

2021 Annual Report

Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site (A411901)

Township of Greater Madawaska County of Renfrew, Ontario

March 21, 2022 Greenview File: 102.21.015







Executive Summary

This report has been prepared to document the results of the 2021 environmental monitoring program for the Township of Greater Madawaska's Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site, located on part of Lot 4, Concession 14 within the geographic Township of Brougham, in the Township of Greater Madawaska.

The Mount St. Patrick site operates in accordance with Environmental Compliance Approval A411901, as an active waste disposal site and transfer station, and has an approved waste disposal area of 1.2 hectares within a total property area of 38.25 hectares, owned by the Township of Greater Madawaska. The amended Environmental Compliance Approval approved the continued use of the site as a landfill for approved waste and the establishment of a transfer station for municipal mixed waste and recyclables.

The groundwater configuration at the site in 2021 was interpreted to be generally consistent with historical interpretations, with the predominant direction of groundwater flow in the overburden unit being to the north towards the low-lying areas, and in the general direction of Constant Creek.

Groundwater immediately downgradient of the site at monitors MW06-2, MW06-3, and MW06-4 was interpreted to be impacted by landfill-related activities, winter road maintenance activities, and to naturally-occurring conditions within the adjacent low-lying areas. Results from monitoring well MW06-2 were interpreted to most represent leachate quality at the Mount St. Patrick site in 2021. New background monitoring well MW21-7 was documented to have generally high concentrations for aluminum, dissolved organic carbon, and manganese based on the preliminary sampling event in spring 2021. Historically, previously-existing background well MW08-1 has been documented to have naturally high concentrations of aluminum, dissolved organic carbon, iron, and manganese; however, in recent years groundwater quality results at MW08-1 have also been interpreted to display road salt impacts in results associated with the transfer station, Flat Road, and the Township's nearby winter sand storage area adjacent to the site.

Groundwater quality near the north-eastern property boundary and within a low-lying area is monitored at well MW09-5R, and was interpreted to be located partially cross-gradient and northeast of the waste mound. Ontario Drinking Water Standards and Reasonable Use Concept non-conformances in 2021 at monitoring well MW09-5R were attributed to naturally-occurring conditions within the low-lying area northeast of the site and not to landfill-related activities.

Groundwater monitoring well MW09-6R is located approximately 600 m northeast and downgradient of the Mount St. Patrick site and near the north-eastern property boundary. Ontario Drinking Water Standards nonconformances in 2021 at MW09-6R for aluminum (spring only), dissolved organic carbon, iron, and manganese (spring only) were attributed to naturally-occurring conditions within the low-lying area northeast of the site, as were the Reasonable Use Concept non-conformances for concentrations of aluminum (fall only), dissolved organic carbon, iron, and manganese (fall only). Documented groundwater quality results were not attributed to landfill-related activities at the Mount St. Patrick site. Given the considerable distance of MW09-6R from the Mount St. Patrick site (approximately 600 metres), its location within the low-lying area northeast of the site, and since it was interpreted to be the downgradient and downstream receiver of groundwater and surface water flow from the vicinity of Flat Road, non-conformances in 2021 groundwater quality results at MW09-6R were interpreted to be resultant of naturally-occurring conditions within the low-lying area and off-site sources.

Based on the Reasonable Use Concept assessment completed in 2021, it was interpreted that the Mount St. Patrick site was in compliance with Guideline B-7 along the northern and north-eastern property boundaries.

Further to the review of 2021 surface water quality results for downstream surface water sampling locations SW-1 and SW-4, Constant Creek was not interpreted to be impacted by landfill-related activities at the Mount St. Patrick site in 2021. Similarly, surface water sampling location SW-3, located near the Mount St. Patrick site and within the low-lying area, was not interpreted to be significantly impacted by landfill-related activities in 2021.

To calculate the volume of processed construction, demolition, and bulky waste disposed, graded, and



compacted at the site in 2021, the topographic survey conducted on December 14, 2021 was compared to the topographic survey conducted on November 12, 2020 for the Mount St. Patrick site. Additionally, with respect to the unprocessed construction and demolition and bulky waste stockpile on-site within the approved waste disposal area on December 14, 2021, a processing and compaction factor of 33% was applied to the stockpile volume in order to estimate the landfill capacity volume that would be utilized following the next processing event at the site. Therefore, based on the topographic survey calculations noted above for the Mount St. Patrick site, the 2021 fill rate was calculated to be approximately 816 cubic metres of waste.

Based on the calculated 2021 fill rate of 816 cubic metres in comparison to the approved final contours at closure of the Mount St. Patrick site, and with consideration of all the grading and compaction operations conducted by the Township in 2021, the remaining site capacity as of December 14, 2021 was approximately 35,220 cubic metres. Given the average (mean) five (5) year fill rate (2017 to 2021) of 844 cubic metres and the remaining capacity of 35,220 cubic metres, the estimated remaining site life for the Mount St. Patrick site is approximately forty-two (42) years.

Based on Township of Greater Madawaska records, approximately 3,881 vehicles visited the Mount St. Patrick site in 2021, and accepted approximately 9,905 bags and 128 trailer-loads of municipal waste for disposal and/or transfer. Based on information supplied by the Township of Greater Madawaska, 73 tonnes of municipal waste were collected at the Mount St. Patrick site in 2021 and transported for final disposal to the approved waste disposal facility of GFL in Moose Creek, Ontario.

Recycling tonnage records provided by the Township of Greater Madawaska indicated that 25 tonnes of Blue Box recyclables were collected from the Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site in 2021. Blue Box recyclable quantities contributing to this total included 11 tonnes of commingled containers, 10 tonnes of mixed fibres, and 4 tonnes of old corrugated cardboard. Additionally, approximately 410 cubic metres of construction, demolition, and bulky waste, and 110 cubic metres of leaf and yard waste, was accepted at the Mount St. Patrick site in 2021.

According to Township of Greater Madawaska records, 129 tonnes of scrap metal, 63 refrigerant appliances, 3,078 tires, and 20 tonnes of waste electronic and electrical equipment were diverted from the depots at the Norway Lake Waste Disposal Site, Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site, and Griffith Waste Disposal Site in 2021.

Based on the results of the 2021 environmental monitoring program, the Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site was interpreted to be in compliance with all conditions of the Environmental Compliance Approval (A411901) and with the inspections, monitoring, and reporting requirements of the conditions therein.



Table of Contents

1.0	Introduction	1
1.1	Site Information	1
1.2	Background	1
1.3	Purpose and Scope	3
2.0	Site Description	4
2.1	Topography and Drainage	4
2.2	Hydrogeological and Geological Conditions	4
2.3	Land Use	5
2.4	Operational Setting	5
3.0	2021 Environmental Monitoring Program	7
3.1	Groundwater Monitoring	7
3.2	Surface Water Monitoring	8
3.3	Analytical Laboratory Accreditation	8
3.4	Landfill Gas Monitoring	8
3.5	Operational Monitoring	8
4.0	Environmental Monitoring Results	10
4.1	Groundwater Quality Assessment	
4.1.1	Groundwater Configuration	
4.1.2	Groundwater Quality	10
4.1.3	Reasonable Use Concept Assessment	15
4.2	Surface Water Quality Assessment	17
4.3	Operations Summary	21
4.3.1	Site Operations	21
4.3.2	Waste Disposal / Transfer Summary	22
4.3.3	Site Inspections and Maintenance	23
4.3.4	Complaints	23
4.3.5	Monitoring and Screening Checklist	23
5.0	Conclusions and Recommendations	24
6.0	Closing	27



List of Tables

- Table 1
 2021 Groundwater and Surface Water Monitoring Program
- Table 2 Groundwater Monitoring Well and Surface Water Sampling Locations
- Table 3 Groundwater Elevations
- Table 4 Groundwater Quality
- Table 5 Groundwater Quality Compared to PWQO
- Table 6 Surface Water Quality

List of Figures

- Figure 1 Regional Location Plan
- Figure 2 Existing Site Conditions Plan
- Figure 3 Existing Property & Surface Water Monitoring Location Plan
- Figure 4 Final Contours at Closure (FCC) Plan
- Figure 5 Environmental Monitoring Location Plan Spring
- Figure 6 Environmental Monitoring Location Plan Fall

List of Appendices

- Appendix A Environmental Compliance Approval (A411901)
- Appendix B Borehole Logs
- Appendix C Correspondence
- Appendix D Drinking Water Well Information
- Appendix E Field Sampling Records
- Appendix F Laboratory Certificates of Analysis
- Appendix G Monitoring and Screening Checklist
- Appendix H Statement of Service Conditions and Limitations



1.0 Introduction

1.1 Site Information

The Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site operates in accordance with Environmental Compliance Approval (ECA) A411901 issued March 28, 1980, and the most recent amendment dated October 11, 2013 (Appendix A). The Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site is located on part of Lot 4, Concession 14 within the geographic Township of Brougham, in the amalgamated Township of Greater Madawaska (Township, Figures 1 and 2). The Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) coordinates at the site entrance gate relative to the North American Datum (NAD83) are 351183.0 metres (m) East, 5021553.0 m North, in Zone 18T (Google Earth, 2013). The Mount St. Patrick site is located approximately 1.6 kilometres (km) west of the Village of Mount St. Patrick, and access to the site is provided by Flat Road (Figures 1 and 2).

The site operates as an active waste disposal site and includes a waste and recycling transfer station. The site consists of a 1.2 hectare (ha) landfill and transfer station within a total property area of 38.25 ha, and is approved to accept municipal waste from the entire Township (Appendix A; Figures 2 and 3). A significant portion of the site area is located downgradient of the approved waste disposal area (AWDA), and is currently used for operational buffer and contaminant attenuation zone (CAZ) purposes (Figures 3, 4, and 5). All site lands for operations, operational buffer, and CAZ purposes were registered on title on May 15, 2013 and the Certificate of Requirement for the Mount St. Patrick site is included in Appendix A.

As part of the Township's long-term waste management plan, the Mount St. Patrick site was identified for the establishment of a municipal solid waste and recycling transfer station, similar to the Township's Norway Lake and Griffith sites. Construction of the upgraded transfer station at the Mount St. Patrick site was initiated in late 2009 and operations commenced in August 2010 (Greenview, 2011). In 2021, regular municipal waste (i.e. bagged garbage) and Blue Box recycling accepted at the site was transferred to GFL Environmental Inc. in Moose Creek, Ontario for disposal and processing. Construction and demolition (C&D) and bulky wastes received at the Mount St. Patrick site in 2021 was stockpiled, processed and landfilled in the AWDA. One (1) C&D and bulky waste processing event occurred at the Mount St. Patrick site in fall 2021.

1.2 Background

As part of the long-term waste management planning in the Township, which included the Mount St. Patrick site having been identified for the establishment and operation of a waste transfer station, an application to amend the ECA was submitted on July 25, 2007 to the Ontario Ministry of the Environment, Conservation, and Parks (MECP, Greenview, 2008), with supporting technical documentation entitled, *Design and Operations Plan, Municipal Solid Waste Transfer Station, Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site* (DOP, Greenview, 2007a). The intent of the 2007 application was to recognize proposed future site operations in the form of the establishment and operation of a solid waste and recycling transfer station, as well as utilization of the remaining capacity at the site for disposal of municipal waste, C&D and bulky waste, and to maintain service to the entire Township. On April 24, 2008, supplemental information was provided to the MECP, including a revised transfer station location and an updated landfill area development plan (Greenview, 2009).

An Amended ECA was issued by the MECP on July 16, 2008 (Appendix A). The Amended ECA approved the continued use of the site as a landfill for approved waste, and the establishment and operation of a transfer station for municipal waste and recyclables (Appendix A; Figure 4). In August 2010, the newly established solid waste and recycling transfer station opened to ratepayers of the Township (Greenview, 2011).

The Mount St. Patrick site was inspected by the MECP on September 12, 2012, and the Township subsequently received a *Solid Non-Hazardous Waste Disposal Site Inspection Report* (Inspection Report), dated September 25, 2012, which detailed the findings. Action items were included in Sections 5.0 and 6.0 of the Inspection Report. The Township first provided a response to Section 6.0 regarding the volume of C&D and bulky waste stored at the site at the time of the MECP inspection in electronic correspondence, dated



October 11, 2012. Further, the Township submitted a response to the MECP in the form of a Compliance Action Plan, dated November 29, 2012, which addressed the action items detailed in Section 5.0 of the Inspection Report. The MECP notified the Township regarding the acceptance of the Compliance Action Plan in electronic correspondence, dated November 30, 2012 (Greenview, 2013). Further, the Township provided follow-up comments in the 2012 Annual Report (Greenview, 2013) regarding action items detailed in the Compliance Action Plan.

On October 31, 2012, the MECP Technical Support Section (TSS) issued groundwater review comments (Greenview, 2013) for the 2011 Annual Report (Greenview, 2012). The MECP TSS requested that leachate indicator parameters be included in the 2012 Annual Report (Greenview, 2013). Additionally, the MECP TSS provided direction to compare groundwater samples collected from monitoring well MW09-6 to the MECP Provincial Water Quality Objectives (PWQO; MECP, 1994b) as the groundwater monitoring location was interpreted to best intercept groundwater which could potentially discharge to Constant Creek (Greenview, 2013).

