Alkalinity (as CaCO ₃) | 132 | 25 % Decrease | 127 | 82 | 162 | 92 | 78 | 96 | 132 | 129 | 139 | 138 | 170 | |
| Ammonia, Total (as N) | 0.1 | N/L | 0.02 | < 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | < 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | \bigvee |
| Ammonia, Un-ionized (as N) ² | 0.00038 | 0.02 | 0.00004 | 0.00001 | 0.00001 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00005 | 0.00002 | 0.00034 | 0.00009 | 0.00011 | 0.00015 | |
| Biological Oxygen Demand | 4 | N/L | < 2 | < 2 | < 2 | < 2 | < 3 | < 3 | < 3 | < 3 | < 3 | < 3 | < 3 | |
| Boron | 0.0083 | 0.2 | 0.008 | 0.014 | 0.009 | 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | 0.006 | 0.010 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | |
| Cadmium | 0.000022 | 0.0002 | < 0.00002 | < 0.000014 | 0.000016 | < 0.000015 | < 0.000015 | < 0.000015 | < 0.000015 | 0.000053 | 0.000027 | 0.000043 | 0.000038 | |
| Calcium | 51 | N/L | 43.0 | 33.6 | 56.0 | 40.1 | 32.3 | 38.6 | 50.6 | 46.3 | 51.7 | 49.2 | 58.8 | |
| Chemical Oxygen Demand | 15 | N/L | 10 | 13 | 5 | 19 | < 5 | 9 | 13 | 39 | 25 | 24 | 16 | ✓ |
| Chloride | 15 | N/L | 5.8 | 13.0 | 14.4 | 9.2 | 10.7 | 8.1 | 15.4 | 7.1 | 17.9 | 7.9 | 16.0 | $\sim \sim$ |
| Conductivity (µS/cm) ³ | 342 | N/L | 284 | 244 | 390 | 227 | 217 | 253 | 342 | 299 | 371 | 315 | 400 | _~~ |
| Conductivity (µS/cm) ⁴ | 241 | N/L | 211 | 120 | 273 | 148 | 130 | 131 | 275 | 214 | 252 | 223 | 240 | |
| Copper | 0.0015 | 0.005 | 0.0006 | 0.0009 | 0.0005 | 0.0008 | 0.0008 | 0.0009 | 0.0008 | 0.0029 | 0.0019 | 0.0029 | 0.0025 | |
| Dissolved Oxygen ⁴ | 14.04 | 5 | 18.68 | 15.01 | 12.25 | 11.63 | 13.72 | 11.75 | 17.20 | 11.84 | 12.72 | 8.16 | 12.72 | ~~~ |
| Iron | 0.104 | 0.3 | 0.012 | 0.034 | 0.026 | 0.051 | 0.043 | 0.009 | 0.050 | 0.874 | 0.386 | 0.675 | 0.555 | |
| Magnesium | 7.86 | N/L | 5.86 | 4.97 | 8.42 | 5.69 | 4.15 | 5.52 | 7.67 | 6.08 | 9.17 | 6.37 | 8.82 | ~~~ |
| Manganese | 0.013 | N/L | 0.002 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.009 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.137 | 0.048 | 0.098 | 0.059 | |
| Nitrate (as N) | 0.09 | N/L | 0.1 | < 0.05 | 0.07 | < 0.05 | 0.17 | 0.09 | < 0.05 | 0.09 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | 0.06 | \wedge |
| Nitrite (as N) | 0.06 | N/L | < 0.1 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | 0.06 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | |
| pH (units) ⁴ | 8.01 | 6.5 - 8.5 | 6.96 | 6.93 | 6.72 | 6.07 | 8.20 | 7.61 | 7.28 | 8.20 | 7.89 | 7.69 | 7.90 | / |
| Phenois | 0.001 | 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 |] |
| Phosphorus, Total | 0.02 | 0.03 | < 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.14 | 0.07 | 0.09 | 0.05 | |
| Potassium | 2.1 | N/L | 1.6 | 1.4 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 2.2 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 2.2 | \mathcal{N} |
| Sodium | 7.2 | N/L | 5.5 | 6.9 | 8.1 | 7.1 | 6.6 | 5.2 | 10.1 | 6.6 | 8.7 | 7.1 | 8.9 | _/\~ |
| Strontium | 0.12 | N/L | 0.111 | 0.083 | 0.137 | 0.115 | 0.075 | 0.086 | 0.112 | 0.108 | 0.121 | 0.114 | 0.130 | \ |
| Sulphate | 14 | N/L | 11 | 11 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 13 | 11 | 11 | 22 | 10 | 16 | \sim |
| Total Dissolved Solids | 192 | N/L | 161 | 134 | 215 | 116 | 112 | 124 | 176 | 144 | 194 | 153 | 189 | _^~ |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | 0.5 | N/L | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.6 | ~~~ |
| Zinc | 0.009 | 0.02 | 0.006 | < 0.005 | 0.006 | 0.018 | 0.015 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | 0.018 | 0.014 | 0.009 | 0.008 | \/ |
| Cation Sum (meq/L) | - | N/L | 2.91 | 2.42 | 3.90 | 2.82 | 2.28 | 2.65 | 3.66 | 3.19 | 3.79 | 3.37 | 4.13 | |
| Anion Sum (meq/L) | - | N/L | 2.94 | 2.24 | 3.84 | 2.29 | 2.08 | 2.43 | 3.28 | 3.01 | 3.74 | 3.18 | 4.18 | <i></i> |
| Anion-Cation Balance (% Difference) | - | N/L | 0.437 | 3.90 | 0.764 | 10.4 | 4.45 | 4.23 | 5.36 | 2.97 | 0.748 | 2.97 | 0.489 | \ |

- Provincial Water Quality Objectives (PWQO).
 Calculated using Total Ammonia and field analysis.
 Results obtained from laboratory analysis.
- Results obtained from laboratory analysis.
 Results obtained from field analysis.
 All results are expressed in mg/L unless otherwise stated.
 Bold and shaded values exceed the PWQO.

- N/L indicates No Limit.
 "-" indicates parameter not analyzed.




Graphs



Graph 1 Trend Analysis - Total Dissolved Solids - Groundwater and Surface Water Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site


Note: Anomolously low TDS concentration of 63 mg/L deleted from 91-2C from Oct29-13 for graphical purposes.





Figures






| | | | | | DRAWN BY: | CHECKED BY: |
|--|-----|----------|-----|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| * Groonviow | | | | | → MAG | MAG |
| Greenview ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT | l | | | | | |
| | | | | | DESIGNED BY: | APPROVED BY: |
| | | | | | - MAG | THP |
| | 1 | | | | | |
| 13 Commerce Court Bancroft, Ontario 613.332.0057 | 0 | NOV08-22 | THP | ISSUED FOR REPORT | SCALE: AS NOTED | DATE: NOV-22 |
| greenview-environmental.ca | No. | DATE | BY | REMARKS |] AS NOTED | 1104-22 |





REGIONAL LOCATION PLAN 2022 ANNUAL REPORT MATAWATCHAN WASTE DISPOSAL SITE TOWNSHIP OF GREATER MADAWASKA PROJECT No: 102.22.012

FIGURE:

1 06








Appendix A





Ministry of the Environment Ministère de l'Environnement

AMENDMENT TO PROVISIONAL CERTIFICATE OF APPROVAL

WASTE DISPOSAL SITE

NUMBER A412204

Notice No. 1

Issue Date: February 26, 2010

The Corporation of the Township of Greater Madawaska 1101 Francis St Post Office Box, No. 180 Greater Madawaska, Ontario K0J 1H0

Site Location: Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site

3508 Matawatchan Rd

Greater Madawaska Township, County of Renfrew

You are hereby notified that I have amended Provisional Certificate of Approval No. A412204 issued on April 2, 1980 for the use, operation and maintenance of a 2.3 hectare landfill site within a total site area of 4.9 hectare, as follows:

For the purpose of this Certificate of Approval and the terms and conditions specified below, the following definitions apply:

"Certificate" means this entire provisional Certificate of Approval document, issued in accordance with section 39 of the EPA, and includes any schedules to it, the application and the supporting documentation listed in Schedule "A";

"Director" means any Ministry employee appointed in writing by the Minister pursuant to section 5 of the EPA as a Director for the purposes of Part V of the EPA;

"District Manager" means the District Manager of the local district office of the Ministry in which the Site is geographically located:

"EPA" means Environmental Protection Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. E. 19, as amended;

"Ministry" means the Ontario Ministry of the Environment;

"Owner" means any person that is responsible for the establishment or operation of the Site being

approved by this Certificate, and includes The Corporation of the Township of Greater Madawaska successors and assigns;

"Regional Director" means the Regional Director of the local Regional Office of the Ministry in which the Site is located; and

"Site" means the entire waste disposal site, including the buffer lands, and contaminant attenuation zone at Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site, 3508 Matawatchan Rd, Greater Madawaska Township, County of Renfrew.

You are hereby notified that this approval is issued to you subject to the terms and conditions outlined below:

TERMS AND CONDITIONS

1. GENERAL

Compliance

- The Owner and Operator shall ensure compliance with all the conditions of this Certificate and shall ensure that any person authorized to carry out work on or operate any aspect of the Site is notified of this Certificate and the conditions herein and shall take all reasonable measures to ensure any such person complies with the same.
- (2) Any person authorized to carry out work on or operate any aspect of the *Site* shall compare with the conditions of this *Certificate*.

In Accordance

Except as otherwise provided by this *Certificate*, the *Site* shall be designed, developed, built, operated and maintained in accordance with the documentation listed in the attached Schedule "A".

Interpretation

- Where there is a conflict between a provision of any document listed in Schedule "A" in this *Certificate*, and the conditions of this *Certificate*, the conditions in this *Certificate* shall take precedence.
- Where there is a conflict between the application and a provision in any document listed in Schedule "A", the application shall take precedence, unless it is clear that the purpose of the document was to amend the application and that the *Ministry* approved the amendment.
- (6) Where there is a conflict between any two documents listed in Schedule "A", the

document bearing the most recent date shall take precedence.

(7) The conditions of this *Certificate* are severable. If any condition of this *Certificate*, or the application of any condition of this *Certificate* to any circumstance, is held invalid or unenforceable, the application of such condition to other circumstances and the remainder of this *Certificate* shall not be affected thereby.

Other Legal Obligations

- (8) The issuance of, and compliance with, this Certificate does not:
 - (a) relieve any person of any obligation to comply with any provision of any applicable statute, regulation or other legal requirement; or
 - (b) limit in any way the authority of the *Ministry* to require certain steps be taken or to require the *Owner* and *Operator* to furnish any further information related to compliance with this *Certificate*.

Adverse Effect

- (9) The *Owner* shall take steps to minimize and ameliorate any adverse effect on the natural environment or impairment of water quality resulting from the *Site*, including such accelerated or additional monitoring as may be necessary to determine the nature and extent of the effect or impairment.
- (10) Despite an *Owner* or any other person fulfilling any obligations imposed by this *Certificate* the person remains responsible for any contravention of any other condition of this *Certificate* or any applicable statute, regulation, or other legal requirement resulting from any act or omission that caused the adverse effect to the natural environment or impairment of water quality.

Change of Ownership

- (11) The *Owner* shall notify the *Director*, in writing, and forward a copy of the notification to the *District Manager*, within 30 days of the occurrence of any changes in the following information:
 - (a) the ownership of the Site;
 - (b) the Operator of the Site;
 - (c) the address of the Owner or Operator; and
 - (d) the partners, where the *Owner or Operator* is or at any time becomes a partnership and a copy of the most recent declaration filed under the *Business Names Act*, R. S. O. 1990, c. B.17, shall be included in the notification.
- (12) No portion of this *Site* shall be transferred or encumbered prior to or after closing of the *Site* unless the *Director* is notified in advance and sufficient financial assurance is deposited with the *Ministry* to ensure that these conditions will be carried out.

(13) In the event of any change in *Ownership* of the works, other than change to a successor Owner, the *Owner* shall notify the successor of and provide the successor with a copy of this *Certificate*, and the *Owner* shall provide a copy of the notification to the *District Manager* and the *Director*.

Certificate of Requirement/Registration on Title -Site

- (14) The Owner shall:
 - (a) Within two (2) years of the date of the issuance of this *Certificate*, submit to the *Director* for review, two copies of a completed Certificate of Requirement with a registerable description of the *Site*; and
 - (b) Within 10 calendar days of receiving the Certificate of Requirement authorized by the *Director*, register the Certificate of Requirement in the appropriate Land Registry Office on title to the *Site* and submit to the Director the duplicate registered copy immediately following registration.
- (15) Pursuant to Section 197 of the Environmental Protection Act, neither the *Owner* nor any person having an interest in the *Site* shall deal with the *Site* in any way without first giving a copy of this *Certificate* to each person acquiring an interest in the *Site* as a result of the dealing.

2. LANDFILL MONITORING

Compliance

- (1) The Site shall be operated in such a way as to ensure compliance with the following:
 - (a) Reasonable Use Guideline B-7 for the protection of the groundwater at the *Site*; and
 - (b) Provincial Water Quality Objectives included in the July 1994 publication entitled Water Management Policies, Guidelines, Provincial Water Quality Objectives, as amended from time to time or limits set by the Regional Director, for the protection of the surface water at and off the Site.

Surface Water and Ground Water

(2) The *Owner* shall monitor surface water and ground water as per documents in Schedule "A".

Annual Report

- (3) A written report on the development, operation and monitoring of the *Site*, shall be completed annually (the "Annual Report"). The Annual Report shall be submitted to the *District Manager*, by March 31st of the year following the period being reported upon.
- (4) The Annual Report shall include the following:
 - (a) the results and an interpretive analysis of the results of all leachate, groundwater surface water and landfill gas monitoring, including an assessment of the need to amend the monitoring programs;
 - (b) site plans showing the final contours of the Site and vegetative cover;
 - (c) a discussion of any operational problems encountered at the *Site* and corrective action taken;
 - (d) a report on the status of all monitoring wells and a statement as to compliance with *Ontario Regulation 903*;
 - (e) any other information with respect to the Site which the Regional Director may require from time to time; and
 - (f) a summary and analysis of all hydraulic and geochemical monitoring results.

Groundwater Wells and Monitors

- (5) The *Owner* shall ensure that all groundwater monitoring wells which form part of the monitoring program are properly capped, locked and protected from damage.
- (6) Where landfilling is to proceed around monitoring wells, suitable extensions shall be added to the wells and the wells shall be properly re-secured.
- (7) Any groundwater monitoring well included in the on-going monitoring program that are damaged shall be assessed, repaired, replaced or decommissioned by the *Owner*, as required.
 - (a) The *Owner* shall repair or replace any monitoring well which is destroyed or in any way made to be inoperable for sampling such that no more than one regular sampling event is missed.
 - (b) All monitoring wells which are no longer required as part of the groundwater monitoring program, and have been approved by the *District Manager* for abandonment, shall be decommissioned by the *Owner*, as required, in accordance with *O.Reg. 903*, that will prevent contamination through the abandoned well. A report on the decommissioning of the well shall be included in the Annual Report for the period during which the well was decommissioned.

Changes to the Monitoring Plan

(8) The Owner may request to make changes to the monitoring program(s) to the District

Manager in accordance with the recommendations of the annual report. The Owner shall

make clear reference to the proposed changes in separate letter that shall accompany the

annual report.

- (9) Within fourteen (14) days of receiving the written correspondence from the *District Manager* confirming that the *District Manager* is in agreement with the proposed changes to the environmental monitoring program, the *Owner* shall forward a letter identifying the proposed changes and a copy of the correspondences from the *District Manager* and all other correspondences and responses related to the changes to the monitoring program, to the *Director* requesting the *Certificate* be amended to approve the proposed changes to the environmental monitoring plan prior to implementation.
- (10) In the event any other changes to the environmental monitoring program are proposed outside of the recommendation of the annual report, the *Owner* shall follow current ministry procedures for seeking approval for amending the *Certificate*.

3. CLOSURE PLAN

- The Closure Plan titled "The Closure Plan, Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site (A412204), Township of Greater Madawaska, County of Renfrew, Ontario" dated September 19, 2008 and prepared by Greenview Environmental Management" is hereby approved.
- (2) The Site shall be closed in accordance with the Closure Plan as approved by the Director.
- (3) This landfill is now closed and no waste shall be accepted for disposal at the Site.

SCHEDULE "A"

- 1. Report titled "The Closure Plan, Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site (A412204), Township of Greater Madawaska, County of Renfrew, Ontario" dated September 19, 2008 and prepared by Greenview Environmental Management".
- 2. Application for a Provisional Certificate of Approval for a Waste Disposal Site dated September 17, 2008 and signed by Ms. Maureen Brennan, Acting CAO/Clerk.
- 3. Letter dated January 14, 2010 from Tyler Peters, P.Eng., Greenview Environmental Management Limited, to Ranjani Munasinghe, Ministry of the Environment.

The reasons for this amendment to the Certificate of Approval are as follows:

GENERAL

1. The reason for Conditions 1(1), (2), (4), (5), (6), (7), (8), (9) and (10) is to clarify the legal rights and responsibilities of the *Owner* under this Certificate of Approval.

- 2. The reasons for Condition 1(3) is to ensure that the *Site* is designed, operated, monitored and maintained in accordance with the application and supporting documentation submitted by the *Owner*, and not in a manner which the *Director* has not been asked to consider.
- 3. The reasons for Condition 1(11) are to ensure that the *Site* is operated under the corporate name which appears on the application form submitted for this approval and to ensure that the *Director* is informed of any changes.
- 4. The reasons for Condition 1(12) are to restrict potential transfer or encumbrance of the *Site* without the approval of the *Director* and to ensure that any transfer of encumbrance can be made only on the basis that it will not endanger compliance with this Certificate of Approval.
- 5. The reason for Condition 1(13) is to ensure that the successor is aware of its legal responsibilities.
- 6. Conditions 1 (14) and (15) are included, pursuant to subsection 197(1) of the *EPA*, to provide that any persons having an interest in the *Site* are aware that the land has been approved and used for the purposes of waste disposal.

LANDFILL MONITORING

- 7. Condition 2(1) is included to provide the groundwater and surface water limits to prevent water pollution at the *Site*.
- 8. Conditions 2(2) is included to require the Owner to demonstrate that the *Site* is performing as designed and the impacts on the natural environment are acceptable. Regular monitoring allows for the analysis of trends over time and ensures that there is an early warning of potential problems so that any necessary remedial/contingency action can be taken.
- 9. The reasons for Conditions 2(3) and 2(4) are to ensure that regular review of site development, operations and monitoring data is documented and any possible improvements to site design, operations or monitoring programs are identified. An annual report is an important tool used in reviewing site activities and for determining the effectiveness of site design.
- 10. Conditions 2(5), 2(6) and 2(7) are included to ensure the integrity of the groundwater monitoring network so that accurate monitoring results are achieved and the natural environment is protected.
- 11. Reasons for conditions 2(8), 2(9) and 2(10) are included to streamline the approval of the changes to the monitoring plan.

CLOSURE PLAN

12. The reasons for Condition 3 are to ensure that final closure of the *Site* is completed in an aesthetically pleasing manner, in accordance with Ministry standards, and to ensure the long-term

protection of the health and safety of the public and the environment.

This Notice shall constitute part of the approval issued under Provisional Certificate of Approval No. A412204 dated April 2, 1980 as amended

In accordance with Section 139 of the <u>Environmental Protection Act</u>, R.S.O. 1990, Chapter E-19, as amended, you may by written notice served upon me and the Environmental Review Tribunal within 15 days after receipt of this Notice, require a hearing by the Tribunal. Section 142 of the <u>Environmental Protection Act</u>, provides that the Notice requiring the hearing shall state:

- 1. The portions of the approval or each term or condition in the approval in respect of which the hearing is required, and;
- 2. The grounds on which you intend to rely at the hearing in relation to each portion appealed.

The Notice should also include:

- 3. The name of the appellant;
- 4. The address of the appellant;
- 5. The Certificate of Approval number;
- 6. The date of the Certificate of Approval;
- 7. The name of the Director;
- 8. The municipality within which the waste disposal site is located;

And the Notice should be signed and dated by the appellant.

This Notice must be served upon:

The Secretary*
Environmental Review Tribunal
655 Bay Street, 15th Floor
Toronto, Ontario
M5G 1E5

<u>AND</u>

The Director
Section 39, Environmental Protection Act
Ministry of the Environment
2 St. Clair Avenue West, Floor 12A
Toronto, Ontario
M4V 1L5

* Further information on the Environmental Review Tribunal's requirements for an appeal can be obtained directly from the Tribunal at: Tel: (416) 314-4600, Fax: (416) 314-4506 or www.ert.gov.on.ca

The above noted waste disposal site is approved under Section 39 of the Environmental Protection Act.

DATED AT TORONTO this 26th day of February, 2010

THIS NOTICE WAS MAILED

ON March 4 2010

9 C

(Signed)


Tesfaye Gebrezghi, P.Eng.

Director

Section 39, Environmental Protection Act

RM/

c: District Manager, MOE Ottawa
Tyler Peters, P. Eng., Greenview Environmental Management Limited



Provisional Certificate No. A 412204

PROVISIONAL CERTIFICATE OF APPROVAL WASTE DISPOSAL SITE

Under The Environmental Protection Act, 1971 and the regulations and subject to the limitations thereof, this Provisional Certificate of Approval is issued to:

> Townships of Griffith and Matawatchan R.R. # 3 Dacre, Ontafio KOJ INO

MINISTRY OF THE ENVIRONMEN

IPR 16 1980

for the use and operation of a 2.3 hectare landfilling site

PENIOROKE.

all in accordance with the following plans and specifications:

Located: Lot 13, Concession 5 Township of Matawatchan County of Renfrew

which includes the use of the site only for the disposal of the following categories of waste (NOTE: Use of the site for additional categories of wastes requires a new application and amendments to the Provisional Certificate of Approval) domestic waste.

and subject to the following conditions:

1. No operation shall be carried out at the site after sixty days from. this condition becoming enforceable unless this Certificate including the reasons for this condition has been registered by the applicant as an instrument in the appropriate Land Registry Office against title to the site and a duplicate registered copy thereof has been returned by the applicant to the Director.

> THIS IS A TRUE COPY OF THE ORIGINAL CERTIFICATE MAILED (Signed)

Dated this 2nd day of April

The Environmental Protection Act, 1971



Ministry of the

NOTICE

TO: Townships of Griffith and Matawatchan R.R. # 3
Dacre, Ontario
KOJ 1NO

You are hereby notified that Provisional Certificate of Approval No. A 412204 has been issued to you subject to the conditions outlined therein.

The reasons for the imposition of these conditions are as follows:

The reason for the condition requiring registration of the Certificate is that Section 46 of The Environmental Protection Act, 1971 prohibits any use being made of the lands after they cease to be used for waste disposal purposes in order to protect future occupants of the site and the environment from any hazards which might occur as a result of waste being disposed of on the site. This prohibition and potential hazard should be drawn to the attention of future owners and occupants by the Certificate being registered on title.

You may by written notice served upon me and the Environmental Appeal Board within 15 days after receipt of this Notice, require a hearing by the Board.

This Notice should be served upon:

The Secretary,
Environmental Appeal Board, AND
1 St. Clair Ave. West,
5th Floor,
Toronto, Ontario.

The Director, Section 39 Ministry of the Environment,

DATED

M4V 1K7

this 2nd day of April

. 19 80 -

Director



Ontario

Ministry
of the
Environment

133 Dalton St., Box 820 Kingston, Ontario K7L 4X6

1

April 2, 1980

Townships of Griffith and Matawatchan R.R. # 3
Dacre, Ontario
KOJ 1NO

RE: Landfilling Site
Lot 13, Concession 5
Township of Matawatchan
County of Renfrew

The enclosed revised Provisional Certificate of Approval contains a condition requiring it be registered on title. The reason for this condition is attached to the Certificate.

Two copies of the Certificate and reasons are on long paper to facilitate registration. Both of these should be taken to the Land Registry Office and one returned to the Director with registration particulars:

If your Certificate does not contain sufficient legal description for registration because you have not given one to the Director, you will have to provide one under Section 23(1) (e) of The Registry Act or in your application under The Land Titles Act.