On May 15, 2013, the operational and CAZ lands acquired from the Crown for the Mount. St. Patrick site were registered on title, in order to satisfy Condition 8.0 of the site's ECA (Appendix A).

The Mount St. Patrick site was inspected by the MECP Ottawa District Office on December 1, 2016, and an Inspection Report dated January 25, 2017 was issued to the Township (Greenview, 2017). The Township responded with a Compliance Action Plan via electronic mail on February 6, 2017 (Greenview, 2017), which was approved by the MECP Ottawa District Office on February 15, 2017 (Greenview, 2017).

On December 24, 2018, the Township received an MECP TSS surface water review of the 2017 Annual Report for the Mount St. Patrick site (Greenview, 2019). In the review, the MECP TSS surface water reviewer noted that there were no negative impacts to the surface water system (Constant Creek) located approximately 650 m north and downgradient of the Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site (Figure 3). The reviewer also noted their recommendation to calculate background surface water quality in Constant Creek using the 75th percentile concentrations, rather than the median, for future Annual Reports. This recommendation was initiated in the 2018 Annual Report (Table 6; Greenview, 2019).

On October 16, 2019, the Township received an Inspection Report from the MECP Ottawa District Office regarding the Mount St. Patrick site, dated October 8, 2019 (Greenview, 2020a). The Inspection Report included action items to be addressed by the Township, which were addressed in an Action Plan prepared by Greenview dated November 25, 2019 and sent to the MECP Ottawa District Office on November 26, 2019 (Greenview, 2020a). The MECP Ottawa District Office acknowledged receipt of the Action Plan on November 27, 2019, and approval of the Action Plan and related compliance dates was received from the MECP Ottawa District Office on December 3, 2019 (Greenview, 2020a). As part of the response, the Township was required to submit photographs to the MECP in accordance with their request. The Township submitted their response to the MECP Ottawa District Office on February 14, 2020, and the MECP approved the submission in an electronic communication dated February 18, 2020 (Greenview, 2020a). The final action items related to the Inspection Report included the requirement to prepare an *Emergency Response Plan* (Greenview, 2020b) and *Contingency Plan* (Greenview, 2020c) for the Mount St. Patrick site. These two (2) documents were completed and submitted to the MECP Ottawa District for their file on March 31, 2020.

On May 06, 2021, Greenview installed a new background monitoring well at the Mount St. Patrick site, east of the transfer station and designated MW21-7 (Figures 5 and 6; Appendix B). The new, shallow, background monitoring well was installed at the site as the existing background monitoring well MW08-1 has been interpreted in recent years to have been impacted by road salt application at the transfer station and along Flat Road (Tables 3 and 4). Once sufficient database of groundwater analytical results are available for MW21-7, then it is recommended that median background groundwater quality at the site be solely calculated based on MW21-7 results (i.e. after five [5] sampling events). Until that time, use of historical groundwater results from a combination of MW08-1 and MW21-7 should be used for the calculation of background groundwater quality for the Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site.



Throughout 2021 and early 2022, the Township and Greenview prepared a *Revised Design and Operations Plan* (DOP) for the Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site as part of a proposed application to amend the ECA for the site, with the intent of modifying transfer station operations and stockpiling quantities for various wastes and recyclables. On January 20, 2022, a Pre-Submission Meeting was held between representations of the MECP, the Township, and Greenview to review the proposed Revised DOP and discuss whether the proposed Revised DOP generally met with MECP expectations for the ECA Application (Appendix C). Based on the Pre-Submission Meeting, it was recommended by the MECP that the Township wait to submit the ECA Application until the 2021 Annual Report (due for submission to the MECP Ottawa District Office by March 31, 2022) was available for inclusion as an appendix to the Revised DOP. The Township intends to submit the ECA Application and Revised DOP to the MECP for review and approval in 2022.

Greenview was retained by the Township to complete the 2021 environmental monitoring and reporting program at the Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site.

1.3 Purpose and Scope

The purpose of this report is to provide an overview of the annual monitoring, environmental compliance, and operations at the Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site, and to satisfy Condition 22 of the ECA, including the following:

- Groundwater quality assessment and Reasonable Use Concept (RUC, MECP Guideline B-7) compliance (Section 4.1).
- Surface water quality assessment (Section 4.2).
- Site operational overview and capacity assessment (Section 4.3).
- Conclusions and recommendations (Section 5.0).



2.0 Site Description

The following sections present a summary of the physical characteristics for the Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site. Locations of features described in this report are referenced to grid north.

2.1 Topography and Drainage

The vicinity of the Mount St. Patrick site is bound by a regional feature known as the Mount St. Patrick Mountains, which are a topographic divide for the region, located approximately 1.5 km to the west of the site. Surface water drainage from the eastern side of the Mount St. Patrick Mountains is directed by local topography north-easterly, towards Constant Creek and the Mount St. Patrick site (Figure 3).

Locally, topographic highs exist to the north and south of the waste mound, thereby influencing and directing local drainage in the general direction of Constant Creek to the north and northeast. There are no defined surface water drainage systems in close proximity to the waste footprint; however, low-lying areas where water collects during wet seasonal conditions exist within the property boundary. Additionally, approximately 450 metres (m) north of the site, a small, northeast trending creek is observed to transect the Township property with eventual discharge into Constant Creek (Figure 3). In 2007, the low-lying area to the northeast of the site (Figure 2) was characterized by a natural heritage specialist, and the limits of the low-lying area were defined in the vicinity of the site (Greenview, 2007b). A poorly-drained area existing within the low-lying area is sampled by surface water monitoring location SW-3 (Figure 3). Surface water location SW-3 has only been sampled six (6) times since spring 2016 due to generally low surface water conditions at the sampling location; all sampling events at SW-3 since 2013 have been during the spring sampling event (Table 6). Representative photographs of each surface water sampling location at the Mount St. Patrick site are included in Section 4.2 of this report.

Constant Creek is located approximately 625 m north of the existing limit of waste at the site (adjacent to monitoring well MW09-6R; Figure 3), and flows west to east towards Calabogie Lake, approximately 11 km southeast of site. Constant Creek is a permanent surface water system, and is sampled upstream of the site at sampling location SW-2 (background), and downstream of the site at sampling location SW-1 (Figure 3). In 2009, an additional surface water location (SW-4) on Constant Creek was included as part of the environmental monitoring program at the Mount St. Patrick site, approximately mid-way between sampling locations SW-2 (background) and SW-1, near the eastern property boundary and adjacent to monitoring well MW09-6R (Figure 3). SW-4 was added to the environmental monitoring program in order to monitor surface water quality on Constant Creek near the downgradient property boundary.

2.2 Hydrogeological and Geological Conditions

As part of the 2006 environmental work program at the site, four (4) monitoring wells were installed at the site, one (1) was installed upgradient of the site to monitor background groundwater quality (MW06-1), while three (3) were installed downgradient of the site to monitor water quality downgradient of the site (MW06-2, MW06-3, and MW06-4; Figures 5 and 6). Borehole logs for these monitoring wells are included in Appendix B.

During the groundwater well installations, the overburden geology in the low-lying area immediately adjacent to the site (MW06-2 and MW06-3) was noted to consist of fine to coarse sands, with some boulders and dispersed pockets of sand with some silt and gravel (Appendix B). The subsurface materials in the upland areas in the vicinity of the AWDA of the Mount St. Patrick site (MW06-1 and MW06-4) were observed to consist primarily of fine to coarse grained sand with gravel, with the bedrock interface interpreted to be located in the range of 4.21 m to 6.10 m below ground surface, at monitoring wells MW06-4 and MW06-1, respectively (Cambium, 2006; Appendix B).

In August 2008, background monitoring well MW06-1 was decommissioned prior to construction of the site's waste transfer station. A new background well, MW08-1, was installed on August 18, 2008 within the property boundary, and upgradient of the waste mound (Figures 5 and 6). The overburden observed during the installation



of MW08-1 (background) included fine to medium grained sand with small cobbles (Appendix B).

Further to MECP TSS comments (November 5, 2007, Greenview, 2008) and the Amended ECA (July 16, 2008, Appendix A), two (2) additional drive-point groundwater monitoring wells were installed on June 23, 2009 along the northeast property boundary. Monitoring well MW09-5 was installed approximately 300 m northeast of the southeast corner of the AWDA, cross-gradient to the direction of groundwater flow at the site, and near the north-eastern property boundary (Figures 3, 5 and 6). Monitoring well MW09-6 was installed approximately 600 m north of the northeast corner of the AWDA, near the downgradient north-eastern property boundary and adjacent to Constant Creek and surface water location SW-4 (Figures 3, 5 and 6). The two (2) additional groundwater monitoring wells were installed in low-lying areas north and northeast of the site, in order to verify conformance with MECP Guideline B-7 at the downgradient property boundary.

Based on documented groundwater quality results from drive-point monitoring wells MW09-5 and MW09-6 between 2009 and 2014, it became apparent that groundwater quality at both monitoring wells was impacted from drive-point monitoring well construction materials (Table 4, Appendix B). In summer 2014, replacement shallow groundwater monitoring wells MW09-5R and MW09-6R were installed by the Township, using a hand auger and 2-inch PVC well materials, adjacent to drive-point monitoring wells MW09-5 and MW09-6, and the PVC well screens were appropriately backfilled with well sand (Figures 5 and 6; Appendix B). During the installation of MW09-5R, dark brown organic material (peat) was observed from ground surface to approximately 1.02 m bgs, underlain by grey clay (Appendix B). Similarly, during the installation of MW09-6R, dark brown organic material (peat) uses observed from ground surface to approximately 1.02 m bgs, followed by grey clay (Appendix B). The existence of grey clay at approximately one (1) m bgs at both monitoring wells MW09-5R and MW09-6R was interpreted to be an important factor related to the poorly-drained characteristics of the low-lying area north and east of the Mount St. Patrick site (Figures 5 and 6).

As noted in Section 1.2, a new shallow, background monitoring well was installed at the Mount St. Patrick site in May 2021, designated as MW21-7 (Appendix B; Figures 5 and 6). The new, shallow, background monitoring well MW21-7 was installed at the site given that recent historical groundwater results from the existing background well MW08-1 have been interpreted to be impacted by road salt use in the transfer station and along Flat Road (Table 4).

Based on the historical groundwater elevations measured at the mini-piezometers (MP1 through MP7) and the on-site groundwater monitoring wells, groundwater flow at the Mount St. Patrick site in 2021 was interpreted to be predominantly to the north (Table 3; Figures 5 and 6).

Borehole logs for all monitoring wells are provided in Appendix B. The available borehole logs include details regarding well construction for the corresponding monitoring wells.

2.3 Land Use

The land use designation for the Mount St. Patrick site is Waste Disposal (WD), per the County's Official Plan. The property is bound to the south and north by vacant land within Lot 4, designated as Rural (RU). Within the WD parcel and to the east and south of the property are lands designated as Extractive Industrial Reserve (EMR). Immediately west of Flat Road, the DACA Centre (community hall and outdoor recreational facility), and a small Township Operations Yard, utilized for public works operations and stockpiling of winter sand, are located on land designated as community facility (CF).

The nearest residence to the Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site is located approximately 150 m west and upgradient of the site on Flat Road.

2.4 Operational Setting

The Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site currently consists of a 1.2 ha landfill and transfer station within a total



property area of 38.25 ha (Figures 2, 3, and 4). The 38.25 ha total property area at the Mount St. Patrick site is interpreted to be sufficient for operational buffer and CAZ purposes.

Currently, the Mount St. Patrick site operates as an active waste disposal site and transfer station, and is approved to receive municipal waste and recyclables generated within the Township. Access to the site is provided by Flat Road. The site is surrounded by forested and agricultural lands, and is bound to the north by Constant Creek (Greenview, 2007a).

On July 16, 2008, an Amended ECA was issued by the MECP, approving the continued use of the site as a landfill for approved waste and for the establishment and operation of a waste and recycling transfer station (Appendix A). Construction of the transfer station at the Mount St. Patrick site was initiated in late 2009, with operations commencing on August 18, 2010.

On October 11, 2013, an Amendment to the ECA was issued by the MECP, approving the burning of clean wood and brush at the site. Additionally, the Amendment to the ECA updated the approved maximum waste storage capacities at the site's transfer station (Appendix A).



3.0 2021 Environmental Monitoring Program

The following sections present a methodology of the environmental monitoring program conducted at the Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site in 2021.

3.1 Groundwater Monitoring

Groundwater monitoring and sampling activities were conducted at the site by Greenview on May 06, 2021 and November 23, 2021 from the network of groundwater monitoring wells as part of the 2021 environmental monitoring program (Table 1). The UTM coordinates of the groundwater monitoring wells were confirmed or measured by Greenview personnel during site visits in 2021 using a handheld geographic positioning system (GPS) instrument with an anticipated accuracy of within +/- 5 m (Table 2). During the 2021 spring and fall sampling events, groundwater elevations were measured at each monitoring well using an electronic water level tape prior to sampling. Based on the groundwater elevation, a well purge volume equivalent to approximately three (3) borehole volumes was calculated in-situ using a standard conversion factor relevant to the respective well diameter. Groundwater elevations were also measured at the piezometers on-site, using an electronic water level tape (Table 3).

During the spring 2021 sampling event, all monitoring wells were sampled and all piezometers at the site were observed to have sufficient groundwater for groundwater elevation measurements (Appendix E). During the fall 2021 sampling event, new background monitoring well MW21-7 was observed to have insufficient water for sampling purposes and a groundwater sample was not obtained for analysis, while piezometers MP3R, MP4, and MP5 were documented to be dry (Appendix E).

Groundwater samples were collected from each monitoring well using dedicated polyethylene tubing and inertial lift foot-valves. Samples were collected into appropriate sample bottles as provided by an accredited laboratory and the designated sample for metal parameters was field-filtered using a dedicated high capacity 45-micron filter to reduce the potential for turbidity-induced bias in the analytical results for the metal parameters.

Residential groundwater quality is historically monitored during both the spring and fall sampling events at location GLL7, which is located at 199 Mount St. Patrick Road and approximately 550 m east of the existing limit of waste at the Mount St. Patrick site (Figure 3). In 2021, and due to the COVID-19 pandemic, residential groundwater samples were not collected at the GLL7 location. Sampling of residential groundwater samples are anticipated to re-commence in the future once safe conditions with respect to COVID-19 can be guaranteed for both the homeowner and the consultants involved in the sampling events.

Per MECP TSS hydrogeological review comments, dated October 31, 2012, a report on drinking water wells within 500 m of the site is included in Appendix D.

Duplicate groundwater samples were collected for Quality Assurance and Quality Control (QA/QC) purposes from monitoring wells MW06-2 and MW08-1 during the 2021 spring and fall sampling events, respectively (Appendix F).

All samples were submitted to an accredited analytical laboratory to be analyzed for the parameter suite listed in Table 1.

Field measurements of pH, conductivity, dissolved oxygen (DO), and temperature were recorded at all groundwater wells immediately following the collection of the groundwater samples. Field sampling records completed during the 2021 monitoring program are included in Appendix E. The groundwater samples were recorded on a laboratory Chain of Custody Form, and placed in coolers packed with contained ice for preservation during transport to the analytical laboratory.

The results of the 2021 groundwater monitoring program are presented in Section 4.1 of this report.



3.2 Surface Water Monitoring

Surface water monitoring and sampling were conducted by Greenview on May 06, 2021 and November 23, 2021 from the established surface water monitoring locations at the site, including SW-1, SW-2 (background), SW-3, and SW-4 (Table 1; Figure 3). The UTM coordinates of the surface monitoring locations were confirmed or measured by Greenview personnel during site visits in 2021 using a handheld GPS instrument with an anticipated accuracy of within +/- 5 m (Table 2).

Samples were not collected from SW-3 during the fall 2021 monitoring event as the sampling location was observed to be dry (Appendix E; Figure 3), while no discernible flow conditions were observed at location SW-3 during the spring 2021 sampling event (Appendix E). Beaver activity was observed in the vicinity of SW-4 during the spring 2021 sampling event (Appendix E).

The surface water samples were collected by submerging a dedicated, non-preserved, sample container into the water body and decanting into preserved sample bottles so as not to displace preservative chemicals.

Duplicate surface water samples were collected for QA/QC purposes from surface water sampling location SW-4 and SW-2 during the spring and fall 2021 sampling events, respectively (Appendix E).

All samples were submitted to an accredited analytical laboratory to be analyzed for the parameter suite listed in Table 1.

Field measurements of pH, conductivity, DO, and temperature were recorded at each respective surface water sampling location immediately following the collection of the surface water samples. Physical characteristics including depth, width, and flow velocity of each respective surface water location were recorded at the time of sampling. Field sampling records completed for the 2021 monitoring program are included in Appendix E. The surface water samples were recorded on a laboratory Chain of Custody Form, and placed in coolers packed with contained ice for preservation for transport to the analytical laboratory.

The results of the 2021 surface water monitoring program are presented in Section 4.2 of this report.

3.3 Analytical Laboratory Accreditation

Collected groundwater and surface water samples were submitted for analysis to the Caduceon Environmental Laboratories (Caduceon), located in Kingston, Ontario. Caduceon is accredited by the Canadian Association for Laboratory Accreditation (CALA), for specific environmental testing procedures listed in the scope of accreditation and is assessed biannually by CALA to the ISO/IEC 17025 standard. ISO/IEC 17025 is an international standard for both quality management and technical aspects of operating a testing laboratory. Caduceon is licensed by the MECP to perform analysis on drinking water in Ontario in accordance with the Safe Drinking Water Act.

3.4 Landfill Gas Monitoring

Landfill gas monitoring is not part of the current environmental monitoring program for the site. The waste mound at the Mount St. Patrick site is covered with porous soil materials, allowing natural gas flux to the atmosphere. Overburden geology at the site is characterized by fine to coarse sands, with some boulders and dispersed pockets of sand with some silt and gravel, overlying a dense bedrock unit. These overburden characteristics, coupled with the extended distance to the nearest residence, provide a minimal risk of landfill gases impinging off-site receivers.

3.5 Operational Monitoring

Operational monitoring at the Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site was conducted regularly to document routine waste disposal and recycling activities at the site.

A topographic survey was conducted at the Mount St. Patrick site on December 14, 2021 to determine the current capacity status for continued disposal operations at the site and to update site features (Figure 2).



All monitoring wells at the Mount St. Patrick site were observed to be in good condition and in compliance with Ontario Regulation 903 (O. Reg. 903), as amended, in 2021.

Daily waste records (summary forms) were completed at the Mount St. Patrick site as part of regular operations at the site to monitor landfilling activities, vehicular traffic, and transfer station operations.

The Township submits annual waste diversion reports in accordance with the Municipal Datacall, inclusive of the Mount St. Patrick site, to the Resource Productivity and Recovery Authority (RPRA).

The results of the operational monitoring are presented in Section 4.3 of this report.



4.0 Environmental Monitoring Results

The following sections present a summary of the environmental monitoring of the 2021 environmental monitoring program conducted at the Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site.

4.1 Groundwater Quality Assessment

The results of the 2021 groundwater monitoring program conducted at the site are presented as follows.

4.1.1 Groundwater Configuration

Historically, the groundwater configuration at the site has been interpreted to flow generally towards the north and northeast, in the general direction of the low-lying area and Constant Creek (Greenview, 2021).

Groundwater elevation data obtained during the 2021 environmental monitoring program at the site are provided in Table 3. Average horizontal gradients in the vicinity of the waste mound and to the northeast of the waste mound were calculated as follows:

Location	Horizontal Gradient (Spring 2021)	Predominant Direction	Horizontal Gradient (Fall 2021)	Predominant Direction
Vicinity of Waste Mound	0.011	North	0.007	North
Northeast of Waste Mound	0.010	North	0.007	North

Based on the characterization of overburden geology at the Mount St. Patrick site, which consists of medium to fine sand with some gravel, underlain by coarse-grained sand with some silt, estimates of hydraulic conductivity were interpreted at the site to range from 1×10^{-1} to 1×10^{-5} centimetres per second (cm/s, Bear, 1972). Similarly, the hydraulic conductivity for organic materials (peat) observed in the low-lying area northeast of the site in the vicinity of monitoring wells MW09-5R and MW09-6R was interpreted to be in the range of 1×10^{-2} to 1×10^{-4} cm/s (Bear, 1972).

4.1.2 Groundwater Quality

The results of the 2021 groundwater monitoring program are presented in Table 4 and the accredited laboratory Certificates of Analysis (SGS) are attached in Appendix F. Analytical data were compared to the Ontario Drinking Water Standards (ODWS; MECP, 2006), median background water quality at the site, and MECP Guideline B-7 and the RUC (MECP, 1994a). Background groundwater quality was calculated using the median of a minimum of the previous ten (10) sampling event results from background monitoring wells MW08-1 and MW21-7. Once sufficient database of groundwater analytical results are available for new background well MW21-7, then it is recommended that median background groundwater quality at the site be solely calculated based on MW21-7 results (i.e. after five [5] sampling events). Trend analysis was completed using results from the previous five (5) years and only significant trends are discussed in the report.

Further, and in accordance with MECP TSS hydrogeological review comments, dated October 31, 2012 (Greenview, 2013), for the 2011 Annual Report (Greenview, 2012), groundwater samples from monitoring well MW09-6 were also compared to the PWQO (MECP, 1994b) as MW09-6 was interpreted to best intercept groundwater which would could potentially discharge to Constant Creek (Greenview, 2013). As MW09-6 was replaced with new shallow groundwater monitoring well MW09-6R in summer 2014, results from MW09-6R were compared to the PWQO in spring and fall 2021 (Table 5; Figures 5 and 6). Downgradient groundwater quality results were compared to PWQO and are included in Table 5 of this report. Given that monitoring well MW09-6R is located approximately 560 m northeast and downgradient of the northeastern corner of the AWDA at the Mount St. Patrick site, the potential of observable landfill-related impacts at this sampling location is interpreted to be low.



It was requested in the October 31, 2012 MECP TSS hydrogeological review comments (Greenview, 2013), that typical leachate parameters for the Mount St. Patrick site be defined in future reports. Further to a review of the current parameter list for the site, and excluding parameters deemed to be naturally-occurring upgradient of the site, naturally-elevated within the adjacent low-lying area, or have alternative sources (i.e. winter road maintenance activities), the parameters identified to best represent leachate indicators for a municipal waste disposal site of this size and operational practices (i.e. processed C&D and bulky waste disposal) are barium, boron, copper, nitrate, and sulphate.

The blind duplicate samples collected at monitoring wells MW06-2 and MW08-1 during the spring and fall 2021 sampling events, respectively, were similar to the identified samples, indicating that the results of the 2021 groundwater monitoring program can be interpreted with confidence.

Historically, background groundwater quality at the Mount St. Patrick site was assessed at monitoring well MW06-1, which was located approximately 45 m south and upgradient from the AWDA at the site. In 2008, MW06-1 was decommissioned in advance of construction of the waste and recycling transfer station at the site (Figure 2). Following the decommissioning of MW06-1, a replacement background groundwater monitoring well, MW08-1, was installed upgradient of the waste mound and 70 m north of MW06-1 (Figures 5 and 6). In 2021, some parameter concentrations in the spring and many parameter concentrations in the fall 2021 samples collected from background well MW08-1 were above the median background concentrations (Table 4). Non-conformances of ODWS and significant groundwater trends at background groundwater monitoring location MW08-1 were as follows (Table 4):

Monitoring Moll	ODWS Non-Conformance		Five (5) Year Trend Analysis		
wonitoring weir	Spring 2021	Fall 2021	Increasing	Decreasing	
MW08-1 (background)	None	 Aluminum Iron	IronManganese	 No significant trends 	

Historically, aluminum, dissolved organic carbon (DOC), hardness, iron, manganese, and total dissolved solids (TDS) have been observed at high concentrations in the background, and based on recent groundwater quality results at MW08-1 it appears that groundwater quality at this monitor has been impacted by winter road maintenance activities from the transfer station and Flat Road, and by winter sand storage at the municipal depot located on the west side of Flat Road (Table 4). Groundwater results at MW08-1 are not interpreted to be impacted by landfill-related activities in 2021 (Table 4). In future, new background monitoring well MW21-7 is anticipated to better represent background groundwater conditions at the Mount St. Patrick site than monitoring well MW08-1 (Figures 3, 5, and 6).

New background monitoring well MW21-7 was installed on May 06, 2021, approximately 30 m east and upgradient of the transfer station at the Mount St. Patrick site (Figures 5 and 6). Monitoring well MW21-7 was installed using a hand auger to the total depth of 1.08 m below ground surface (bgs). New background well MW21-7 was sampled during the spring 2021 sampling event; however, it was observed to have insufficient groundwater for sampling purposes during the fall 2021 sampling event (Appendix E). In 2021, some parameter concentrations in the spring 2021 samples collected from new background well MW21-7 were above the median background concentrations (Table 4). Non-conformances of ODWS and significant groundwater trends at background groundwater monitoring location MW21-7 were as follows (Table 4):

Menitering Moll	ODWS Non-0	Conformance	Five (5) Year T	rend Analysis
wonitoring weir	Spring 2021	Fall 2021	Increasing	Decreasing
MW21-7 (background)	AluminumDOC	 Insufficient water for sampling purposes 	 Insufficient data for trend analysis 	 Insufficient data for trend analysis

Based on preliminary results from new background well MW21-7, background groundwater quality in the vicinity of MW21-7 is interpreted to have naturally high concentrations of aluminum, DOC, iron, and manganese



(Table 4). Additional results in future groundwater monitoring events for MW21-7 are required in order to establish typical (median) background groundwater quality. Once a sufficient databased of results are available (i.e. after five [5] sampling events), then it is recommended that median background groundwater quality for the Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site be calculated solely based on results from MW21-7. Until that time, a combination of historical results from background monitoring wells MW08-1 and MW21-7 should be utilized to calculate median background groundwater quality for the site.