In the event that the site including its buffer. Is part of a larger parcel of land and you do not wish to prepare a new survey at this time, you may register the Certificate against the larger parcel of land. If you do so, the Director is prepared, if requested in the future.

- In the case of land recorded under The Land Titles Act. to consent to an application to delete the registration from the title of lands not within the site including its buffer zone, and
- 2. In the case of land recorded under The Registry Act. to issue a Certificate that lands not used for the actual disposal of waste or buffer zone have not been so used.

Such documents would be issued after suitable draft documents including legal description were submitted by you or your successor. The purpose of such documents would be to assure subsequent purchasers that the lands in question were not affected by section 46 of the Environmental Protection Act.

Yours very truly

Laur,

717 66 1.01

LRO # 49 Certificate

Receipted as RE164282 on 2013 03 05

The applicant(s) hereby applies to the Land Registrar.

yyyy mm dd

Page 1 of 3

at 14:54

Properties

PIN

57488 - 0008 LT

PT LT 13, CON 5, MATAWATCHAN, PT 1, 49R2184; T/W R301495; GRIFFITH & Description

MATAWATCHAN

3508 MATAWATCHAN ROAD **Address**

GRIFFITH

PIN 57488 - 0314 LT

PT LT 13 CON 5 MATAWATCHAN PT 1, 49R13546; T/W PT 2, 49R2184 ; TWP OF Description

GREATER MADAWASKA

3508 MATAWATCHAN ROAD Address

GRIFFITH

Party From(s)

THE CORPORATION OF THE TOWNSHIP OF GREATER MADAWASKA Name

Address for Service P.O. Box 180 1101 Francis Street

Calabogie, Ontario, K0J 1H0

This document is not authorized under Power of Attorney by this party.

This document is being authorized by a municipal corporation Peter Emond, Mayor.

Party To(s)

Capacity

Share

Name

THE MINISTRY OF THE ENVIRONMENT

Address for Service Director, Environmental Protection Act

2 St. Clair Avenue West, 12A Toronto, Ontario, M4V 1L5

Statements

Schedule: See Schedules

Signed By

Stephen Arthur Ritchie

92 Centrepointe Drive Nepean

K1V 9K4

Nepean K1V 9K4 acting for Party From(s) Signed

2013 03 05

Tel 6132246674

Fax 6137299105

I have the authority to sign and register the document on behalf of the Party From(s).

Submitted By

STEPHEN A. RITCHIE

92 Centrepointe Drive

2013 03 05

Tel 6132246674

Fax 6137299105

Fees/Taxes/Payment

Statutory Registration Fee

\$60.00

Total Paid

\$60.00

LRO # 49 Certificate

Receipted as RE164282 on 2013 03 05

The applicant(s) hereby applies to the Land Registrar.

yyyy mm dd

Page 2 of 3

at 14:54

File Number

Party From Client File Number:

3291

SCHEDULE "A"

CERTIFICATE OF REQUIREMENT

s. 197(2) of the Environmental Protection Act

This is to certify that pursuant to an AMENDMENT TO PROVISIONAL CERTIFICATE OF APPROVAL for WASTE DISPOSAL SITE NUMBER A412204, issued by TESFAYE GEBREZGHI, DIRECTOR, dated February 26, 2010 with respect to a Waste Disposal Site on:

FIRSTLY:

Pin No.

57488-0008 (LT)

Pt Lt 13, Con 5, Matawatchan, Pt 1, 49R2184; T/W R301495; Griffith & Matawatchan

SECONDLY:

Pin No.

57488-0314 (LT)

Pt Lt 13 Con 5 Matawatchan Pt1, 49R13546; T/W Pt 2, 49R2184 Twp of Greater Madawaska

The following person(s):

The Corporation of the Township of Greater Madawaska

and any other persons having an interest in:

FIRSTLY:

Pin No.

57488-0008 (LT)

PT Lt 13, Con 5, Matawatchan, Pt 1, 49R2184; T/W R301495; Griffith & Matawatchan

SECONDLY:

Pin No.

57488-0314 (LT)

Pt Lt 13 Con 5 Matawatchan Pt1, 49R13546; T/W Pt 2, 49R2184; Twp of Greater Madawaska

are required, before dealing with the land in any way, to give a copy of the Amendment to Provisional Certificate of Waste Disposal Site, No. A412204, including any amendments that may be made thereto to every person who will acquire an interest in the land as a result of the dealing. Under subsection 197(3) of the *Environmental Protection Act*, this requirement applies to each person who, subsequent to the registration of this certificate, acquires an interest in the land.

Appendix B



FIELD SAMPLING RECORD - GROUND WATER

| LOCATION: | Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site | DATE: | May 31, 2022 | SAMPLED BY: | MAG / NBF |
|-----------|---------------------------------|-------|--------------|-------------|-----------|
| | | | | | |

 PROJECT NO.:
 102.22.012
 WEATHER (SAMPLE DAY):
 Sunny, 35°C
 WEATHER (PREVIOUS DAY):
 Sunny, 30°C

| Monitoring | Static Water | Borehole Depth | Stick - Up | Borehole Diameter | Purge Vo | lumes (L) | Temperature | pH | Conductivity | Dissolved Oxygen | | Obser | rvations | | Comments |
|------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------|----------------------|----------|-----------|-------------|---------|--------------|---------------------|--------------|---------|----------|-------|-------------------------------------|
| Location | Level | Depth (m) | (m) | (mm) | Needed | Obtained | (°C) | (units) | (μS) | (mg/L) | Colour | Clarity | Odour | Sheen | |
| 91-2A | 9.55 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | Water Level Only |
| 91-2B | 9.54 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | Water Level Only |
| 91-2C | 10.85 | 16.34 | 0.95 | 38.1 | 16 | 17 | 11.54 | 7.07 | 613 | 3.72 | Grey | Cloudy | - | - | VOC's Done at This Well |
| 91-4A | 0.00 | 10.50 | 0.74 | 38.1 | 30 | 30 | 8.70 | 7.07 | 352 | 10.54 | Clear | Clear | - | - | Well Was Overflowing |
| 95-1 | 2.27 | 5.60 | 0.97 | 38.1 | 10 | 10 | 8.49 | 7.01 | 151 | 8.52 | Light Yellow | Clear | - | - | GW QA/QC |
| 95-2A | 5.17 | 5.82 | 1.01 | 38.1 | 2 | 2 | 11.29 | 7.35 | 305 | 11.90 | Brown | Opaque | - | - | |
| 95-2B | 5.90 | 8.27 | 0.88 | 38.1 | 7 | 8 | 8.76 | 7.13 | 294 | 7.16 | Light Yellow | Clear | - | - | |
| 95-3B | 2 | 4 | - | - | 5 | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | Well Was Destroyed / Would Not Pump |
| 95-4 | 3.27 | 5.55 | 1.02 | 38.1 | 7 | 7 | 9.62 | 7.04 | 120 | 17.54 | Light Yellow | Clear | - | - | · |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |



FIELD SAMPLING RECORD - SURFACE WATER

| LOCATION: | Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site | DATE: | May 31, 2022 | SAMPLED BY: | MAG / NBF |
|--------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| | | | | | |
| PROJECT NO.: | 102.22.012 | WEATHER (SAMPLE DAY): | Sunny, 35°C | WEATHER (PREVIOUS DAY): | Sunny, 30°C |

| Sample | Depth | Width | Distance | Time | Correction | Velocity | Discharge | Temperature | pН | Conductivity | Dissolved | | Obser | rvations | | 2 |
|---|--------------|-------|----------|------|--------------|---|--|-------------|---------|--------------|---|--------|---------|----------|-------|----------|
| Station | Depth (m) | (m) | (m) | (s) | (.9=S, .8=R) | (m/s) | (m ³ /s) | (°C) | (units) | (μS) | Oxygen (mg/L) | Colour | Clarity | Odour | Sheen | Comments |
| SW-1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| SW-2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 0.9 | 0.17 | 0.011 | 10.89 | 7.53 | 217 | 6.09 | Clear | Clear | - | - | |
| SW-3 | 0.20 | 0.50 | 1.00 | 6.0 | 0.9 | 0.17 | 0.015 | 12.58 | 7.69 | 223 | 8.16 | Clear | Clear | | - | SW QA/QC |
| *************************************** | | | | | | *************************************** | | | | | *************************************** | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | 6 6 7 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |



FIELD SAMPLING RECORD - GROUND WATER

| PROJECT NO.: 102.22.012 WEATHER (SAMPLE DAY): Sunny, 15°C WEATHER (PREVIOUS DAY): Cloudy, 15°C | |
|--|--|

| Monitoring | Static Water | Borehole Depth | Stick - Up | Borehole Diameter | Purge Vo | olumes (L) | Temperature | рН | Conductivity | Dissolved Oxygen | Observations | | 1 | Comments | |
|------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------|----------------------|----------|------------|---|---------|--------------|---|--------------|---------|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|
| Location | Level | (m) | (m) | (mm) | Needed | Obtained | (°C) | (units) | (μS) | (mg/L) | Colour | Clarity | Odour | Sheen | |
| 91-2A | 10.29 | 35.28 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | Water Level Only |
| 91-2B | 10.50 | 24.37 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | - | - | Water Level Only |
| 91-2C | 12.19 | 16.45 | 0.95 | 38.1 | 12 | 12 | 10.50 | 7.17 | 615 | 6.60 | clear | clear | none | none | |
| 91-4A | 0.29 | 9.90 | 0.74 | 38.1 | 25 | 25 | 9.50 | 6.61 | 616 | 8.20 | clear | clear | none | none | |
| 95-1 | 2.70 | 5.60 | 0.97 | 38.1 | 9 | 9 | 9.72 | 6.29 | 108 | 15.19 | clear | clear | none | none | GW QA/QC |
| 95-2A | 5.27 | 5.83 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | Insufficient Water to Sample |
| 95-2B | 5.94 | 8.13 | 0.88 | 38.1 | 8 | 8 | 11.40 | 6.95 | 392 | 8.73 | light brown | cloudy | none | none | |
| 95-3B | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | Damaged Well - No Sample Obtained |
| 95-4 | 3.96 | 5.50 | 1.02 | 38.1 | 5 | 4 | 10.05 | 6.53 | 148 | 7.90 | clear | clear | none | none | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | *************************************** | | | *************************************** | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |



FIELD SAMPLING RECORD - SURFACE WATER

| LOCATION: | Matawatchan Waste Disposal Site | DATE: | November 1, 2022 | SAMPLED BY: | MAG |
|---------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| | | | | | |
| DDO IECT NO : | 102 22 012 | WEATHER (SAMPLE DAY): | Supply 15°C | WEATHER (BREVIOUS DAY). | Claudy 15°C |

| Sample | Depth (m) | Width | Distance | Time | Correction | Velocity | Discharge | Temperature | рН | Conductivity | Dissolved | | Obser | vations | | Comments |
|---------|---|-------|----------|------|---------------------|----------|---------------------|-------------|---------|--------------|------------------|--------|---------|--|-------|------------------------------|
| Station | (m) | (m) | (m) | (s) | (.9=S, .8=R) | (m/s) | (m ³ /s) | (°C) | (units) | (μS) | Oxygen (mg/L) | Colour | Clarity | Odour | Sheen | Comments |
| SW-1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | Dry - No Sample Obtained |
| SW-2 | - | - | | | No Discernible Flow | | | - | - | - | - | - | - | | - | Insufficient Water to Sample |
| SW-3 | 0.15 | 0.50 | 1.00 | 5.0 | - | - | - | 10.01 | 7.90 | 240 | 12.72 | clear | clear | none | none | SW QA/QC |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | *************************************** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Appendix C



CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

Final Report

C.O.C.: G77381

REPORT No. B22-16584 (i)

Report To:

Greenview Environmental Management

13 Commerce Crt., PO Box 100
Bancroft Ontario K0L1C0
Attention: Mike Grasby

DATE RECEIVED: 02-Jun-22 DATE REPORTED: 24-Jun-22

SAMPLE MATRIX: Groundwater

Caduceon Environmental Laboratories

285 Dalton Ave

Kingston Ontario K7K 6Z1

Tel: 613-544-2001 Fax: 613-544-2770

JOB/PROJECT NO.: Matawatchan WDS

P.O. NUMBER:

102.22.012

WATERWORKS NO.

| | | | Client I.D. | | 91-2C | 91-4A | 95-1 | 95-2A | |
|----------------------------|---------|--------|---------------------|-----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------------------|--|
| | | | Sample I.D. | | B22-16584-3 | B22-16584-4 | B22-16584-5 | B22-16584-6 31-May-22 | |
| | 75- | R.L. | Date Collecte | ed | 31-May-22 | 31-May-22 | 31-May-22 | | |
| Parameter | Units | | Reference Method | Date/Site Analyzed | | | | | |
| Alkalinity(CaCO3) to pH4.5 | mg/L | 5 | SM 2320B | 06-Jun-22/O | 401 | 293 | 67 | 224 | |
| Chloride | mg/L | 0.5 | SM4110C | 07-Jun-22/O | 6.3 | 2.3 | 0.9 | 1.6 | |
| Nitrite (N) | mg/L | 0.05 | SM4110C | 07-Jun-22/O | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | |
| Nitrate (N) | mg/L | 0.05 | SM4110C | 07-Jun-22/O | < 0.05 | 0.33 | < 0.05 | 0.13 | |
| Sulphate | mg/L | 1 | SM4110C | 07-Jun-22/O | 45 | 34 | 44 | 35 | |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | mg/L | 0.1 | E3516.2 | 06-Jun-22/K | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.5 | |
| Ammonia (N)-Total | mg/L | 0.01 | SM4500- NH3-H | 07-Jun-22/K | 0.04 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | |
| TDS (Calc. from Cond.) | mg/L | 1 | Calc. | 07-Jun-22 | 456 | 329 | 120 | 262 | |
| Phenolics | mg/L | 0.001 | MOEE 3179 | 22-Jun-22/K | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | |
| COD | mg/L | 5 | SM5220C | 22-Jun-22/K | 9 | < 5 | < 5 | 16 | |
| Boron | mg/L | 0.005 | SM 3120 | 06-Jun-22/O | 0.257 | 0.204 | < 0.005 | 0.257 | |
| Calcium | mg/L | 0.02 | SM 3120 | 06-Jun-22/O | 136 | 101 | 36.6 | 78.4 | |
| Copper | mg/L | 0.0001 | EPA 200.8 | 17-Jun-22/O | 0.0043 | 0.0027 | 0.0010 | 0.0051 | |
| Iron | mg/L | 0.005 | SM 3120 | 06-Jun-22/O | 0.007 | 0.010 | 2.14 | 0.006 | |
| Magnesium | mg/L | 0.02 | SM 3120 | 06-Jun-22/O | 24.7 | 19.1 | 5.64 | 11.6 | |
| Manganese | mg/L | 0.001 | SM 3120 | 06-Jun-22/O | 0.424 | 0.019 | 0.198 | < 0.001 | |
| Potassium | mg/L | 0.1 | SM 3120 | 06-Jun-22/O | 13.1 | 6.8 | 3.6 | 3.8 | |
| Sodium | mg/L | 0.2 | SM 3120 | 06-Jun-22/O | 17.6 | 11.4 | 1.4 | 16.0 | |
| Strontium | mg/L | 0.001 | SM 3120 | 06-Jun-22/O | 0.855 | 0.377 | 0.055 | 0.184 | |
| Anion Sum | meq/L | | Calc. | 08-Jun-22/O | 9.12 | 6.65 | 2.28 | 5.25 | |
| Cation Sum | meq/L | | Calc. | 08-Jun-22/O | 9.94 | 7.28 | 2.57 | 5.66 | |
| % Difference | % | | Calc. | 08-Jun-22/O | 4.32 | 4.54 | 6.00 | 3.78 | |
| Ion Ratio | AS/CS | | Calc. | 08-Jun-22/O | 0.917 | 0.913 | 0.887 | 0.927 | |
| Conductivity (calc.) | µmho/cm | | Calc. | 08-Jun-22/O | 848 | 634 | 248 | 509 | |
| TDS(ion sum calc.) | mg/L | 1 | Calc. | 08-Jun-22/O | 484 | 350 | 135 | 281 | |

M.Duri

R.L. = Reporting Limit

Test methods may be modified from specified reference method unless indicated by an * Site Analyzed=K-Kingston,W-Windsor,O-Ottawa,R-Richmond Hill,B-Barrie

Michelle Dubien Lab Manager



Final Report

C.O.C.: G77381

REPORT No. B22-16584 (i)

Report To:

Greenview Environmental Management

13 Commerce Crt., PO Box 100 Bancroft Ontario K0L1C0

Attention: Mike Grasby

DATE RECEIVED: 02-Jun-22

DATE REPORTED: 24-Jun-22

SAMPLE MATRIX: Groundwater

Caduceon Environmental Laboratories

285 Dalton Ave

Kingston Ontario K7K 6Z1

Tel: 613-544-2001 Fax: 613-544-2770

JOB/PROJECT NO.: Matawatchan WDS

P.O. NUMBER:

102.22.012

WATERWORKS NO.

| | | | Client I.D. | | 95-2B | 95-4 | GW QA/QC | |
|----------------------------|---------|--------|---------------------|-----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---|
| | | | Sample I.D. | | B22-16584-7 | B22-16584-8 | B22-16584-9 | |
| | | | Date Collecte | ed | 31-May-22 | 31-May-22 | 31-May-22 | |
| Parameter | Units | R.L. | Reference Method | Date/Site Analyzed | | | | |
| Alkalinity(CaCO3) to pH4.5 | mg/L | 5 | SM 2320B | 06-Jun-22/O | 201 | 53 | 69 | _ |
| Chloride | mg/L | 0.5 | SM4110C | 07-Jun-22/O | 1.5 | 0.8 | 0.9 | |
| Nitrite (N) | mg/L | 0.05 | SM4110C | 07-Jun-22/O | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | |
| Nitrate (N) | mg/L | 0.05 | SM4110C | 07-Jun-22/O | 0.22 | 0.09 | < 0.05 | |
| Sulphate | mg/L | 1 | SM4110C | 07-Jun-22/O | 31 | 17 | 44 | |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | mg/L | 0.1 | E3516.2 | 06-Jun-22/K | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 | - |
| Ammonia (N)-Total | mg/L | 0.01 | SM4500- NH3-H | 07-Jun-22/K | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | |
| TDS (Calc. from Cond.) | mg/L | 1 | Calc. | 07-Jun-22 | 239 | 72 | 118 | |
| Phenolics | mg/L | 0.001 | MOEE 3179 | 22-Jun-22/K | < 0.001 | 0.001 | < 0.001 | |
| COD | mg/L | 5 | SM5220C | 22-Jun-22/K | 11 | 9 | < 5 | |
| Boron | mg/L | 0.005 | SM 3120 | 06-Jun-22/O | 0.196 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | |
| Calcium | mg/L | 0.02 | SM 3120 | 06-Jun-22/O | 68.2 | 20.0 | 36.5 | |
| Copper | mg/L | 0.0001 | EPA 200.8 | 17-Jun-22/O | 0.0069 | 0.0121 | 0.0010 | |
| Iron | mg/L | 0.005 | SM 3120 | 06-Jun-22/O | 0.009 | 0.022 | 2.14 | |
| Magnesium | mg/L | 0.02 | SM 3120 | 06-Jun-22/O | 9.84 | 2.16 | 5.67 | |
| Manganese | mg/L | 0.001 | SM 3120 | 06-Jun-22/O | < 0.001 | 0.007 | 0.198 | |
| Potassium | mg/L | 0.1 | SM 3120 | 06-Jun-22/O | 3.4 | 1.4 | 3.7 | |
| Sodium | mg/L | 0.2 | SM 3120 | 06-Jun-22/O | 15.6 | 1.1 | 1.4 | |
| Strontium | mg/L | 0.001 | SM 3120 | 06-Jun-22/O | 0.148 | 0.044 | 0.056 | |
| Anion Sum | meq/L | | Calc. | 08-Jun-22/O | 4.71 | 1.45 | 2.32 | _ |
| Cation Sum | meq/L | | Calc. | 08-Jun-22/O | 4.98 | 1.26 | 2.57 | |
| % Difference | % | | Calc. | 08-Jun-22/O | 2.74 | 6.94 | 5.11 | |
| Ion Ratio | AS/CS | | Calc. | 08-Jun-22/O | 0.947 | 1.15 | 0.903 | |
| Conductivity (calc.) | µmho/cm | | Calc. | 08-Jun-22/O | 455 | 141 | 250 | |
| TDS(ion sum calc.) | mg/L | 1 | Calc. | 08-Jun-22/O | 250 | 75 | 136 | - |

R.L. = Reporting Limit

Test methods may be modified from specified reference method unless indicated by an * Site Analyzed=K-Kingston, W-Windsor, O-Ottawa, R-Richmond Hill, B-Barrie

Michelle Dubien Lab Manager



Final Report

REPORT No. B22-16584 (ii) C.O.C.: G77381

Report To:

Greenview Environmental Management

13 Commerce Crt., PO Box 100 Bancroft Ontario K0L1C0 Attention: Mike Grasby

DATE RECEIVED: 02-Jun-22

DATE REPORTED: 24-Jun-22

SAMPLE MATRIX: Groundwater

Caduceon Environmental Laboratories

285 Dalton Ave

Kingston Ontario K7K 6Z1

Tel: 613-544-2001 Fax: 613-544-2770

JOB/PROJECT NO.: Matawatchan WDS

P.O. NUMBER: 102.22.012

WATERWORKS NO.

| | | | Client I.D. | | 91-2C | | |
|--|-------|------|---------------------|-----------------------|-------------|--|--|
| | | | Sample I.D. | | B22-16584-3 | | |
| | | | Date Collect | ed | 31-May-22 | | |
| Parameter | Units | R.L. | Reference Method | Date/Site Analyzed | | | |
| Acetone | μg/L | 30 | EPA 8260 | 03-Jun-22/R | < 30 | | |
| Benzene | μg/L | 0.5 | EPA 8260 | 03-Jun-22/R | < 0.5 | | |
| Bromobenzene | μg/L | 0.4 | EPA 8260 | 03-Jun-22/R | < 0.4 | | |
| Bromodichloromethane | μg/L | 2 | EPA 8260 | 03-Jun-22/R | < 2 | | |
| Bromoform | μg/L | 5 | EPA 8260 | 03-Jun-22/R | < 5 | | |
| Bromomethane | μg/L | 0.5 | EPA 8260 | 03-Jun-22/R | < 0.5 | | |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | μg/L | 0.2 | EPA 8260 | 03-Jun-22/R | < 0.2 | | |
| Chloroethane | μg/L | 3 | EPA 8260 | 03-Jun-22/R | < 3 | | |
| Chloroform | μg/L | 1 | EPA 8260 | 03-Jun-22/R | < 1 | | |
| Chloromethane | μg/L | 2 | EPA 8260 | 03-Jun-22/R | < 2 | | |
| Chlorotoluene,2- | μg/L | 0.2 | EPA 8260 | 03-Jun-22/R | < 0.2 | | |
| Chlorotoluene,4- | μg/L | 0.2 | EPA 8260 | 03-Jun-22/R | < 0.2 | | |
| Dibromo-3-Chloropropane, 1,2- | μg/L | 0.6 | EPA 8260 | 03-Jun-22/R | < 0.6 | | |
| Dibromochloromethane | μg/L | 2 | EPA 8260 | 03-Jun-22/R | < 2 | | |
| Dibromoethane,1,2- (Ethylene Dibromide) | μg/L | 0.2 | EPA 8260 | 03-Jun-22/R | < 0.2 | | |
| Dibromomethane | μg/L | 0.1 | EPA 8260 | 03-Jun-22/R | < 0.1 | | |
| Dichlorobenzene,1,2- | μg/L | 0.5 | EPA 8260 | 03-Jun-22/R | < 0.5 | | |
| Dichlorobenzene,1,3- | μg/L | 0.5 | EPA 8260 | 03-Jun-22/R | < 0.5 | | |
| Dichlorobenzene,1,4- | μg/L | 0.5 | EPA 8260 | 03-Jun-22/R | < 0.5 | | |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | μg/L | 2 | EPA 8260 | 03-Jun-22/R | < 2 | | |
| Dichloroethane,1,1- | μg/L | 0.5 | EPA 8260 | 03-Jun-22/R | < 0.5 | | |
| Dichloroethane,1,2- | μg/L | 0.5 | EPA 8260 | 03-Jun-22/R | < 0.5 | | |
| Dichloroethylene,1,1- | μg/L | 0.5 | EPA 8260 | 03-Jun-22/R | < 0.5 | | |
| Dichloroethene, cis-1,2- | μg/L | 0.5 | EPA 8260 | 03-Jun-22/R | < 0.5 | | |
| Dichloroethene, trans-1,2- | μg/L | 0.5 | EPA 8260 | 03-Jun-22/R | < 0.5 | | |

R.L. = Reporting Limit

Michelle Dubien Lab Manager



Final Report

C.O.C.: G77381 REPORT No. B22-16584 (ii)

Client I D

Report To:

Greenview Environmental Management

13 Commerce Crt., PO Box 100 Bancroft Ontario K0L1C0 Attention: Mike Grasby

DATE RECEIVED: 02-Jun-22

DATE REPORTED: 24-Jun-22

SAMPLE MATRIX: Groundwater

Caduceon Environmental Laboratories

285 Dalton Ave

Kingston Ontario K7K 6Z1

Tel: 613-544-2001 Fax: 613-544-2770

JOB/PROJECT NO.: Matawatchan WDS

P.O. NUMBER: 102.22.012

WATERWORKS NO.

91-2C

| | | | Client I.D. | | 91-2C | | |
|---|-------|------|---------------------|-----------------------|-------------|--|--|
| | | | Sample I.D. | | B22-16584-3 | | |
| | | | Date Collect | ed | 31-May-22 | | |
| Parameter | Units | R.L. | Reference Method | Date/Site Analyzed | | | |
| Dichloromethane (Methylene Chloride) | μg/L | 5 | EPA 8260 | 03-Jun-22/R | < 5 | | |
| Dichloropropane,1,2- | μg/L | 0.5 | EPA 8260 | 03-Jun-22/R | < 0.5 | | |
| Dichloropropane,1,3- | μg/L | 0.2 | EPA 8260 | 03-Jun-22/R | < 0.2 | | |
| Dichloropropene, cis-1,3- | μg/L | 0.5 | EPA 8260 | 03-Jun-22/R | < 0.5 | | |
| Dichloropropene, trans-1,3- | μg/L | 0.5 | EPA 8260 | 03-Jun-22/R | < 0.5 | | |
| Dichloropropene,1,1- | μg/L | 0.2 | EPA 8260 | 03-Jun-22/R | < 0.2 | | |
| Ethylbenzene | μg/L | 0.5 | EPA 8260 | 03-Jun-22/R | < 0.5 | | |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | μg/L | 0.6 | EPA 8260 | 03-Jun-22/R | < 0.6 | | |
| Hexane | μg/L | 5 | EPA 8260 | 03-Jun-22/R | < 5 | | |
| Isopropylbenzene | μg/L | 0.2 | EPA 8260 | 03-Jun-22/R | < 0.2 | | |
| Isopropyltoluene,4- | μg/L | 0.2 | EPA 8260 | 03-Jun-22/R | < 0.2 | | |
| Methyl Butyl Ketone | μg/L | 5 | EPA 8260 | 03-Jun-22/R | < 5 | | |
| Methyl Ethyl Ketone | μg/L | 20 | EPA 8260 | 03-Jun-22/R | < 20 | | |
| Methyl Isobutyl Ketone | μg/L | 20 | EPA 8260 | 03-Jun-22/R | < 20 | | |
| Methyl-t-butyl Ether | μg/L | 2 | EPA 8260 | 03-Jun-22/R | < 2 | | |
| Monochlorobenzene (Chlorobenzene) | μg/L | 0.5 | EPA 8260 | 03-Jun-22/R | < 0.5 | | |
| Naphthalene | μg/L | 0.4 | EPA 8260 | 03-Jun-22/R | < 0.4 | | |
| n-Butylbenzene | μg/L | 0.4 | EPA 8260 | 03-Jun-22/R | < 0.4 | | |
| n-Propylbenzene | μg/L | 0.1 | EPA 8260 | 03-Jun-22/R | < 0.1 | | |
| sec-Butylbenzene | μg/L | 0.1 | EPA 8260 | 03-Jun-22/R | < 0.1 | | |
| Styrene | μg/L | 0.5 | EPA 8260 | 03-Jun-22/R | < 0.5 | | |
| tert-Butylbenzene | μg/L | 0.1 | EPA 8260 | 03-Jun-22/R | < 0.1 | | |
| Tetrachloroethane,1,1,1,2- | μg/L | 0.5 | EPA 8260 | 03-Jun-22/R | < 0.5 | | |
| Tetrachloroethane,1,1,2,2- | μg/L | 0.5 | EPA 8260 | 03-Jun-22/R | < 0.5 | | |
| Tetrachloroethylene | μg/L | 0.5 | EPA 8260 | 03-Jun-22/R | < 0.5 | | |
| Toluene | μg/L | 0.5 | EPA 8260 | 03-Jun-22/R | < 0.5 | | |

M.Duci

R.L. = Reporting Limit

Michelle Dubien Lab Manager

Test methods may be modified from specified reference method unless indicated by an * Site Analyzed=K-Kingston,W-Windsor,O-Ottawa,R-Richmond Hill,B-Barrie

The analytical results reported herein refer to the samples as received. Reproduction of this analytical report in full or in part is prohibited without prior consent from



Final Report

C.O.C.: G77381 REPORT No. B22-16584 (ii)

Report To:

Greenview Environmental Management

13 Commerce Crt., PO Box 100 Bancroft Ontario K0L1C0 Attention: Mike Grasby

DATE RECEIVED: 02-Jun-22

DATE REPORTED: 24-Jun-22

SAMPLE MATRIX: Groundwater

Caduceon Environmental Laboratories

285 Dalton Ave

Kingston Ontario K7K 6Z1

Tel: 613-544-2001 Fax: 613-544-2770

JOB/PROJECT NO.: Matawatchan WDS

P.O. NUMBER: 102.22.012

WATERWORKS NO.

| | | | Client I.D. | | 91-2C | | |
|-------------------------|-------|------|---------------------|-----------------------|-------------|--|--|
| | | | Sample I.D. | | B22-16584-3 | | |
| | | | Date Collecte | ed | 31-May-22 | | |
| Parameter | Units | R.L. | Reference Method | Date/Site Analyzed | | | |
| Trichlorobenzene,1,2,3- | μg/L | 0.5 | EPA 8260 | 03-Jun-22/R | < 0.5 | | |
| Trichlorobenzene,1,2,4- | μg/L | 0.5 | EPA 8260 | 03-Jun-22/R | < 0.5 | | |
| Trichloroethane,1,1,1- | μg/L | 0.5 | EPA 8260 | 03-Jun-22/R | < 0.5 | | |
| Trichloroethane,1,1,2- | μg/L | 0.5 | EPA 8260 | 03-Jun-22/R | < 0.5 | | |
| Trichloroethylene | μg/L | 0.5 | EPA 8260 | 03-Jun-22/R | < 0.5 | | |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | μg/L | 5 | EPA 8260 | 03-Jun-22/R | < 5 | | |
| Trichloropropane,1,2,3- | μg/L | 0.5 | EPA 8260 | 03-Jun-22/R | < 0.5 | | |
| Trimethylbenzene,1,2,4- | μg/L | 1 | EPA 8260 | 03-Jun-22/R | < 1 | | |
| Trimethylbenzene,1,3,5- | μg/L | 0.1 | EPA 8260 | 03-Jun-22/R | < 0.1 | | |
| Vinyl Chloride | μg/L | 0.2 | EPA 8260 | 03-Jun-22/R | < 0.2 | | |
| Xylene, m,p- | μg/L | 1.0 | EPA 8260 | 03-Jun-22/R | < 1.0 | | |
| Xylene, o- | μg/L | 0.5 | EPA 8260 | 03-Jun-22/R | < 0.5 | | |

M. Duci

R.L. = Reporting Limit

Michelle Dubien Lab Manager



Final Report

C.O.C.: G77381

REPORT No. B22-16585

Report To:

Greenview Environmental Management

13 Commerce Crt., PO Box 100 Bancroft Ontario K0L1C0 Attention: Mike Grasby

DATE RECEIVED: 01-Jun-22

DATE REPORTED: 28-Jun-22

SAMPLE MATRIX: Surface Water

Caduceon Environmental Laboratories

285 Dalton Ave

Kingston Ontario K7K 6Z1

Tel: 613-544-2001 Fax: 613-544-2770

JOB/PROJECT NO.: Matawatchan WDS

P.O. NUMBER:

102.22.012

WATERWORKS NO.

| | | | Client I.D. | | SW-2 | SW-3 | SW QA/QC | |
|----------------------------|-------|----------|---------------------|-----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---|
| | | | Sample I.D. | | B22-16585-1 | B22-16585-2 | B22-16585-3 | |
| | | | Date Collect | ed | 31-May-22 | 31-May-22 | 31-May-22 | |
| Parameter | Units | R.L. | Reference Method | Date/Site Analyzed | | • | | |
| Alkalinity(CaCO3) to pH4.5 | mg/L | 5 | SM 2320B | 06-Jun-22/O | 142 | 138 | 136 | |
| Chloride | mg/L | 0.5 | SM4110C | 07-Jun-22/O | 7.1 | 7.9 | 8.3 | - |
| Nitrite (N) | mg/L | 0.05 | SM4110C | 07-Jun-22/O | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | |
| Nitrate (N) | mg/L | 0.05 | SM4110C | 07-Jun-22/O | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | 0.06 | |
| Sulphate | mg/L | 1 | SM4110C | 07-Jun-22/O | 11 | 10 | 10 | |
| BOD(5 day) | mg/L | 3 | SM 5210B | 06-Jun-22/K | < 3 | < 3 | < 3 | |
| Phosphorus-Total | mg/L | 0.01 | E3516.2 | 03-Jun-22/K | 0.04 | 0.09 | 0.03 | |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | mg/L | 0.1 | E3516.2 | 03-Jun-22/K | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.3 | |
| Ammonia (N)-Total | mg/L | 0.01 | SM4500- NH3-H | 07-Jun-22/K | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | |
| Total Dissolved Solids | mg/L | 3 | SM 2540D | 07-Jun-22/O | 157 | 153 | 153 | |
| Phenolics | mg/L | 0.001 | MOEE 3179 | 20-Jun-22/K | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | |
| COD | mg/L | 5 | SM5220C | 22-Jun-22/K | 5 | 24 | 8 | |
| Boron | mg/L | 0.005 | SM 3120 | 13-Jun-22/O | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | *************************************** |
| Cadmium | mg/L | 0.000015 | EPA 200.8 | 28-Jun-22/O | 0.000018 | 0.000043 | < 0.000015 | |
| Calcium | mg/L | 0.02 | SM 3120 | 13-Jun-22/O | 51.5 | 49.2 | 48.0 | |
| Copper | mg/L | 0.0001 | EPA 200.8 | 28-Jun-22/O | 0.0013 | 0.0029 | 0.0017 | |
| Iron | mg/L | 0.005 | SM 3120 | 13-Jun-22/O | 0.228 | 0.675 | 0.149 | |
| Manganese | mg/L | 0.001 | SM 3120 | 13-Jun-22/O | 0.046 | 0.098 | 0.018 | |
| Magnesium | mg/L | 0.02 | SM 3120 | 13-Jun-22/O | 7.09 | 6.37 | 6.10 | |
| Potassium | mg/L | 0.1 | SM 3120 | 13-Jun-22/O | 1.2 | 1.7 | 1.7 | |
| Sodium | mg/L | 0.2 | SM 3120 | 13-Jun-22/O | 5.4 | 7.1 | 7.1 | |
| Strontium | mg/L | 0.001 | SM 3120 | 13-Jun-22/O | 0.106 | 0.114 | 0.111 | |
| Zinc | mg/L | 0.005 | SM 3120 | 13-Jun-22/O | < 0.005 | 0.009 | 0.006 | |
| Anion Sum | meq/L | | Calc. | 13-Jun-22/O | 3.27 | 3.18 | 3.17 | |
| Cation Sum | meq/L | | Calc. | 13-Jun-22/O | 3.43 | 3.37 | 3.26 | |
| % Difference | % | | Calc. | 13-Jun-22/O | 2.51 | 2.97 | 1.35 | |
| Ion Ratio | AS/CS | | Calc. | 13-Jun-22/O | 0.951 | 0.942 | 0.973 | |