Monitoring well MW06-2 is located approximately 20 m east of the eastern AWDA boundary, in the low-lying area, and was used to establish groundwater quality downgradient and northeast of the waste mound (Figure 3). In 2021, most parameter concentrations in the spring and fall 2021 samples collected from MW06-2 were above the median background concentrations (Table 4). Non-conformances of ODWS and significant groundwater trends at groundwater monitoring location MW06-2 were as follows (Table 4):

Manitaring Mall	ODWS Non-0	Conformance	Five (5) Year Trend Analysis		
Monitoring Well	Spring 2021	Fall 2021	Increasing	Decreasing	
MW06-2	 Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) 	AluminumIronManganeseTDS	• No significant trends	• No significant trends	

As historically reported at the site (Golder, 2007), manganese was interpreted to be naturally-elevated in the background groundwater at the site, while concentrations of aluminum, DOC, hardness, iron, and TDS were also noted to be elevated in historical background groundwater quality results at MW08-1 (Table 4). Consistent with historical assessments, groundwater at MW06-2 was interpreted to be impacted from landfill-related activities; however, the documented parameter concentrations at MW06-2 were interpreted to also be partially-elevated by natural conditions due to its location within the low-lying area northeast of the site (Table 4; Figures 5 and 6). In 2021, results from monitoring well MW06-2 were interpreted to be most representative of leachate quality at the site. Recent groundwater quality results at MW06-2 were interpreted to suggest that impacts related to winter road maintenance activities at the site have been diminishing in the vicinity of monitoring well MW06-2 (Table 4; Figures 5 and 6).

Groundwater quality downgradient and north of the site is characterized using monitoring well MW06-3, located in the low-lying area approximately 25 m northeast of the northeastern corner of the AWDA (Figures 5 and 6). In 2021, some parameter concentrations in the spring and fall 2021 samples collected from MW06-3 were above median background concentrations (Table 4). Non-conformances of ODWS and significant groundwater trends at groundwater monitoring location MW06-3 were as follows (Table 4):

Monitoring Woll	ODWS Non-Conformance		Five (5) Year Trend Analysis	
wontoning weil	Spring 2021	Fall 2021	Increasing	Decreasing
MW06-3	DOCManganese	DOCManganese	 No significant trends 	Manganese

Groundwater quality at MW06-3 was interpreted to be impacted by landfill-related activities in 2021; however, the location of MW06-3 downgradient of the waste mound and within the low-lying area suggests that the documented parameter concentrations may also be partially influenced by natural conditions (Figures 5 and 6). Recent groundwater quality results at MW06-3 were interpreted to suggest that impacts related to winter road maintenance activities at the site have been diminishing in the vicinity of monitoring well MW06-3 (Table 4; Figures 5 and 6).

Monitoring well MW06-4 is located within the AWDA limits and approximately 10 m northwest of the existing limit of waste at the site (Figure 2). In 2021, many parameter concentrations in the spring and fall 2021 samples collected from MW06-4 were above the median background concentrations (Table 4). Non-conformances of



ODWS and significant groundwater trends at groundwater monitoring location MW06-4 were as follows (Table 4):

Manitaring Wall	ODWS Non	-Conformance	Five (5) Year Trend Analysis		
wonitoring weil	Spring 2021	Fall 2021	Increasing	Decreasing	
MW06-4	• None	• None	BoronDOCSulphate	 Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) Chloride Phosphorus TDS Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN) 	

Groundwater quality at MW06-4 was not interpreted to be significantly impacted by landfill-related activities; however, the parameter concentrations were not completely analogous to concentrations documented at monitoring wells MW06-2 and MW06-3 (Table 4). It is likely that the differences in parameter concentrations are related to the reduced impact of low-lying area conditions characteristic of groundwater quality results at MW06-2 and MW06-3 in the low-lying area northeast of the site, as MW06-4 is not located within the low-lying area and was interpreted to be partially cross-gradient to the active waste disposal area (Figures 2, 5, and 6). Consistent with results at MW08-1, groundwater quality at MW06-4 was interpreted to be impacted from winter road maintenance activities, likely related to winter sand stockpiles at the municipal depot located upgradient and on the west side of Flat Road (Figures 3, 5, and 6).

Monitoring well MW09-5R is located approximately 300 m east of the AWDA, and is used to establish groundwater quality near the northeastern property boundary at the site (Figures 3, 5, and 6). Consistent with historical results (Greenview, 2021), MW09-5R was interpreted to be located cross-gradient to the direction of groundwater flow, as calculated from groundwater elevations in 2021 (Table 3). Drive-point well MW09-5 was replaced on July 3, 2014 with shallow well MW09-5R (constructed of PVC well materials), as impacts interpreted to be resultant of drive-point well construction materials were apparent in historical groundwater quality results for MW09-5 (Table 4). In 2021, few parameter concentrations in the spring and fall 2021 samples collected from MW09-5R were above median background concentrations (Table 4). Non-conformances of ODWS and significant groundwater trends at groundwater monitoring location MW09-5R were as follows (Table 4):

Menitering Moll	ODWS Non-(Conformance	Five (5) Year Trend Analysis		
Monitoring wen	Spring 2021	Fall 2021	Increasing	Decreasing	
MW09-5R	• DOC • Iron	• DOC	 Chloride Conductivity (lab-tested) Sodium 	IronManganesePhosphorus	

Given that MW09-5R was installed in approximately 1.02 m of dark brown organic peat material (Appendix B), the 2021 non-conformances of DOC and iron (as well as elevated concentrations of manganese) were anticipated and were interpreted to be resultant of naturally-occurring conditions within the low-lying area (Table 4). MW09-5R was not interpreted to be impacted by landfill-related activities in 2021, and groundwater quality results were interpreted to suggest that groundwater quality at MW09-5R was consistent with expected background groundwater quality results within a low-lying environment with dominantly peat overburden characteristics (Table 4).

Monitoring well MW09-6R is located approximately 560 m northeast of the northeastern corner of the AWDA at the Mount St. Patrick site and adjacent to a small creek draining from the upland and low-lying areas to the southwest and transecting Flat Road, and near Constant Creek, in order to establish groundwater quality at the downgradient property boundary (Figures 2, 3, 5, and 6). As described in Section 2.2 of this report, drive-point



well MW09-6 was replaced on July 3, 2014 with shallow well MW09-6R (constructed of PVC well materials), as impacts interpreted to be resultant of the drive-point well construction materials were apparent in historical groundwater quality results (Table 4; Appendix B). Some parameter concentrations in spring 2021 and many parameter concentrations in samples collected from MW09-6R in fall 2021 were above the median background concentrations (Table 4). Non-conformances of ODWS and significant groundwater trends at groundwater monitoring location MW09-6R were as follows (Table 4):

Monitoring Moll	ODWS Non-0	Conformance	Five (5) Year Trend Analysis		
wonitoring weil	Spring 2021	Fall 2021	Increasing	Decreasing	
MW09-6R	• DOC • Manganese	 Aluminum DOC Iron Manganese	• Sulphate	AlkalinityIronManganeseTDS	

Given that MW09-6R was installed into approximately 0.3 m of dark brown organic peat material and 0.62 m of fine to medium grained sand (Appendix B), the high concentrations of DOC, iron and manganese in 2021 were anticipated and were interpreted to be resultant of naturally-occurring conditions within the low-lying area (Table 4). 2021 results from MW09-6R were interpreted to be generally consistent with parameter concentrations documented in upgradient monitoring well MW09-5R (Table 4; Figures 5 and 6). Similarly, the high aluminum concentration noted in fall 2021 was attributed to naturally-occurring conditions in the vicinity of MW09-6R, and not to landfill-related factors. Given the considerable distance of MW09-6R from the existing limit of waste at the Mount St. Patrick site (approximately 600 m), and as MW09-6R was interpreted to be the downgradient and downstream receiver of groundwater and surface water flow from the vicinity of Flat Road (Figures 3, 5, and 6), high chloride, sodium, and TDS concentrations in results from MW09-6R in 2021 (though not exceeding ODWS) were interpreted to be resultant of winter road maintenance activities on Flat Road and the storage of winter sand material at the Township's operations yard adjacent to the Mount St. Patrick site. Monitoring well MW09-6R was not interpreted to be significantly impacted by landfill-related activities in 2021.

Further to MECP TSS hydrogeological review comments, dated October 31, 2012, it was interpreted that there was potential for leachate impacted groundwater to discharge to Constant Creek. It was noted that MW09-6 (now MW09-6R) was the best monitoring well to characterize potential impacts of groundwater discharge to Constant Creek, and therefore groundwater quality results at MW09-6R should be compared with PWQO. In 2021, non-conformances of PWQO at monitoring location MW09-6R were as follows (Table 4). A comparison of groundwater quality results from background wells MW08-1 and MW21-7 have been included for comparison purposes:

	PWQO Non-Conformance		
	Spring 2021	Fall 2021	
MW08-1 (background)	• None	 Aluminum Iron Phosphorus	
MW21-7 (background)	 Aluminum Phosphorus Zinc	 Insufficient water for sampling purposes 	
MW09-6R	IronPhosphorus	 Aluminum Iron Phosphorus	

Given that the concentrations of phosphorus (total) in 2021 at downgradient well MW09-6R in spring and fall 2021 were significantly less than the historical concentrations documented for background well MW08-1 (Table 5), it is likely that the noted concentrations of phosphorus (total) were related to naturally-occurring



conditions in the vicinity of the Mount St. Patrick site. Similarly, the elevated aluminum and iron concentrations documented for MW09-6R in 2021 were attributed to naturally-occurring conditions within the low-lying area and were not interpreted to represent significant landfill-related impacts. At this time, monitoring well MW09-6R is not interpreted to be significant impacted from landfill-related activities, based on recent groundwater quality results (Tables 4 and 5).

Residential groundwater quality was not sampled during both the spring and fall 2021 sampling events at location GLL7, which is located at 199 Mount St. Patrick Road, approximately 550 m east of the existing limit of waste at the Mount St. Patrick site (Figure 3). Sampling was not conducted due to the COVID-19 pandemic in 2021. Based on the interpreted direction of groundwater flow in the vicinity of the Mount St. Patrick site, the distance of residential sampling location GLL7 from the waste mound, and historical results, the likelihood of groundwater at residential location GLL7 being impacted by landfill-related factors associated with the Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site was interpreted to be minimal. Sampling of GLL7 is scheduled to resume once issues related to the COVID-19 pandemic have passed and conditions are understood to be safe for all parties involved.

4.1.3 Reasonable Use Concept Assessment

In an effort to assess potential landfill-related impacts migrating beyond the site boundary, the RUC was used as an assessment tool to monitor downgradient impacts from the site. Downgradient impacts are typically assessed using the RUC at monitoring wells located at, or in close proximity to, the downgradient property boundary. The downgradient monitoring wells located near the property boundary were compared to trigger concentrations for specific parameters as determined by groundwater quality at the site using the RUC for groundwater (MECP Procedure B-7-1, 1994a).

The MECP Procedure B-7-1: Determination of Contaminant Limits and Attenuation Zones iterates that in accordance with the appropriate criteria for particular uses, a change in groundwater quality on an adjacent property as a result of landfilling activities will only be accepted by the MECP as follows:

The quality cannot be degraded by an amount in excess of 50% of the difference between background and the Ontario Drinking Water Standards for non-health related parameters and in excess of 25% of the difference between background and the Ontario Drinking Water Standards for health-related parameters. Background is considered to be the quality of the groundwater prior to any man-made contamination.

MECP Procedure B-7-1

The RUC assessment was conducted using the concepts and procedures outlined in MECP Procedure B-7-1 (MECP, 1994), specifically using the median value of individual background parameter concentrations from monitoring wells MW08-1 and MW21-7, to characterize natural groundwater quality at the site. Groundwater monitoring wells MW09-5R and MW09-6R were used for monitoring potential impacts along the north-eastern property boundary downgradient and cross-gradient of the AWDA at the Mount St. Patrick site, and for assessing site compliance with the RUC (Figures 5 and 6).

All parameters tested as part of the established annual monitoring program were used as groundwater triggers, and a respective RUC criteria value was calculated for each parameter at the Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site. The trigger concentrations used to assess RUC compliance for the groundwater regime at the site are based on the RUC for each of the respective parameters.

The RUC values for individual parameters should be generated each year based on analytical results obtained from the groundwater monitoring program. If RUC non-conformances are noted, then action will be undertaken as appropriate and necessary in accordance with a defined groundwater contingency plan for the site. In cases where a groundwater contingency plan is not defined, a meeting with representatives of the district MECP office should be held to develop an appropriate contingency plan, as necessary and appropriate for the particular site.