R.L. = Reporting Limit

Test methods may be modified from specified reference method unless indicated by an * Site Analyzed=K-Kingston, W-Windsor, O-Ottawa, R-Richmond Hill, B-Barrie

Michelle Dubien Lab Manager



Final Report

C.O.C.: G77381 **REPORT No. B22-16585**

Report To:

Greenview Environmental Management

13 Commerce Crt., PO Box 100 Bancroft Ontario K0L1C0 **Attention:** Mike Grasby

DATE RECEIVED: 01-Jun-22

SAMPLE MATRIX: Surface Water

DATE REPORTED: 28-Jun-22

Caduceon Environmental Laboratories

285 Dalton Ave

Kingston Ontario K7K 6Z1

Tel: 613-544-2001 Fax: 613-544-2770

JOB/PROJECT NO.: Matawatchan WDS

P.O. NUMBER:

102.22.012

WATERWORKS NO.

| | | | Client I.D. | | SW-2 | SW-3 | SW QA/QC | |
|----------------------|---------|------|---------------------|-----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--|
| | | | Sample I.D. | | B22-16585-1 | B22-16585-2 | B22-16585-3 | |
| | | - | Date Collect | ed | 31-May-22 | 31-May-22 | 31-May-22 | |
| Parameter | Units | R.L. | Reference Method | Date/Site Analyzed | | | | |
| Conductivity (calc.) | µmho/cm | | Calc. | 13-Jun-22/O | 323 | 315 | 311 | |
| TDS(ion sum calc.) | mg/L | 1 | Calc. | 13-Jun-22/O | 169 | 165 | 163 | |



Final Report

C.O.C.: G111142 REPORT No. B22-33614

Report To:

Greenview Environmental Management

13 Commerce Crt., PO Box 100 Bancroft Ontario K0L1C0 Attention: Mike Grasby

DATE RECEIVED: 03-Nov-22

DATE REPORTED: 06-Dec-22

SAMPLE MATRIX: Groundwater

Caduceon Environmental Laboratories

285 Dalton Ave

Kingston Ontario K7K 6Z1

Tel: 613-544-2001 Fax: 613-544-2770

JOB/PROJECT NO.: Matawatchan WDS

P.O. NUMBER: 102.22.012

WATERWORKS NO.

| | | | Client I.D. | | 91-2C | 91-4A | 95-1 | 95-2B |
|----------------------------|---------|--------|---------------------|-----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | | | Sample I.D. | | B22-33614-1 | B22-33614-2 | B22-33614-3 | B22-33614-4 |
| | | | Date Collecte | ed | 01-Nov-22 | 01-Nov-22 | 01-Nov-22 | 01-Nov-22 |
| Parameter | Units | R.L. | Reference Method | Date/Site Analyzed | | | | |
| Alkalinity(CaCO3) to pH4.5 | mg/L | 5 | SM 2320B | 08-Nov-22/O | 411 | 421 | 85 | 269 |
| Chloride | mg/L | 0.5 | SM4110C | 16-Nov-22/O | 5.8 | 1.7 | < 0.5 | < 0.5 |
| Nitrite (N) | mg/L | 0.05 | SM4110C | 16-Nov-22/O | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 |
| Nitrate (N) | mg/L | 0.05 | SM4110C | 16-Nov-22/O | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 |
| Sulphate | mg/L | 1 | SM4110C | 16-Nov-22/O | 52 | 66 | 55 | 43 |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | mg/L | 0.1 | E3516.2 | 14-Nov-22/K | 0.4 | 0.2 | < 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Ammonia (N)-Total | mg/L | 0.01 | SM4500- NH3-H | 14-Nov-22/K | 0.04 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | 0.02 |
| TDS (Calc. from Cond.) | mg/L | 1 | Calc. | 10-Nov-22 | 434 | 447 | 131 | 291 |
| Phenolics | mg/L | 0.001 | MOEE 3179 | 05-Dec-22/K | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 |
| COD | mg/L | 5 | SM5220C | 08-Nov-22/K | 15 | 14 | < 5 | 30 |
| Boron | mg/L | 0.005 | SM 3120 | 16-Nov-22/O | 0.201 | 0.271 | < 0.005 | 0.212 |
| Calcium | mg/L | 0.02 | SM 3120 | 16-Nov-22/O | 131 | 132 | 41.8 | 84.6 |
| Copper | mg/L | 0.0001 | EPA 200.8 | 17-Nov-22/O | 0.0020 | 0.0032 | 0.0017 | 0.0109 |
| Iron | mg/L | 0.005 | SM 3120 | 16-Nov-22/O | 0.072 | 0.025 | 0.509 | 0.012 |
| Magnesium | mg/L | 0.02 | SM 3120 | 16-Nov-22/O | 22.0 | 24.0 | 5.73 | 11.3 |
| Manganese | mg/L | 0.001 | SM 3120 | 16-Nov-22/O | 0.495 | 0.031 | 0.101 | < 0.001 |
| Potassium | mg/L | 0.1 | SM 3120 | 16-Nov-22/O | 11.2 | 7.3 | 3.2 | 3.4 |
| Sodium | mg/L | 0.2 | SM 3120 | 16-Nov-22/O | 16.0 | 15.9 | 1.3 | 15.9 |
| Strontium | mg/L | 0.001 | SM 3120 | 16-Nov-22/O | 0.750 | 0.423 | 0.060 | 0.167 |
| Anion Sum | meq/L | | Calc. | 06-Dec-22/O | 9.46 | 9.83 | 2.84 | 6.28 |
| Cation Sum | meq/L | | Calc. | 06-Dec-22/O | 9.36 | 9.44 | 2.73 | 5.93 |
| % Difference | % | | Calc. | 06-Dec-22/O | 0.562 | 2.01 | 2.08 | 2.88 |
| Ion Ratio | AS/CS | | Calc. | 06-Dec-22/O | 1.01 | 1.04 | 1.04 | 1.06 |
| Conductivity (calc.) | µmho/cm | | Calc. | 06-Dec-22/O | 834 | 849 | 288 | 561 |
| TDS(ion sum calc.) | mg/L | 1 | Calc. | 06-Dec-22/O | 485 | 499 | 159 | 320 |
| Langelier Index(20°C) | S.I. | | Calc. | 06-Dec-22/O | 0.939 | 0.812 | -1.16 | 0.585 |

¹ Results for some requested parameters unavailable due to low sample volumes

M.Duci

R.L. = Reporting Limit

Michelle Dubien Lab Manager



Final Report

C.O.C.: G111142 REPORT No. B22-33614

Client I D

Report To:

Greenview Environmental Management

13 Commerce Crt., PO Box 100 Bancroft Ontario K0L1C0 Attention: Mike Grasby

DATE RECEIVED: 03-Nov-22

DATE REPORTED: 06-Dec-22

SAMPLE MATRIX: Groundwater

Caduceon Environmental Laboratories

285 Dalton Ave

Kingston Ontario K7K 6Z1

Tel: 613-544-2001 Fax: 613-544-2770

JOB/PROJECT NO.: Matawatchan WDS

GW OA/OC

P.O. NUMBER: 102.22.012

WATERWORKS NO.

95_/

| | | | Client I.D. | | 95-4 | GW QA/QC | |
|----------------------------|---------|--------|---------------------|-----------------------|-------------|-------------|--|
| | | | Sample I.D. | | B22-33614-5 | B22-33614-6 | |
| | | | Date Collecte | ed | 01-Nov-22 | 01-Nov-22 | |
| Parameter | Units | R.L. | Reference Method | Date/Site Analyzed | | | |
| Alkalinity(CaCO3) to pH4.5 | mg/L | 5 | SM 2320B | 08-Nov-22/O | | 84 | |
| Chloride | mg/L | 0.5 | SM4110C | 16-Nov-22/O | | < 0.5 | |
| Nitrite (N) | mg/L | 0.05 | SM4110C | 16-Nov-22/O | | < 0.05 | |
| Nitrate (N) | mg/L | 0.05 | SM4110C | 16-Nov-22/O | | < 0.05 | |
| Sulphate | mg/L | 1 | SM4110C | 16-Nov-22/O | | 54 | |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | mg/L | 0.1 | E3516.2 | 14-Nov-22/K | 0.3 | 0.1 | |
| Ammonia (N)-Total | mg/L | 0.01 | SM4500- NH3-H | 14-Nov-22/K | 0.03 | 0.02 | |
| TDS (Calc. from Cond.) | mg/L | 1 | Calc. | 10-Nov-22 | | 129 | |
| Phenolics | mg/L | 0.001 | MOEE 3179 | 05-Dec-22/K | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | |
| COD | mg/L | 5 | SM5220C | 08-Nov-22/K | 15 | < 5 | |
| Boron | mg/L | 0.005 | SM 3120 | 16-Nov-22/O | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | |
| Calcium | mg/L | 0.02 | SM 3120 | 16-Nov-22/O | 34.8 | 41.3 | |
| Copper | mg/L | 0.0001 | EPA 200.8 | 17-Nov-22/O | 0.0051 | 0.0026 | |
| Iron | mg/L | 0.005 | SM 3120 | 16-Nov-22/O | 0.034 | 0.495 | |
| Magnesium | mg/L | 0.02 | SM 3120 | 16-Nov-22/O | 2.82 | 5.69 | |
| Manganese | mg/L | 0.001 | SM 3120 | 16-Nov-22/O | 0.015 | 0.099 | |
| Potassium | mg/L | 0.1 | SM 3120 | 16-Nov-22/O | 1.5 | 3.2 | |
| Sodium | mg/L | 0.2 | SM 3120 | 16-Nov-22/O | 1.0 | 1.3 | |
| Strontium | mg/L | 0.001 | SM 3120 | 16-Nov-22/O | 0.065 | 0.059 | |
| Anion Sum | meq/L | | Calc. | 06-Dec-22/O | | 2.79 | |
| Cation Sum | meq/L | | Calc. | 06-Dec-22/O | | 2.70 | |
| % Difference | % | | Calc. | 06-Dec-22/O | | 1.75 | |
| Ion Ratio | AS/CS | | Calc. | 06-Dec-22/O | | 1.04 | |
| Conductivity (calc.) | µmho/cm | | Calc. | 06-Dec-22/O | | 284 | |
| TDS(ion sum calc.) | mg/L | 1 | Calc. | 06-Dec-22/O | | 156 | |
| Langelier Index(20°C) | S.I. | | Calc. | 06-Dec-22/O | | -1.11 | |

¹ Results for some requested parameters unavailable due to low sample volumes

M. Duli

R.L. = Reporting Limit

Michelle Dubien Lab Manager

Test methods may be modified from specified reference method unless indicated by an * Site Analyzed=K-Kingston,W-Windsor,O-Ottawa,R-Richmond Hill,B-Barrie

The analytical results reported herein refer to the samples as received. Reproduction of this analytical report in full or in part is prohibited without prior consent from



Final Report

C.O.C.: G111142 REPORT No. B22-33613

Report To:

Greenview Environmental Management

13 Commerce Crt., PO Box 100 Bancroft Ontario K0L1C0 Attention: Mike Grasby

DATE RECEIVED: 03-Nov-22

DATE REPORTED: 06-Dec-22

SAMPLE MATRIX: Surface Water

Caduceon Environmental Laboratories

285 Dalton Ave

Kingston Ontario K7K 6Z1

Tel: 613-544-2001 Fax: 613-544-2770

JOB/PROJECT NO.: Matawatchan WDS

P.O. NUMBER: 102.22.012

WATERWORKS NO.