Monitoring well MW09-5R was used for the RUC analysis near the north-eastern property boundary, cross-



gradient to the Mount St. Patrick site, and approximately 300 m east of the AWDA (Figures 3, 5 and 6). Nonconformances of RUC in spring and fall 2021 from groundwater results at cross-gradient well MW09-5R are included in the table below. RUC non-conformances noted in groundwater quality results from background monitoring wells MW08-1 and MW21-7 were included for comparison purposes:

	RUC Non-C	onformance
Monitoring Well	Spring 2021	Fall 2021
MW08-1 (background)	• None	AluminumIronManganese
MW21-7 (background)	AluminumDOCManganese	Insufficient water for sampling purposes
MW09-5R	DOCIronManganese	• DOC

As described in Section 4.1.2, DOC concentrations at MW09-5R were interpreted to be related to naturallyoccurring conditions within the low-lying areas in the vicinity of MW09-5R. Specifically, the RUC nonconformances for DOC were interpreted to be related to the presence of large amounts of wet organic matter (i.e. peat) observed during the installation of MW09-5R (Appendix B; Table 4). Similarly, the high iron and manganese concentrations in spring 2021 were interpreted to be related to naturally-occurring conditions within the low-lying area, and not to landfill-related activities (Table 4). Groundwater samples from MW09-5R in spring and fall 2021 were documented to be brown and opaque, which supports the interpretation that the high DOC, iron, and manganese are related to naturally-occurring conditions within the low-lying area (Appendix E). With due consideration of the above noted interpreted sources, the Mount St. Patrick site was interpreted to be in compliance with RUC and conformance with MECP Guideline B-7 in 2021 cross-gradient to the waste mound at the north-eastern property boundary in the vicinity of MW09-5R (Figures 5 and 6).

Monitoring well MW09-6R was used to assess RUC compliance approximately 560 m northeast of the northeastern corner of the AWDA at the Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site and near the downgradient property boundary (Figures 2, 5 and 6). MW09-6R is located within the low-lying area northeast of the site, adjacent to the small northeast trending creek which transects the site property and Flat Road, and Constant Creek (Figure 3). Evidence of beaver activity has historically been observed near surface water location SW-4 on Constant Creek, and adjacent to MW09-6R; in spring 2021, beaver-related activity was noted in the vicinity of SW-4 and MW09-6R, on Constant Creek (Appendix E). Non-conformances of RUC in spring and fall 2021 from groundwater results at downgradient monitoring well MW09-6R are included in the table below. RUC non-conformances at background monitoring wells MW08-1 and MW21-7 were included for comparison purposes.

Monitoring Woll	RUC Non-Conformance		
Monitoring weil	Spring 2021	Fall 2021	
MW08-1 (background)	• None	AluminumIronManganese	
MW21-7 (background)	 Aluminum DOC Manganese	Insufficient water for sampling purposes	
MW09-6R	• DOC • Iron	AluminumDOCIronManganese	



As described in Section 4.1.2, DOC concentrations at MW09-6R were interpreted to be related to naturallyoccurring conditions within the low-lying areas north and northeast of the site (Figures 5 and 6). Specifically, the RUC non-conformance for DOC was interpreted to be related to the presence of large amounts of wet organic matter (peat) observed during the installation of MW09-5R and similar conditions observed during installation of MW09-6R (Appendix B; Table 4). Similarly, the high aluminum, iron, and manganese concentrations in spring and fall 2021 were interpreted to be related to naturally-occurring conditions within the low-lying area and off-site sources in the vicinity of Flat Road, and not to landfill-related activities (Table 4). With due consideration of the above noted interpreted sources, the Mount St. Patrick site was interpreted to be in compliance with RUC and MECP Guideline B-7 in 2021 at the downgradient northern property boundary in the vicinity of MW09-6R. Further sampling of replacement monitoring well MW09-6R is required to monitor groundwater quality results at the downgradient property boundary of the Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site. In 2021, monitoring well MW09-6R was not interpreted to be significantly impacted by landfill-related activities.

In 2021, the total property area of 38.25 ha owned by the Township was considered sufficient for operational buffer and CAZ purposes at the Mount St. Patrick site. Further groundwater monitoring as part of future annual monitoring programs at monitoring wells MW09-5R and MW09-6R is anticipated to assist in verifying the suitability of the CAZ lands for future RUC assessments and conformance with MECP Guideline B-7.

4.2 Surface Water Quality Assessment

As part of the 2021 surface water monitoring program, physical characteristics of sampling locations SW-1, SW-2 (background), SW-3, and SW-4 were recorded (Figure 3; Appendix E).

For the spring 2021 sampling event, depth (m), width (m), velocity (metres per second, m/s), and discharge (cubic metres per second, m³/s) were measured and calculated with results as follows:

Spring 2021						
Sample Location	Depth (m)	Width (m)	Velocity (m/s)	Discharge (m³/s)		
SW-1	0.50	18.0	0.33	2.700		
SW-2 (background)	0.35	18.0	0.20	1.134		
SW-3	0.12	2.0	No discernible flow			
SW-4	0.30	14.0	0.25	0.945		

For the fall 2021 sampling event, depth (m), width (m), velocity (m/s), and discharge (m³/s) were measured and calculated with results as follows:

Fall 2021					
Sample Location	Depth (m)	Width (m)	Velocity (m/s)	Discharge (m³/s)	
SW-1	0.25	20.0	0.20	0.900	
SW-2 (background)	0.20	15.0	0.25	0.675	
SW-3	Dry				
SW-4	0.40	15.0	0.25	1.350	

Surface water quality results for the Mount St. Patrick site were compared to PWQO (MECP, 1994b) and the results of the 2021 surface water monitoring program are presented in Table 6. Additionally, surface water quality results were compared with the Canadian Water Quality Guidelines (CWQG; Canadian Council of Resource and Environment Ministers [CCREM], 1987) for select parameters, in accordance with Table B of the MECP Technical Guidance Document (TGD; MECP, 2010). Accredited laboratory Certificates of Analysis for the surface water quality results are provided in Appendix F. Background surface water quality was established as the 75th percentile of a minimum of the previous ten (10) sampling event results from background surface water



monitoring location SW-2. Trend analysis was completed using results from the previous five (5) years and only significant trends are discussed in this report.

The blind duplicate samples collected at location SW-4 and SW-2 during the spring and fall 2021 sampling events, respectively, were similar to the identified samples, indicating that the results of the 2021 surface water monitoring program can be interpreted with confidence.

Consistent with historical surface water quality assessments, background surface water quality at the Mount St. Patrick site was evaluated at surface water location SW-2 (Greenview, 2021). SW-2 is located on Constant Creek, approximately 700 m northwest and upstream of the existing limit of waste at the Mount St. Patrick site (Figure 3). Representative photographs of background surface water sampling location SW-2 are included below:

Photograph 1: Background Surface Water Location SW-2 (Spring 2021 [left], Fall 2021 [right])



In 2021, few parameter concentrations at background location SW-2 were above the 75th percentile background surface water quality at the site (Table 6). Non-conformances of PWQO, and significant trends, at background surface water sampling location SW-2 were as follows (Table 6):

Monitoring Well	PWQO Non-Conformance		Five (5) Year Trend Analysis	
	Spring 2021	Fall 2021	Increasing	Decreasing
SW-2 (background)	Phosphorus	Phosphorus	Phosphorus	 No significant trends

In 2021, no non-conformances of Table B of the TGD were documented at background location SW-2. The noted non-conformances of PWQO for the concentrations of phosphorus in spring and fall 2021 were not interpreted to be resultant of landfill-related activities, and were interpreted to be resultant of naturally-occurring conditions upstream of the site and/or off-site sources (Table 6). Results from SW-2 were interpreted to be representative of background surface water quality at the Mount St. Patrick site in 2021.

Downstream surface water quality adjacent to the downgradient property boundary and along Constant Creek was established in 2009 with the initiation of sampling of surface water monitoring location SW-4 (Figure 3). Surface water quality results at SW-4 in 2021 were generally analogous to results at the upstream background location SW-2 (Appendix E; Table 6). Representative photographs of downstream surface water sampling location SW-4 are included below:





Photograph 2: Surface Water Location SW-4 (Spring 2021 [left], Fall 2021 [right])

Few parameter concentrations at SW-4 were above the 75th percentile background surface water quality at the site for the spring and fall 2021 sampling events (Table 6). Non-conformances of PWQO, and significant trends, at surface water sampling location SW-4 were as follows (Table 6):

Monitoring Well	PWQO Non-Conformance		Five (5) Year Trend Analysis	
	Spring 2021	Fall 2021	Increasing	Decreasing
SW-4	Phosphorus	None	 No significant trends 	 No significant trends

In 2021, no non-conformances of Table B of the TGD were documented at downstream location SW-4. The nonconformance of the PWQO for the concentration of phosphorus at SW-4 in spring 2021 was consistent with the concentration documented upstream at background location SW-2 (Table 6), and therefore was interpreted to naturally-occurring conditions in the background of the site (as high phosphorus concentrations have historically been documented in both background groundwater quality and surface water at the site; Tables 4 and 6). Based on the above, surface water sampling location SW-4 was not interpreted to be significantly impacted by landfillrelated factors associated with the Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site.

Surface water quality downstream of SW-4 on Constant Creek is monitored at surface water location SW-1 (Figure 3). Parameter concentrations at SW-1 were generally analogous to the upstream surface water quality at background sampling location SW-2 (Table 6). Representative photographs of furthest downstream surface water sampling location SW-1 are included below:





Photograph 3: Surface Water Location SW-1 (Spring 2021 [left], Fall 2021 [right])

In 2021, few parameter concentrations at SW-1 were above the 75th percentile background surface water quality at the site (Table 6). Non-conformances of PWQO, and significant trends, at surface water sampling location SW-1 were as follows (Table 6):

Monitoring Well	PWQO Non-Conformance		Five (5) Year Trend Analysis	
	Spring 2021	Fall 2021	Increasing	Decreasing
SW-1	Phosphorus	 Iron Phosphorus	Phosphorus	 No significant trends

In 2021, no non-conformances of Table B of the TGD were documented at downstream location SW-1. The nonconformances of the PWQO for the concentrations of phosphorus and iron (fall only) in results from SW-1 were interpreted to naturally-occurring conditions upstream and in the vicinity of the Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site. Based on the above, surface water sampling location SW-1 was not interpreted to be significantly impacted by landfill-related factors associated with the Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site.

Surface water quality in the low-lying area north of, and in close proximity to, the waste mound is assessed at surface water sampling location SW-3. SW-3 is not hydrologically connected to the surface water sampling locations on Constant Creek (SW-1, SW-2, and SW-4). Historically, SW-3 has been observed to be a small, poorly drained area with leaves and other submerged organic debris, existing within the low-lying area (Figures 5 and 6). SW-3 was sampled in spring 2021 and the sampling area was observed to be a shallow, pooled area of standing water with no discernible flow conditions (Appendix E). SW-3 was not sampled in fall 2021 as it was observed to be dry (Appendix E). SW-3 has only been sampled six (6) times since spring 2016 due to generally low surface water conditions at the sampling location; all sampling events at SW-3 since 2013 have been during the spring sampling event (Table 6). Representative photographs of surface water sampling location SW-3 are included below:





Photograph 4: Surface Water Location SW-3 (Spring 2021 [left], Fall 2021 [right])

Non-conformances of PWQO, and significant trends, at surface water sampling location SW-3 were as follows (Table 6):

Monitoring Well	PWQO Non-Conformance		Five (5) Year Trend Analysis	
	Spring 2021	Fall 2021	Increasing	Decreasing
SW-3	Phosphorus	• Dry	 No significant trends 	 No significant trends

In 2021, the concentration of nitrite in spring 2021 was documented to be equal to the limit of Table B of the TGD. The non-conformance of the PWQO for the concentration of phosphorus in results from SW-3 was attributed to naturally-occurring conditions upstream and in the vicinity of the Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site. Based on the above, surface water sampling location SW-3 was not interpreted to be significantly impacted by landfill-related factors associated with the Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site.

Based on the results of the 2021 surface water monitoring program, the surface water system in the vicinity of the Mount St. Patrick site was not interpreted to be significantly impacted from landfill-related activities.

4.3 Operations Summary

A summary of 2021 waste management operations at the Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site is presented below.

4.3.1 Site Operations

The site is currently operating as a municipal solid waste landfill and transfer station, accepting municipal waste and recyclables from ratepayers of the Township. Accepted regular municipal waste (i.e. bagged garbage) and Blue Box recycling is transferred to GFL in Moose Creek, Ontario for disposal and processing. The Mount St. Patrick site currently services the Township of Greater Madawaska, and operates in accordance with ECA A411901 (Appendix A). C&D and bulky waste is accepted for stockpiling, processing, and landfilling at the Mount St. Patrick site (Figures 2 and 4). In 2021, C&D and bulky waste materials were processed on-site in fall 2021, and disposed in the landfill area. A quantity of unprocessed C&D and bulky waste was stockpiled on-site and within the AWDA at the time of the annual waste capacity survey on December 14, 2021. As part of site operations, the Mount St. Patrick site is approved for accepting and diversion of the following waste and recyclable materials:



Waste / Recyclable Material	Quantity (units)
Regular Municipal Waste (Residential & IC&I)	80 m ³
Organic Waste	20 m ³
Waste Electronic and Electrical Equipment (WEEE)	40 m ³
Blue Box Recyclables (mixed fibres / commingled containers / old corrugated cardboard)	325 m ³
Tires	100 m ³
Leaf and Yard Waste	200 m ³
C&D and Bulky Waste	200 m ³
Scrap Metal and White Goods	60 m ³
Refrigerants	25 units

A sign is posted at the entrance to the site in accordance with Condition 13.4 of the ECA. The sign provides the ECA number for the site, hours of operation, permitted users, accepted waste and recycling materials, emergency and Township contact information, and a warning against dumping waste outside of the site. The Mount St. Patrick site is located approximately 1.6 km west of the village of Mount St. Patrick, and access to the site is provided by Flat Road.

The hours of operation at the Mount St. Patrick site in 2021 were as follows:

Day of the Week	Hours of Operation
Wednesday	12:00 p.m. – 2:00 p.m.
Saturday	8:00 a.m. – 12:00 p.m.
Sunday	8:00 a.m. – 12:00 p.m.
Holiday Monday	Closed

Access to the Mount St. Patrick site is restricted by a lockable gate at the site entrance. The site is surrounded by forested lands, which provide adequate screening and restricted access for vehicular traffic, aside of the maintained site entrance-way.

The site access road extending from Flat Road has sufficient width at the entrance and within the site to allow for unimpeded winter travel and access for emergency and snow removal equipment. The site access road was observed to be in serviceable condition during the routine site inspections conducted by Greenview in 2021.

4.3.2 Waste Disposal / Transfer Summary

The Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site currently receives municipal waste and recyclables from the Township of Greater Madawaska. C&D and bulky waste processing operations were completed in fall 2021 at the Mount St. Patrick site. The volume of waste disposed of at the Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site in 2021 was determined by a specialized survey and design technique referred to as digital terrain modelling (DTM). The DTM method is a computer-based process that compares two (2) topographic surfaces or digital terrain models, and calculates the prismoidal volumetric difference.

To calculate the volume of processed C&D and bulky waste disposed, graded, and compacted at the site in 2021, the topographic survey conducted on December 14, 2021 was compared to the topographic survey conducted on November 12, 2020 for the Mount St. Patrick site. Additionally, with respect to the unprocessed C&D and bulky waste stockpile on-site within the AWDA on December 14, 2021, a processing and compaction factor of 33% was applied to the stockpile volume in order to estimate the landfill capacity volume that would be utilized following the next processing event at the site. Therefore, based on the topographic survey calculations noted above for the Mount St. Patrick site, the 2021 fill rate was calculated to be approximately 816 cubic meters (m³) of waste.

Based on the calculated 2021 fill rate of 816 m³ in comparison to the approved final contours at closure (FCC) of



the Mount St. Patrick site, and with consideration of all the grading and compaction operations conducted by the Township in 2021, the remaining site capacity as of December 14, 2021 was approximately 35,220 m³. Given the average (mean) five (5) year fill rate (2017 to 2021) of 844 m³ and the remaining capacity of 35,220 m³, the estimated remaining site life for the Mount St. Patrick site is approximately forty-two (42) years.

As processed C&D and bulky wastes are approved as alternative daily cover (ADC) for the Mount St. Patrick site (Appendix A), no aggregate-based cover material (i.e. sand, etc.) was utilized as part of operations in 2021.

Based on Township records, approximately 3,881 vehicles visited the Mount St. Patrick site in 2021, and accepted approximately 9,905 bags and 128 trailer-loads of municipal waste for disposal and/or transfer. Based on information supplied by the Township, 73 tonnes of municipal waste were collected at the Mount St. Patrick site in 2021 and transported for final disposal to the approved waste disposal facility of GFL in Moose Creek, Ontario.

Recycling tonnage records provided by the Township indicated that 25 tonnes of Blue Box recyclables were collected from the Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site in 2021. Blue Box recyclable quantities contributing to this total included 11 tonnes of commingled containers, 10 tonnes of mixed fibres, and 4 tonnes of OCC. Additionally, approximately 410 m³ of C&D and bulky waste, and 110 m³ of leaf and yard waste, was accepted at the Mount St. Patrick site in 2021.

According to Township records, 129 tonnes of scrap metal, 63 refrigerant appliances, 3,078 tires, and 20 tonnes of WEEE were diverted from the depots at the Norway Lake Waste Disposal Site, Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site, and Griffith Waste Disposal Site.

Waste operations training for Township waste operation staff was conducted by Greenview on June 12, 2017. In 2013, the Township passed a new waste management by-law (By-law No. 09 – 2013), to establish, maintain and regulate a system for the disposal of municipal waste, recyclables and other refuse (Greenview, 2014).

4.3.3 Site Inspections and Maintenance

Site inspections of the AWDA and property at the Mount St. Patrick site were conducted by Greenview on May 06, 2021 and November 23, 2021 during the spring and fall sampling events, and on December 14, 2021 during the topographic survey of the site. The Township also conducted periodic investigations to verify the compliance status of the site.

4.3.4 Complaints

There were no reported complaints received by the Township with respect to waste management operations at the Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site in 2021.

4.3.5 Monitoring and Screening Checklist

In accordance with the MECP TGD (MECP, 2010b), the Monitoring and Screening Checklist for the Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site is included as Appendix G of this report.



5.0 Conclusions and Recommendations

Based on the results of the 2021 environmental monitoring program completed for the Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site, the following conclusions are provided:

- The groundwater configuration at the site in the spring and fall of 2021 was similar to historical
 interpretations with the predominant direction of groundwater flow in the overburden unit interpreted to
 be to the north towards the low-lying area, and in the general direction of Constant Creek. Average
 horizontal gradients in the vicinity of the waste mound were calculated in spring and fall 2021 to be
 approximately 0.011 and 0.007 to the north, respectively. Average horizontal gradients to the northeast
 of the waste mound were calculated in spring and fall 2021 to be approximately 0.010 and 0.007,
 respectively, generally to the north.
- Background groundwater quality at the Mount St. Patrick site has been historically assessed at monitoring well MW08-1. Generally, concentrations of aluminum, DOC, hardness, iron, manganese, and TDS have been high in historical background groundwater quality results at the Mount St. Patrick site. Since 2017, background monitor MW08-1 has been interpreted to have been impacted from winter road maintenance activities from the transfer station and winter sand storage at the municipal depot located on the west side of Flat Road.
- In spring 2021, a new background monitoring well MW21-7 was installed at the site, upgradient and approximately 30 m to the east of the transfer station. Based on results from the spring 2021 sampling event, naturally high concentrations of aluminum, DOC, and manganese were documented for new background monitoring well MW21-7. MW21-7 was observed to have insufficient water to sample during the fall 2021 sampling event.
- Groundwater immediately downgradient of the site at monitoring wells MW06-2, MW06-3, and MW06-4 was interpreted to be impacted by landfill-related activities at the site; however, naturally-occurring conditions within the low-lying area at the site (MW06-2 and MW06-3), and winter road maintenance activities (MW06-4), were also interpreted to be contributing factors to documented groundwater quality results in the vicinity of the Mount St. Patrick site. Groundwater quality results at monitoring well MW06-2 were interpreted to best represent leachate quality in 2021.
- Groundwater monitoring well MW09-5R is located partially cross-gradient and northeast of the waste mound and near the north-eastern property boundary within a low-lying area. ODWS non-conformances for DOC and iron (spring only), and RUC non-conformances for DOC, iron (spring only), and manganese (spring only) in 2021 at MW09-5R were attributed to naturally-occurring conditions within the low-lying area east of the site and not to landfill-related activities.
- Groundwater monitoring well MW09-6R is located approximately 600 m northeast and downgradient of
 the Mount St. Patrick site and near the north-eastern property boundary. ODWS non-conformances in
 2021 at MW09-6R for aluminum (spring only), DOC, iron, and manganese (spring only) were attributed
 to naturally-occurring conditions within the low-lying area northeast of the site, as were the RUC nonconformances for concentrations of aluminum (fall only), DOC, iron, and manganese (fall only).
 Documented groundwater quality results were not attributed to landfill-related activities at the Mount St.
 Patrick site. Given the considerable distance of MW09-6R from the Mount St. Patrick site (approximately
 600 m), its location within the low-lying area northeast of the site, and since it was interpreted to be the
 downgradient and downstream receiver of groundwater and surface water flow from the vicinity of Flat
 Road, ODWS and RUC non-conformances in 2021 groundwater quality results at MW09-6R were
 interpreted to be resultant of naturally-occurring conditions within the low-lying area and off-site sources.
- Based on the RUC assessment completed in 2021, it was interpreted that the Mount St. Patrick site was in compliance with MECP Guideline B-7 and RUC along the northern and northeastern property



boundaries. It was interpreted that the total property area of 38.25 ha owned by the Township, inclusive of the 1.2 ha landfill and transfer station, was sufficient for operational buffer and CAZ purposes at the Mount St. Patrick site.

- Results from surface water location SW-2 were interpreted to be representative of background surface
 water quality at the Mount St. Patrick site in 2021. Based on a review of 2021 surface water quality
 results for downstream surface water sampling locations SW-1 and SW-4, Constant Creek was not
 interpreted to be significantly impacted by landfill-related activities at the Mount St. Patrick site. Surface
 water sampling location SW-3, located near the Mount St. Patrick site and within the low-lying area, was
 not interpreted to be significantly impacted by landfill-related activities in 2021.
- To calculate the volume of processed C&D and bulky waste disposed, graded, and compacted at the site in 2021, the topographic survey conducted on December 14, 2021 was compared to the topographic survey conducted on November 12, 2020 for the Mount St. Patrick site. Additionally, with respect to the unprocessed C&D and bulky waste stockpile on-site within the AWDA on December 14, 2021, a processing and compaction factor of 33% was applied to the stockpile volume in order to estimate the landfill capacity volume that would be utilized following the next processing event at the site. Therefore, based on the topographic survey calculations noted above for the Mount St. Patrick site, the 2021 fill rate was calculated to be approximately 816 cubic meters (m³) of waste.
- Based on the calculated 2021 fill rate of 816 m³ in comparison to the approved final contours at closure of the Mount St. Patrick site, and with consideration of all the grading and compaction operations conducted by the Township in 2021, the remaining site capacity as of December 14, 2021 was approximately 35,220 m³. Given the average (mean) five (5) year fill rate (2017 to 2021) of 844 m³ and the remaining capacity of 35,220 m³, the estimated remaining site life for the Mount St. Patrick site is approximately forty-two (42) years.
- Based on Township records, approximately 3,881 vehicles visited the Mount St. Patrick site in 2021, and accepted approximately 9,905 bags and 128 trailer-loads of municipal waste for disposal and/or transfer. Based on information supplied by the Township, 73 tonnes of municipal waste were collected at the Mount St. Patrick site in 2021 and transported for final disposal to the approved waste disposal facility of GFL in Moose Creek, Ontario.
- Recycling tonnage records provided by the Township indicated that 25 tonnes of Blue Box recyclables were collected from the Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site in 2021. Blue Box recyclable quantities contributing to this total included 11 tonnes of commingled containers, 10 tonnes of mixed fibres, and 4 tonnes of OCC. Additionally, approximately 410 m³ of C&D and bulky waste, and 110 m³ of leaf and yard waste, was accepted at the Mount St. Patrick site in 2021.
- According to Township records, 129 tonnes of scrap metal, 63 refrigerant appliances, 3,078 tires, and 20 tonnes of WEEE were diverted from the depots at the Norway Lake Waste Disposal Site, Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site, and Griffith Waste Disposal Site.
- Based on the results of the 2021 environmental monitoring program, the Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site was in compliance with all conditions of the ECA (A411901), and with the inspections, monitoring, and reporting requirements of the conditions therein.

The following recommendations are provided to the Township for consideration as part of the 2022 environmental work program for the Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site:

- The 2022 groundwater monitoring program for the site should continue to include sampling events in the spring and fall at monitoring wells MW08-1, MW06-2, MW06-3, MW06-4, MW09-5R, MW09-6R, and MW21-7 for the parameter suite shown in Table 1 for the groundwater regime at the site.
- In 2022, the surface water monitoring program at the site should continue to include sampling events in



the spring and fall, inclusive of surface water sampling stations SW-1, SW-2 (background), SW-3, and SW-4 in accordance with the parameter suite presented in Table 1, to monitor the surface water regime at the site. Collection of surface water samples during scheduled sampling events should only be conducted if sufficient quantities of water are available at the sampling location to avoid potentially biased results caused by low or no-flow conditions.

• In 2022, it is recommended that the Township complete a grade stake survey at the Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site in order to assist Township operations staff with further landfilling activities.



6.0 Closing

Greenview has prepared the 2021 Annual Report in accordance with MECP guidelines and Condition 22.0 of the ECA (A411901) to document annual site operations, and the results of the 2021 environmental monitoring program for the Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site.

This report is governed by the attached statement of service conditions and limitations (Appendix H).

All respectfully submitted by,

Greenview Environmental Management Limited

Dan Hagan, P.Geo. Senior Project Manager / Geologist

Tyler H. Peters, P.Eng. Project Director





7.0 References

Bear, J., 1972. Dynamics of Fluids in Porous Media. Dover Publications. 1972.

Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment, 1999. Canadian Environmental Quality Guidelines for the Protection of Aquatic Life. 1999, and as amended in September 2007.

CCREM (Canadian Council of Resource and Environment Ministers). 1987. Canadian Water Quality Guidelines. Prepared by the Task Force on Water Quality Guidelines.

Golder Associates Ltd., 2006. 2005 Annual Report, Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site, Township of Greater Madawaska, Ontario. March 2006.

Golder Associates Ltd., 2007. 2006 Annual Report, Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site, Township of Greater Madawaska, Ontario. March 2007.

Google Earth. May 8, 2004. January 3, 2013.