| | | 1 | Client I.D. | | SW3 | QA/QC | \Box |
|----------------------------|-------|----------|---------------------|-----------------------|-------------|-------------|--------|
| | | | Sample I.D. | | B22-33613-1 | B22-33613-2 | |
| | | | Date Collecte | ed | 01-Nov-22 | 01-Nov-22 | |
| Parameter | Units | R.L. | Reference Method | Date/Site Analyzed | | 1 | |
| Alkalinity(CaCO3) to pH4.5 | mg/L | 5 | SM 2320B | 07-Nov-22/O | 170 | 168 | |
| Chloride | mg/L | 0.5 | SM4110C | 14-Nov-22/O | 16.0 | 15.7 | |
| Nitrite (N) | mg/L | 0.05 | SM4110C | 14-Nov-22/O | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | |
| Nitrate (N) | mg/L | 0.05 | SM4110C | 14-Nov-22/O | 0.06 | 0.07 | |
| Sulphate | mg/L | 1 | SM4110C | 14-Nov-22/O | 16 | 16 | |
| BOD(5 day) | mg/L | 3 | SM 5210B | 10-Nov-22/K | < 3 | < 3 | |
| Phosphorus-Total | mg/L | 0.01 | E3516.2 | 14-Nov-22/K | 0.05 | 0.08 | |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | mg/L | 0.1 | E3516.2 | 14-Nov-22/K | 0.6 | 8.0 | |
| Ammonia (N)-Total | mg/L | 0.01 | SM4500- NH3-H | 11-Nov-22/K | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | |
| Total Dissolved Solids | mg/L | 3 | SM 2540D | 08-Nov-22/O | 189 | 190 | |
| Phenolics | mg/L | 0.001 | MOEE 3179 | 05-Dec-22/K | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | |
| COD | mg/L | 5 | SM5220C | 15-Nov-22/K | 16 | 36 | |
| Boron | mg/L | 0.005 | SM 3120 | 16-Nov-22/O | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | |
| Cadmium | mg/L |).000015 | EPA 200.8 | 15-Nov-22/O | 0.000038 | 0.000067 | |
| Calcium | mg/L | 0.02 | SM 3120 | 16-Nov-22/O | 58.8 | 53.3 | |
| Copper | mg/L | 0.0001 | EPA 200.8 | 15-Nov-22/O | 0.0025 | 0.0099 | |
| Iron | mg/L | 0.005 | SM 3120 | 16-Nov-22/O | 0.555 | 0.759 | |
| Magnesium | mg/L | 0.02 | SM 3120 | 16-Nov-22/O | 8.82 | 8.08 | |
| Manganese | mg/L | 0.001 | SM 3120 | 16-Nov-22/O | 0.059 | 0.075 | |
| Potassium | mg/L | 0.1 | SM 3120 | 16-Nov-22/O | 2.2 | 1.9 | |
| Sodium | mg/L | 0.2 | SM 3120 | 16-Nov-22/O | 8.9 | 8.0 | |
| Strontium | mg/L | 0.001 | SM 3120 | 16-Nov-22/O | 0.130 | 0.119 | |
| Zinc | mg/L | 0.005 | SM 3120 | 16-Nov-22/O | 0.008 | 0.007 | |
| Anion Sum | meq/L | | Calc. | 06-Dec-22/O | 4.18 | 4.12 | |
| Cation Sum | meq/L | | Calc. | 06-Dec-22/O | 4.13 | 3.77 | |
| % Difference | % | | Calc. | 06-Dec-22/O | 0.489 | 4.49 | |
| Ion Ratio | AS/CS | | Calc. | 06-Dec-22/O | 1.01 | 1.09 | |

M.Duci

R.L. = Reporting Limit

Michelle Dubien Lab Manager



Final Report

C.O.C.: G111142 REPORT No. B22-33613

Report To:

Greenview Environmental Management

13 Commerce Crt., PO Box 100 Bancroft Ontario K0L1C0 Attention: Mike Grasby

DATE RECEIVED: 03-Nov-22

DATE REPORTED: 06-Dec-22

SAMPLE MATRIX: Surface Water

Caduceon Environmental Laboratories

285 Dalton Ave

Kingston Ontario K7K 6Z1

Tel: 613-544-2001 Fax: 613-544-2770

JOB/PROJECT NO.: Matawatchan WDS

P.O. NUMBER: 102.22.012

WATERWORKS NO.

| | | | Client I.D. | | SW3 | QA/QC | |
|-----------------------|---------|------|---------------------|-----------------------|-------------|-------------|--|
| | | | Sample I.D. | | B22-33613-1 | B22-33613-2 | |
| | | | Date Collect | ed | 01-Nov-22 | 01-Nov-22 | |
| Parameter | Units | R.L. | Reference Method | Date/Site Analyzed | | | |
| Conductivity (calc.) | µmho/cm | | Calc. | 06-Dec-22/O | 400 | 379 | |
| TDS(ion sum calc.) | mg/L | 1 | Calc. | 06-Dec-22/O | 213 | 204 | |
| Langelier Index(20°C) | S.I. | | Calc. | 06-Dec-22/O | 0.546 | 0.459 | |

M. Duci

R.L. = Reporting Limit

Michelle Dubien Lab Manager

Appendix D

Appendix D-Monitoring and Screening Checklist General Information and Instructions

General Information: The checklist is to be completed, and submitted with the Monitoring Report. Instructions: A complete checklist consists of:

- (a) a completed and signed checklist, including any additional pages of information which can be attached as needed to provide further details where indicated.
- (b) completed contact information for the Competent Environmental Practitioner (CEP)
- (c) self-declaration that CEP(s) meet(s) the qualifications as set out below and in Section 1.2 of the Technical Guidance Document.

Definition of Groundwater CEP:

For groundwater, the CEP must have expertise in hydrogeology and meet one of the following:

- (a) the person holds a licence, limited licence or temporary licence under the Professional Engineers Act; or
- (b) the person holds a certificate of registration under the *Professional Geoscientists Act, 2000* and is a practicing member, temporary member or limited member of the Association of Professional Geoscientists of Ontario. O. Reg. 66/08, s. 2..

Definition of Surface water CEP:

A CEP for surface water assessments is a scientist, professional engineer or professional geoscientist as described in (a) and (b) above with demonstrated experience and post-secondary education, either a diploma or degree, in hydrology, aquatic ecology, limnology, aquatic biology, physical geography with specialization in surface water, and/or water resource management.

The type of scientific work that a CEP performs must be consistent with that person's education and experience. If an individual has appropriate training and credentials in both groundwater and surface water and is responsible for both areas of expertise, the CEP may then complete and validate both sections of the checklist.

Monitoring Report and Site Information

| Waste Disposal Site Name: Matawatchan WDS |
|--|
| Location (e.g. street address, lot, concession): Part of Lot 13, Concession 5, geographic Township of |
| Matawatchan, Township of Greater Madawaska |
| GPS Location (taken within the property boundary at front gate/front entry): |
| North American Datum (NAD83) are 333853.0 metres (m) East, 5002303.0 m North, in Zone 18T |
| Municipality: Township of Greater Madawaska |
| Client and/or Site Owner: Township of Greater Madawaska |
| Monitoring Period (Year): 2022 |
| This Monitoring Report is being submitted under the following: Certificate of Approval No.: A412204 Director's Order No.: Provincial Officer's Order No.: Other: |
| Report Submission Frequency: Annual X Other specify: |
| The site is: active inactive closed X Closure Plan (September 2008) |
| If closed, specify C of A, control or authorizing document closure date: PC of A (A412204) - (February 26, 2010) |
| Has the nature of the operations at the site changed during this monitoring period? Yes No X |
| |

Groundwater WDS Verification:

| Based on all available | information about | the site and site | knowledge, it is | s my opinion that: |
|------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|--------------------|
|------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|--------------------|

| Sampling | and | Monit | oring | Program | Status: |
|----------|-----|-------|-------|----------------|---------|
|----------|-----|-------|-------|----------------|---------|

| Sa | mpling and Wonitorin | g Program Status: | | |
|----|---|--|------|--|
| 1) | The monitoring program continues to effectively characterize site conditions and any groundwater discharges from the site. All monitoring wells are confirmed to be in good condition and are secure: | | | |
| | X Yes | No | | |
| | If no, list exceptions: See Report | | | |
| | | | | |
| 2) | being reported on was | eate and WDS gas sampling and monitoring for to successfully completed as required by Certificating/control document(s): | | |
| | X Yes | No Not applicable | | |
| | If no, list exceptions be | elow or attach information. | | |
| | Groundwater Sampling Location | Description/Explanation for change (change in name or location, additions, deletions) | Date | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| 3) | | | e and WDS gas sampling and mo side of a ministry C of A, authoriz | |
|----|--|--|---|--|
| | Yes | X No | Not applicable | |
| | reported on was succe | essfully complet | identified under 3(a) for the more led in accordance with establishers ars developed as per the Technic | ed protocols, |
| | Yes | No | Not applicable | |
| | If no, list exceptions of | r attach addition | al information. | |
| | Groundwater Sampling Location | | on/Explanation for change name or location, additions, deletions) | Date |
| | See Report | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 4) | operating procedures (including internal/ext | as establisher ernal QA/QC re ernally by the | estigations was done in acco ed/outlined per the Technical equirements) (Note: A SOP can site owner's consultant, or ado | Guidance Document n be from a published |
| | X Yes | No | | |
| | If no, specify: | | | |
| | | | | |

Sampling and Monitoring Program Results/WDS Conditions and Assessment:

| 5) | The site has an adequate buffer, Contaminant Attenuation Zone (CAZ) and/or contingency plan in place. Design and operational measures, including the size and configuration of any CAZ, are adequate to prevent potential human health impacts and impairment of the environment. |
|----|---|
| | X Yes No |
| | If no, the potential design and operational concerns/exceptions are as follows: |
| | See Report |
| 6) | The site meets compliance and assessment criteria. |
| | X Yes No |
| | If no, list and explain exceptions See report |
| 7) | The site continues to perform as anticipated. There have been no unusual trends/ changes |
| ., | in measured leachate and groundwater levels or concentrations. |
| | X Yes No |
| | If no, list exceptions and explain reason for increase/change. |
| | See Report |
| | |

| 8) | is one or more of the following risk reduction practices in place at the site: |
|----|--|
| | (a) There is minimal reliance on natural attenuation of leachate due to the presence of an effective waste liner and active leachate collection/treatment; or (b) There is a predictive monitoring program in-place (modeled indicator concentrations projected over time for key locations); or (c) The site meets the following two conditions (typically achieved after 15 years or longer of site operation): i. The site has developed stable leachate mound(s) and stable leachate plume geometry/concentrations; and ii. Seasonal and annual water levels and water quality fluctuations are well understood. |
| | X Yes Note which practice(s): (a) b) c) X |
| 9) | Have trigger values for contingency plans or site remedial actions been exceeded (where they exist): |
| | Yes X No Not applicable |
| | If yes, list value(s) that are/have been exceeded and follow-up action taken |
| | See Report |
| | |
| | |

Groundwater CEP Declaration:

I am a licensed professional Engineer or a registered professional geoscientist in Ontario with expertise in hydrogeology, as defined in Appendix D under Instructions. Where additional expertise was needed to evaluate the site monitoring data, I have relied on individuals who I believe to be experts in the relevant discipline, who have co-signed the compliance monitoring report or monitoring program status report, and who have provided evidence to me of their credentials.

I have examined the applicable Certificate of Approval and any other environmental authorizing or control documents that apply to the site. I have read and followed the Monitoring and Reporting for Waste Disposal Sites Groundwater and Surface Water Technical Guidance Document (MOE, 2010, or as amended), and associated monitoring and sampling guidance documents, as amended from time to time. I have reviewed all of the data collected for the above-referenced site for the monitoring period(s) identified in this checklist. Except as otherwise agreed with the ministry for certain parameters, all of the analytical work has been undertaken by a laboratory which is accredited for the parameters analysed to ISO/IEC 17025:2005 (E)- General requirements for the competence of testing and calibration laboratories, or as amended from time to time by the ministry.