Greenview Environmental Management Limited, 2007a. Design and Operations Plan, Municipal Solid Waste Transfer Station, Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site (A411901). July 23, 2007.

Greenview Environmental Management Limited, 2007b. Summary Report, Preliminary Landfill Expansion Feasibility Studies. August 31, 2007.

Greenview Environmental Management Limited, 2008. 2007 Annual Report, Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site, Township of Greater Madawaska, Ontario. March 2008.

Greenview Environmental Management Limited, 2009. 2008 Annual Report, Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site, Township of Greater Madawaska, Ontario. March 2009.

Greenview Environmental Management Limited, 2010. 2009 Annual Report, Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site, Township of Greater Madawaska, Ontario. March 2010.

Greenview Environmental Management Limited, 2011. 2010 Annual Report, Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site, Township of Greater Madawaska, Ontario. March 2011.

Greenview Environmental Management Limited, 2012. 2011 Annual Report, Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site, Township of Greater Madawaska, Ontario. March 26, 2012.

Greenview Environmental Management Limited, 2013. 2012 Annual Report, Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site, Township of Greater Madawaska, Ontario. March 22, 2013.

Greenview Environmental Management Limited, 2014. 2013 Annual Report, Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site, Township of Greater Madawaska, Ontario. March 21, 2014.

Greenview Environmental Management Limited, 2015. 2014 Annual Report, Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site, Township of Greater Madawaska, Ontario. March 23, 2015.

Greenview Environmental Management Limited, 2016. 2015 Annual Report, Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site, Township of Greater Madawaska, Ontario. March 14, 2016.

Greenview Environmental Management Limited, 2017. 2016 Annual Report, Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site, Township of Greater Madawaska, Ontario. March 17, 2017.

Greenview Environmental Management Limited, 2018. 2017 Annual Report, Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site, Township of Greater Madawaska, Ontario. March 19, 2018.

Greenview Environmental Management Limited, 2019. 2018 Annual Report, Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site, Township of Greater Madawaska, Ontario. March 12, 2019.

Greenview Environmental Management Limited, 2020a. 2019 Annual Report, Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site, Township of Greater Madawaska, Ontario. March 16, 2020.



Greenview Environmental Management Limited, 2020b. Emergency Response Plan (Version 1.0), Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site, Township of Greater Madawaska, Ontario. March 31, 2020.

Greenview Environmental Management Limited, 2020c. Contingency Plan (Version 1.0), Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site, Township of Greater Madawaska, Ontario. March 31, 2020.

Greenview Environmental Management Limited, 2021. 2020 Annual Report, Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site, Township of Greater Madawaska, Ontario. March 26, 2021.

Ontario Ministry of the Environment, 1994a. MECP Procedure B-7-1: Determination of Contaminant Limits and Attenuation Zones. 1994.

Ontario Ministry of the Environment, 1994b. Water Management: Policies, Guidelines, Provincial Water Quality Objectives of the Ministry of Environment and Energy. July, 1994.

Ontario Ministry of the Environment, 2006. Technical Support Document for Ontario Drinking Water Standards, Objectives and Guidelines. June, 2006.

Ontario Ministry of the Environment, 2010a. Landfill Standards: A Guideline on the Regulatory and Approval Requirements for New or Expanding Landfilling Sites. June, 2010.

Ontario Ministry of the Environment, 2010b. Monitoring and Reporting for Waste Disposal Sites, Groundwater and Surface Water - Technical Guidance Document. November, 2010.

SGS Lakefield Research Ltd., 2005. 2004 Annual Report, Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site. March 28, 2005.

Snider's Ecological Services, 2007. Initial Environmental Impact Study, Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site, Township of Greater Madawaska. August 2007.



Tables





Table 12021 Groundwater and Surface Water Monitoring ProgramMount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site

Loca	ation	Frequency	Parameters		
			Groundwater		
MW08-1	MW06-2		Alkalinity	Aluminum	Ammonia (total)
MW06-3	MW06-4		Barium	Boron	Cadmium
MW09-5R	MW09-6R		Calcium	Chloride	COD
MW21-7		Twice (2x)	Conductivity	Copper	DOC
			Hardness	Iron	Magnesium
GLL7 (re	sidential)		Manganese	Nitrate	Nitrite
			рН	Phosphorus (total)	Potassium
1x QA/QC			Silicon	Sodium	Strontium
			Sulphate	TDS	TKN
			Zinc		
		(Spring & Fall)			
				Field Measurements	
			Conductivity	Dissolved Oxygen	рН
COUNT =	9		Temperature		
			Surface Water		
SW-1	SW-2		Alkalinity	Ammonia (total)	Arsenic
SW-3	SW-4		Barium	Boron	BOD
			Cadmium	Calcium	Chloride
		Twice (2x)	Chromium	COD	Conductivity
			Copper	DOC	Hardness
1x QA/QC			Iron	Lead	Magnesium
			Manganese	Mercury	Nitrate
			Nitrite	рН	Phenols
			Phosphorus (total)	Potassium	Sodium
			Strontium	Sulphate	TDS
		(Spring & Fall)	TKN	TSS	Zinc
				Field Measurements	
			Conductivity	Dissolved Oxygen	рН
COUNT =	5		Temperature	Un-ionized Ammonia (ca	lculation)




Table 2

Groundwater Monitoring Well and Surface Water Sampling Locations Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site

	Groun	dwater	
Monitor	Zone	Northing	Easting
MW06-2	18T	5021685	351295
MW06-3	18T	5021738	351260
MW06-4	18T	5021687	351201
MW08-1	18T	5021601	351209
MW09-5	18T	5021831	351480
MW09-5R	18T	5021831	351480
MW09-6	18T	5022284	351377
MW09-6R	18T	5022278	351375
MW21-7	18T	5021559	351308

	Surface	e Water	
Monitor	Zone	Northing	Easting
SW-1	18T	5022270	351742
SW-2	18T	5022324	351013
SW-3	18T	5021777	351212
SW-4	18T	5022286	351369

Notes:

Global Positioning System (GPS) point locations acquired by Greenview using a Garmin eTrex Venture HC.





Table 3 Groundwater Elevations Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site

Monitor	Ground	Top of Pipe	Original Stick Up	Depth of	Well						G	iroundwater	Elevations (r	n)					
WORKOF	(m)	(m)	(m)	Well (m)	(mm)	27-May-15	20-Oct-15	25-May-16	27-Oct-16	09-May-17	26-Oct-17	08-May-18	31-Oct-18	16-May-19	29-Oct-19	28-Apr-20	28-Oct-20	06-May-21	23-Nov-21
MW08-1 ²	97.69	98.58	0.77	5.78	50.8	94.30	93.04	94.47	93.07	95.29	93.79	94.94	93.09	94.93	92.90	94.86	93.57	94.64	93.51
MW06-2 ¹	93.93	94.86	0.93	2.22	50.8	93.55	92.78	93.55	92.54	93.67	93.32	93.61	92.86	93.62	92.76	93.61	93.10	93.57	93.04
MW06-3 ¹	93.29	94.26	0.97	2.85	50.8	92.98	92.44	92.99	92.20	93.01	92.82	93.00	92.67	93.00	92.52	93.00	92.70	93.00	92.60
MW06-4 ¹	95.66	96.57	0.91	3.82	50.8	94.07	92.90	94.18	92.63	94.65	93.64	94.46	92.96	94.47	92.77	94.43	93.28	94.21	93.22
MW09-5R ⁵	92.08	93.28	1.12	1.10	50.8	92.01	91.86	91.94	91.63	91.98	91.93	91.69	91.93	91.99	91.92	91.98	91.97	91.92	91.87
MW09-6R ⁵	89.30	90.53	0.94	1.07	50.8	89.03	88.91	88.90	88.82	Flooded	88.92	89.56	88.92	89.08	88.83	88.95	88.84	88.98	88.82
MW21-7 ⁶	94.97	95.52	0.54	1.14	50.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	94.75	94.03
MP3R	93.51	94.45	0.91	0.89	19.0	-	-	92.70	92.62	93.72	93.37	93.66	92.93	93.64	92.70	93.54	93.15	93.64	-
MP4	95.93	96.71	0.74	0.74	19.0	94.26	-	94.32	-	94.94	-	94.69	-	94.92	-	94.65	-	94.38	-
MP5	92.86	93.65	0.78	0.70	19.0	92.73	-	92.49	-	92.64	92.50	92.58	-	92.77	-	92.72	-	92.75	-
MP6	93.02	93.67	0.66	0.57	19.0	93.04	92.61	92.83	92.18	92.86	92.75	92.87	92.42	93.07	92.60	93.06	92.85	93.08	92.77
MP7	92.81	93.47	0.71	0.71	19.0	92.50	92.32	92.57	92.12	92.57	92.50	92.72	92.36	92.54	92.34	92.52	92.44	92.51	92.37

Notes:

1. Ground elevations and top of pipe elevations surveyed by Greenview on October 30, 2007.

2. Ground elevations and top of pipe elevations surveyed by Greenview on November 22, 2007.

3. Ground elevations and top of pipe elevations surveyed by Greenview on January 14, 2010.

4. Decommissioned

5. Monitoring wells MW09-5R and MW09-6R were installed on July 03, 2014 to replace MW09-5 and MW09-6.

6. Monitoring well MW21-7 installed on May 6, 2021.

All elevations are relative to a site specific benchmark elevation of 100.00 m.

"-" indicates data is not available.





Parameter	Background									MW08-1 (B	ackground)							5-year Trends
	(median)	NUC	00113	27-May-15	20-Oct-15	25-May-16	27-Oct-16	09-May-17	26-Oct-17	07-May-18	30-Oct-18	16-May-19	29-Oct-19	28-Apr-20	28-Oct-20	06-May-21	23-Nov-21	(sparkline)
Alkalinity (as CaCO ₃)	276	388	30 - 500	281	345	276	325	241	328	239	292	249	310	236	275	248	299	$\wedge \sim \sim$
Aluminum	0.070	0.09	0.1	0.0164	0.007	0.02	0.03	0.11	0.05	0.05	0.07	0.09	0.23	0.05	0.03	0.07	0.19	$\overline{\mathcal{N}}$
Ammonia, Total (as N)	0.1	N/L	N/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.01	0.10	0.06	0.03	0.05	0.07	0.23	0.03	0.06	0.03	0.02	0.13	M.
Barium	0.12	0.34	1	0.109	0.137	0.088	0.145	0.299	0.103	0.089	0.160	0.231	0.147	0.101	0.141	0.088	0.163	\bigvee
Boron	0.010	1.3	5	0.0367	0.0193	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.016	0.008	0.006	0.008	0.011	0.006	0.015	0.009	0.013	\bigwedge
Cadmium	0.000015	0.0013	0.005	0.000007	0.000009	< 0.00002	< 0.00002	< 0.000014	< 0.000014	< 0.000015	< 0.000015	< 0.000070	< 0.000015	< 0.000015	< 0.000015	< 0.000015	< 0.000015	
Calcium	96	N/L	N/L	96.1	119	73.6	110	170	90.3	79.7	116	170	109	80.2	104	75.0	117	\bigvee
Chemical Oxygen Demand	22	N/L	N/L	< 8	< 8	93	65	57	35	25	8	21	38	37	115	5	22	$\overline{\ }$
Chloride	42	146	250	35	88	29.2	39.0	277	18.6	23.8	62.9	301	55.2	41.9	63.8	16.1	78.4	
Conductivity (µS/cm) ³	743	N/L	N/L	-	-	581	-	1670	715	499	796	1500	783	608	771	540	835	\bigvee
Conductivity (µS/cm) ⁴	541	N/L	N/L	393	730	416	510	1127	545	320	548	916	500	352	722	362	719	\bigvee
Copper	0.002	0.5	1	0.00103	0.00112	< 0.002	0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	0.004	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	0.0014	< 0.002	$ \land $
Dissolved Organic Carbon	1.7	3.4	5	1.9	1.4	1.2	1.4	0.7	1.7	1.2	2.0	2.7	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.5	1.4	$\sim \sim \sim$
Hardness (as CaCO ₃)	312	406	500	312	387	246	359	586	280	262	375	568	368	266	341	253	388	\bigvee
Iron	0.022	0.2	0.3	< 0.007	< 0.007	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.022	0.011	0.052	0.695	0.019	< 0.005	0.099	0.447	
Magnesium	18	N/L	N/L	17.6	21.7	15.1	20.8	39.1	13.3	15.2	20.8	34.8	23.3	15.9	19.9	16.0	22.9	\bigvee
Manganese	0.003	0.03	0.05	0.00317	0.00013	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.001	< 0.001	0.001	0.003	0.049	0.001	< 0.001	0.007	0.038	
Nitrate (as N)	0.7	3	10	0.68	0.69	1.1	0.6	1.14	0.39	0.40	0.63	1.5	0.4	0.84	0.5	0.9	0.5	
Nitrite (as N)	0.05	0.29	1	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.1	< 0.1	0.19	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.05	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	
pH (units) ⁴	7.10	6.5 - 8.5	6.5 - 8.5	7.06	6.58	7.48	7.29	6.54	5.90	6.16	7.38	7.36	7.59	7.01	6.77	7.52	7.10	\checkmark
Phosphorus (total)	1.06	N/L	N/L	0.40	0.35	2.68	3.75	5.62	1.06	1.66	0.84	1.08	0.77	1.59	4.64	0.01	1.35	$\sim \sim$
Potassium	2.0	N/L	N/L	1.53	2.29	1.2	1.8	2.5	1.9	1.3	2.0	2.4	2.0	1.6	2.2	1.5	2.3	\bigvee
Silicon	5.09	N/L	N/L	5.12	6.06	4.01	5.71	4.96	4.79	4.05	5.22	4.15	5.09	4.43	5.18	4.62	5.41	\sim
Sodium	31	116	200	13.0	47.2	19.2	34.5	78.9	62.4	15.4	35.3	83.5	44.2	31.4	43.3	20.3	47.2	\searrow
Strontium	0.19	N/L	N/L	0.175	0.224	0.140	0.213	0.401	0.165	0.140	0.213	0.339	0.217	0.151	0.205	0.153	0.229	\bigvee
Sulphate	12	256	500	9	10	13	11	22	15	8	16	28	16	11	12	9	12	\searrow
Total Dissolved Solids	404	452	500	351	557	321	414	921	393	258	418	818	411	316	404	290	440	\bigvee
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	0.60	N/L	N/L	< 0.5	< 0.5	1.2	1.7	2.2	0.6	0.9	0.6	0.6	0.4	0.7	2.1	0.1	0.6	$\sim \sim$
Zinc	0.005	2.5	5	0.008	0.004	0.008	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.010	< 0.005	0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	\wedge
Notes: 1. Reasonable Use Concept (RUC 2. Ontario Drinking Water Standa 3. Results obtained from field and 4. Results obtained from field and All results are expressed in mg/L u Bold and Italic values exceed th Bold and Italic values exceed RUC NL indicates No Limit. *** indicates the parameter was no	C) criteria. rry analysis. alysis. unless otherwise stated te ODWS. 2 limits. ot analyzed.	Ŀ																