If any exceptions or potential concerns have been noted in the questions in the checklist attached to this declaration, it is my opinion that these exceptions and concerns are minor in nature and will be rectified for the next monitoring/reporting period. Where this is not the case, the circumstances concerning the exception or potential concern and my client's proposed action have been documented in writing to the Ministry of the Environment District Manager in a letter from me dated: Recommendations: Based on my technical review of the monitoring results for the waste disposal site: ☐ No changes to the monitoring program are recommended The following change(s) to the monitoring program is/are recommended: See Section 5.0 - Conclusions and Recommendations of 2022 Annual Report. ☑ No changes to the site design and operation are recommended. ☐ The following change(s) to the site design and operation is/are recommended: Name: Tyler H. Peters, P.Eng. Seal: 100035722 Date: Feb17-23 Signature:

Telephone No.:

CEP Contact Information:

Company: Greenview Environmental Address:

Fax No.:

E-mail Address:

solutions@greenview-environmental.ca

PONNCE OF ONTARIO

| Co-signers for additional expertise provide | d: |
|---|-------|
| Signature: | Date: |
| Signature: | Date: |

Surface Water WDS Verification:

| ap site | proximate distance to t | ace water body/bodies potentially receiving the he waterbody (including the nearest surface wanittent creek | |
|------------|---|---|-----------------------|
| Ва | sed on all available info | ormation and site knowledge, it is my opinion tha | it: |
| Sa | mpling and Monitorin | g Program Status: | |
| 1) | | ater monitoring program continues to effectively ns, and includes data that relates upstream/backwater conditions: | |
| | X Yes | No | |
| | If no, identify issues. | | |
| | See Report | | |
| 2) | completed in accordar document(s) (if application X Yes | oling for the monitoring period being reported wance with the Certificate(s) of Approval or relevantable): No Not applicable (No C of A, autonomical document application of the control document application of | t authorizing/control |
| | Surface Water Sampling Location | Description/Explanation for change (change in name or location, additions, deletions) | Date |
| | See Report | | |
| | | | 19 |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| 3) | | | nonitoring program require tside of a ministry C of A o | |
|----|---|--|--|------------------------------|
| | Yes | X No | Not applicable | |
| | completed in accordar | nce with the established | nitoring identified under 3 ed program from the site, in eters) as developed per the | ncluding sampling |
| | Yes | No | Not applicable | |
| | If no, specify below or | provide details in an a | attachment. | |
| | Surface Water Sampling Location | (change in name | planation for change or location, additions, letions) | Date |
| | See Report | | | |
| | | 3 | | |
| | 4 | | | |
| | | | | |
| 4) | operating procedures, established/outlined as (Note: A SOP can be | including internal/exte s per the Technical Go from a published source | s was done in accordance ernal QA/QC requirements uidance Document, MOE 2 ce, developed internally by m another organization): | , as 2010, or as amended. |
| | X Yes | No | | |
| | If no, specify: | | | |
| | | | | |

Sampling and Monitoring Program Results/WDS Conditions and Assessment:

| 5) | criteria: i.e., there a Water Management | are no exceedances of one of the control of the con | ter-related compliance criteria and assessmen criteria, based on MOE legislation, regulations d Provincial Water Quality Objectives and othe as noted in Table A or Table B in the Technica | s, er |
|----|---|--|--|----------|
| | Yes | X No | | |
| | | | tlined above and the amount/percentage of the e details in an attachment: | 9 |
| | Parameter | Compliance or Assessment Criteria or Background | Amount by which Compliance or Assessment Criteria or Background Exceeded | |
| | e.g. Nickel | e.g. C of A limit, PWQO, background | e.g. X% above PWQO | |
| | See report | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 6) | | | Question 5 are the result of non-WDS related, sampling site conditions)? | d |
| | X Yes | No | | |
| | If yes, specify See report | | | |

| 7) | All monitoring program surface water parameter concentrations fall within a stable or decreasing trend. The site is not characterized by historical ranges of concentrations above assessment and compliance criteria. |
|----|--|
| | Yes X No |
| | If no, list parameters and stations that is outside the expected range. Identify whether parameter concentrations show an increasing trend or are within a high historical range. |
| | See Report |
| 8) | For the monitoring program parameters, does the water quality in the groundwater zones adjacent to surface water receivers exceed assessment or compliance criteria (e.g., PWQOs, CWQGs, or toxicity values for aquatic biota (APVs)): |
| | X Yes No Not known Not applicable |
| | If yes, provide details and whether remedial measures are necessary. See report |
| 9) | Have trigger values for contingency plans or site remedial actions been exceeded (where they exist): |
| | Yes X No Not applicable |
| | If yes, list value(s) that are/have been exceeded and follow-up action taken. |
| | |

Surface Water CEP Declaration:

I, the undersigned hereby declare that I am a Competent Environmental Practitioner as defined in Appendix D under Instructions, holding the necessary level of experience and education to design surface water monitoring and sampling programs, conduct appropriate surface water investigations and interpret the related data as it pertains to the site for this monitoring period.

I have examined the applicable Certificate of Approval and any other environmental authorizing or control documents that apply to the site. I have read and followed the Monitoring and Reporting for Waste Disposal Sites Groundwater and Surface Water Technical Guidance Document (MOE, 2010, or as amended) and associated monitoring and sampling guidance documents, as amended from time to time. I have reviewed all of the data collected for the above-referenced site for the monitoring period(s) identified in this checklist. Except as otherwise agreed with the ministry for certain parameters, all of the analytical work has been undertaken by a laboratory which is accredited for the parameters analysed to ISO/IEC 17025:2005 (E)- General requirements for the competence of testing and calibration laboratories, or as amended from time to time by the ministry.

| attached to this declaration, it is my opinion that these exceptions and concerns are minor in nature or will be rectified for future monitoring events. Where this is not the case, the circumstances concerning the exception or potential concern and my client's proposed action have been documented in writing to the Ministry of the Environment District Manager in a letter from me dated: |
|---|
| Recommendations: |
| Based on my technical review of the monitoring results for the waste disposal site: ☑ No changes to the monitoring program are recommended ☐ The following change(s) to the monitoring program is/are recommended: |
| No changes to the site design and operation are recommended □ The following change(s) to the site design and operation is/are recommended: |
| |
| CEP Signature: |
| Relevant Discipline_ P.Eng. Date: (yyyy/mm/dd): Feb17-23 |
| |
| CEP Contact Information: |

Company: Greenview Environmental Management Limited Address: 13 Commerce Court, Bancroft, Ontario, K0L 1C0

Telephone No.: 613-332-0057

Fax No.:

E-mail Address: solutions@greenview-environmental.ca

Appendix E



Statement of Service Conditions & Limitations

The following conditions and limitations shall form an integral part of any agreement between Greenview and the Client. In the event of duplication or conflict, the most stringent shall supercede the other.

Provision of Services and Payment

Upon documented acceptance of Greenview's proposed services and conditions in written form by the Client, Greenview may commence work on the proposed services directly.

Greenview's offers for services in the form of proposals, quotations, bids, tenders, or other like an offering to a Client are formulated upon available information at the time of the offer submission. In the event of discovery of unknown conditions, or any other unknown circumstance that may arise following the presentation of Greenview's offer to the Client, Greenview reserves the right to negotiate terms with the Client with respect to changes in scope, fees, disbursements, or the like as may be fair and reasonable considering the discovery.

Upon retention of Greenview's services related to any commission, the Client agrees to remit payment for the services rendered for the specified period within (30) days of the invoice date as invoiced by Greenview on a typical monthly basis, unless otherwise arranged between the Client and Greenview. In the event of non-payment by the Client, Greenview reserves the right, without external influence or expense, to discontinue services and retain any documentation, data, reports, or other project information until such time as payment is received by Greenview. Interest on any overdue accounts may be applied accordingly.

Warranty, Limitations, and Reliance

Greenview relies on background and historical information from the Client to determine the appropriate scope of services to meet the Client's objectives, in accordance with applicable legislation, guidelines, industry practices, and accepted methodologies.

Greenview provides its services under the specific terms and conditions of a specific proposal (and where necessary formal contract), in accordance with the above requirements and the *Limitations Act 2002*, as amended, only.

The hypotheses, results, conclusions, and recommendations presented in documentation authored by Greenview are founded on the information provided by the Client to Greenview in preparation for the work. Facts, conditions, and circumstances discovered by Greenview during the performance of the work requested by the Client are assumed by Greenview to be part of preparatory information provided by the Client as part of the proposal stage of the project. Greenview assumes that, until notified or discovered otherwise, that the information provided by, or obtained by Greenview from, the Client is factual, accurate, and represents a true depiction of the circumstances that exist related to the time of the work.

Greenview relies on its Clients to inform Greenview if there are changes to any related information to the work. Greenview does not review, analyze, or attempt to verify the accuracy or completeness of the information or materials provided, or circumstances encountered, other than in accordance with applicable accepted industry practice. Greenview will not be responsible for matters arising from incomplete, incorrect, or misleading information or from facts or circumstances that are not fully disclosed to, or that are concealed from Greenview during the period that proposals, services, work, or documentation preparation was performed by Greenview.

Facts, conditions, information, and circumstances may vary with time and locations and Greenview's services are based on a review of such matters as they existed at the time and location indicated in its documentation. No assurance is made by Greenview that the facts, conditions, information, circumstances or any underlying assumptions made by Greenview in connection with the work performed will not change after the work is completed and documentation is submitted. If any such changes occur or additional information is obtained, Greenview should be advised and

requested to consider if the changes or additional information affect its findings or results.

When preparing documentation, Greenview considers applicable legislation, regulations, governmental guidelines, and policies to the extent they are within its knowledge, but Greenview is not qualified to advise with respect to legal matters. The presentation of information regarding applicable legislation, regulations, not intended to and should not be interpreted as constituting a legal opinion concerning the work completed or conditions outlined in a report. All legal matters should be reviewed and considered by an appropriately qualified legal practitioner.

Greenview's services, work and reports are provided solely for the exclusive use of the Client which has retained the services of Greenview and to which its reports are addressed. Greenview is not responsible for the use of its services, work or reports by any other party, or for the reliance on, or for any decision which is made by any party using the services or work performed by or a report prepared by Greenview without Greenview's express written consent. Any party that uses, relies on, or makes a decision based on services or work performed by Greenview or a report prepared by Greenview without Greenview's express written consent, does so at its own risk. Except as set out herein, Greenview specifically disclaims any liability or responsibility to any third party for any loss, damage, expense, fine, penalty or other such thing which may arise or result from the use of, reliance on or decision based on any information, recommendation or other matter arising from the services, work or reports provided by Greenview.

Site Reviews and Assessments

A site assessment is created using data and information collected during the investigation of a site and based on conditions encountered at the time and particular locations at which fieldwork is conducted. The information, sample results and data collected represent the conditions only at the specific times at which and at those specific locations from which the information, samples and data were obtained and the information, sample results and data may vary at other locations and times. To the extent that Greenview's work or report considers any locations or times other than those from which information, sample results and data were specifically received, the work or report is based on a reasonable extrapolation from such information, sample results and data but the actual conditions encountered may vary from those based on extrapolations.

Only conditions, and substances, at the site and locations chosen for study by the Client are evaluated; no adjacent or other properties are evaluated unless specifically requested by the Client. Any physical or other aspects of the site that were not chosen for study by the Client, or any other matter not specifically addressed in a report prepared by Greenview, are beyond the scope of the work performed by Greenview and such matters have not been investigated or addressed.

Confidentiality

Greenview provides its proposals, reports, assessments, designs, and any other work for the sole party identified as the Client or potential Client in the case of proposals.

For proposals specifically, the information contained therein is strictly confidential, proprietary information, and shall not be reproduced or disclosed to any other party than to that of the addressee of the original proposal submission, without prior written permission of Greenview. Any such unauthorised reproduction, in whole or in part, is considered a breach of trust or contract, as applicable by law.

Greenview retains all rights to its working/editable files, documents, calculations, drawings, and all other such information utilized in the preparation of its end deliverables to its Clients. Working documentation is considered to be proprietary, and the sole ownership of Greenview and its subconsultants/subtractors.