Parameter	Background	PLIC ¹							MW	/06-2						5-year Trends
raianielei	(median)	RUC	ODW5	27-May-15	25-May-16	27-Oct-16	09-May-17	26-Oct-17	07-May-18	30-Oct-18	16-May-19	28-Apr-20	28-Oct-20	06-May-21	23-Nov-21	(sparkline)
Alkalinity (as CaCO ₃)	276	388	30 - 500	371	387	349	360	328	318	305	326	332	310	326	340	\searrow
Aluminum	0.070	0.09	0.1	0.0114	0.04	0.03	0.08	0.06	0.06	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.05	0.08	0.16	
Ammonia, Total (as N)	0.1	N/L	N/L	< 0.1	< 0.01	0.06	0.02	0.05	0.05	0.11	0.10	0.06	0.06	0.03	0.06	
Barium	0.12	0.34	1	0.269	0.234	0.259	0.296	0.369	0.205	0.242	0.258	0.266	0.251	0.232	0.284	
Boron	0.010	1.3	5	0.327	0.208	0.137	0.273	0.172	0.109	0.071	0.175	0.169	0.107	0.201	0.112	\searrow
Cadmium	0.000015	0.0013	0.005	0.000020	< 0.00002	0.00002	< 0.000014	0.000019	< 0.000015	< 0.000015	< 0.000015	< 0.000028	< 0.000015	< 0.000015	< 0.000015	
Calcium	96	N/L	N/L	134	115	129	110	162	103	110	131	136	118	118	139	$\wedge \sim$
Chemical Oxygen Demand	22	N/L	N/L	18	124	270	81	69	48	284	29	47	79	9	131	
Chloride	42	146	250	90	114	102	84.8	153	64.3	76.8	106	124	81.7	99.3	95.2	$\wedge \sim$
Conductivity (µS/cm) ³	743	N/L	N/L	-	1040	-	1050	1260	772	871	1010	1060	902	973	1020	
Conductivity (µS/cm) ⁴	541	N/L	N/L	665	803	720	708	847	522	598	622	587	656	634	684	1
Copper	0.002	0.5	1	0.00110	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	0.0014	< 0.002	
Dissolved Organic Carbon	1.7	3.4	5	8.4	1.6	1.4	2.7	1.6	1.9	2.5	4.4	2.4	1.3	2.6	2.9	\searrow
Hardness (as CaCO ₃)	312	406	500	437	388	428	385	532	339	358	439	455	394	400	469	$\wedge \sim$
Iron	0.022	0.2	0.3	< 0.007	0.007	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.007	0.081	< 0.005	0.006	0.039	0.005	0.365	
Magnesium	18	N/L	N/L	24.7	24.2	25.8	26.9	31.0	19.8	20.3	27.1	27.9	24.0	25.5	29.5	$\overline{\mathbb{N}}$
Manganese	0.003	0.03	0.05	0.0556	0.040	0.265	0.038	0.304	0.056	0.021	0.024	0.015	0.034	0.011	0.146	\wedge
Nitrate (as N)	0.7	3	10	0.40	0.7	0.2	0.50	0.13	0.37	< 0.05	0.6	0.44	0.1	0.5	0.2	\sim
Nitrite (as N)	0.05	0.29	1	< 0.03	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.1	< 0.05	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	
pH (units) ⁴	7.10	6.5 - 8.5	6.5 - 8.5	7.23	7.39	7.57	7.23	7.55	7.34	7.19	7.47	7.17	7.30	7.18	7.55	\sim
Phosphorus (total)	1.06	N/L	N/L	0.19	3.58	4.18	3.84	1.23	1.03	6.90	0.62	0.85	1.04	0.05	2.65	\square
Potassium	2.0	N/L	N/L	2.68	2.7	3.9	2.7	3.8	2.4	3.8	2.7	2.6	3.8	2.5	3.8	\sim
Silicon	5.09	N/L	N/L	6.79	5.41	7.26	6.40	6.92	4.75	6.54	5.19	5.21	6.61	5.50	6.07	\sim
Sodium	31	116	200	64.7	57.3	70.8	66.7	67.9	57.4	62.3	52.2	57.9	61.8	54.3	62.3	\sim
Strontium	0.19	N/L	N/L	0.303	0.238	0.290	0.293	0.327	0.192	0.224	0.275	0.274	0.257	0.254	0.294	\sim
Sulphate	12	256	500	75	38	38	30	32	25	25	34	38	30	39	49	\sim
Total Dissolved Solids	404	452	500	609	588	559	577	694	404	460	539	569	478	536	547	\sim
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	0.60	N/L	N/L	< 0.5	3.8	5.1	2.2	1.2	0.7	4.7	0.7	0.8	1.1	0.2	3.2	\square
Zinc	0.005	2.5	5	0.003	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.006	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	$ [] \land \land]$
Notes: 1. Reasonable Use Concept (RU) 2. Ontario Drinking Water Standa 3. Results obtained from laborat 4. Results obtained from field an All results are expressed in mg/L Bold and shaded values exceed RU NUL indicates No Limit. *.* indicates the parameter was no	C) criteria. ards (ODWS). ory analysis. alysis. unless otherwise stated the ODWS. C limits. ot analyzed.	1.														





Parameter	Background	RUC ¹								MW	/06-3							5-year Trends
	(median)	KOC	00013	27-May-15	20-Oct-15	25-May-16	27-Oct-16	09-May-17	26-Oct-17	07-May-18	30-Oct-18	16-May-19	29-Oct-19	28-Apr-20	28-Oct-20	06-May-21	23-Nov-21	(sparkline)
Alkalinity (as CaCO ₃)	276	388	30 - 500	301	328	246	292	204	311	257	258	184	279	176	260	222	266	$\wedge \neg \lor \sim$
Aluminum	0.070	0.09	0.1	0.0212	0.026	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.03	0.06	0.01	0.03	0.05	0.02	\sim
Ammonia, Total (as N)	0.1	N/L	N/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.10	0.13	0.08	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.03	
Barium	0.12	0.34	1	0.224	0.187	0.137	0.233	0.166	0.269	0.177	0.241	0.127	0.205	0.135	0.219	0.138	0.221	\sim
Boron	0.010	1.3	5	0.0447	0.0223	0.014	0.025	0.006	0.048	0.027	0.030	0.028	0.049	0.020	0.041	0.029	0.036	$\sim\sim\sim$
Cadmium	0.000015	0.0013	0.005	0.000053	0.000056	0.00002	0.00006	0.00003	0.000043	0.000044	0.000039	0.000035	0.000044	0.000022	0.000125	0.000028	0.000037	-
Calcium	96	N/L	N/L	84.6	77.9	52.8	83.3	65.7	93.7	75.7	85.9	55.4	78.1	59.4	88.9	59.9	89.2	$\sim \sim \sim$
Chemical Oxygen Demand	22	N/L	N/L	17	8	37	10	32	30	133	33	32	15	29	25	27	28	
Chloride	42	146	250	140	110	62.9	134	58	89.8	62.8	97.3	43.3	75.5	60.4	99.8	54.4	89.1	$\sim \sim \sim$
Conductivity (µS/cm) ³	743	N/L	N/L	-	-	631	-	666	972	656	850	552	783	592	863	621	824	\sim
Conductivity (µS/cm) ⁴	541	N/L	N/L	557	802	467	714	374	746	366	582	304	856	285	649	375	618	$\sim \sim \sim$
Copper	0.002	0.5	1	0.00147	0.00165	0.003	0.003	0.005	0.002	0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	0.0019	< 0.002	$\overline{}$
Dissolved Organic Carbon	1.7	3.4	5	8.2	4.5	12.4	4.2	9.9	6.4	7.8	6.3	12.1	6.7	8.6	6.1	8.9	8.9	\sim
Hardness (as CaCO ₃)	312	406	500	275	254	173	275	208	306	246	277	184	257	193	293	202	298	$\sim \sim$
Iron	0.022	0.2	0.3	0.010	0.041	0.062	< 0.005	0.010	0.008	0.017	0.033	0.014	< 0.005	0.012	0.014	0.029	0.028	\sim
Magnesium	18	N/L	N/L	15.4	14.4	9.96	16.1	10.7	17.4	13.8	15.2	11.0	15.1	10.9	17.3	12.6	18.2	$\sim \sim \sim$
Manganese	0.003	0.03	0.05	0.654	0.717	0.679	0.775	0.622	0.723	0.498	0.452	0.454	0.472	0.188	0.198	0.366	0.307	$\sim \sim$
Nitrate (as N)	0.7	3	10	0.21	0.30	< 0.1	0.6	0.3	0.41	0.07	0.10	0.2	< 0.1	0.12	0.1	< 0.1	0.2	\sim
Nitrite (as N)	0.05	0.29	1	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.05	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	
pH (units) ⁴	7.10	6.5 - 8.5	6.5 - 8.5	7.31	7.08	7.32	7.33	7.37	7.38	8.02	7.05	7.70	7.61	7.21	7.39	7.25	7.58	$\sim\sim$
Phosphorus (total)	1.06	N/L	N/L	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.11	0.19	0.12	3.65	0.25	0.09	0.07	0.13	0.07	0.08	0.10	\square
Potassium	2.0	N/L	N/L	1.26	1.69	0.6	1.3	0.7	1.3	0.6	1.2	0.6	1.1	0.6	1.1	0.6	1.2	\sim
Silicon	5.09	N/L	N/L	5.61	6.52	3.97	5.82	4.54	5.49	3.67	5.34	3.80	4.63	3.43	4.72	3.89	5.00	\sim
Sodium	31	116	200	94.6	110	59.3	118	71	104	61.9	89.7	51.5	81.3	54.5	84.4	58.5	74.4	$\sim \sim$
Strontium	0.19	N/L	N/L	0.152	0.141	0.098	0.157	0.109	0.173	0.126	0.155	0.104	0.153	0.104	0.169	0.117	0.174	$\sim \sim$
Sulphate	12	256	500	< 1	16	16	20	20	21	14	19	29	17	29	24	16	20	$\sim \sim \sim$
Total Dissolved Solids	404	452	500	537	540	350	557	366	535	341	449	276	411	307	456	336	434	\sim
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	0.60	N/L	N/L	< 0.5	< 0.5	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.4	1.9	0.6	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.5	\bigwedge
Zinc	0.005	2.5	5	0.004	0.017	0.009	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	
Notes: 1. Reasonable Use Concept (RUC 2. Ontario Drinking Water Standa 3. Results obtained from Iaborato 4. Results obtained from field and All results are expressed in mg/L L Bold and shaded values exceed HD Bold and Italic values exceed RUC NL indicates No Limit. *-" indicates the parameter was no	C) criteria. rry analysis. alysis. alysis. unless otherwise stated te ODWS. C limits. ot analyzed.	L																





Parameter	Background									мм	/06-4							5-year Trends
	(median)	Ruc	00113	27-May-15	20-Oct-15	25-May-16	27-Oct-16	09-May-17	26-Oct-17	07-May-18	30-Oct-18	16-May-19	29-Oct-19	28-Apr-20	28-Oct-20	06-May-21	23-Nov-21	(sparkline)
Alkalinity (as CaCO ₃)	276	388	30 - 500	316	331	300	331	294	296	298	302	264	302	289	294	294	327	-~~
Aluminum	0.070	0.09	0.1	0.0227	0.007	0.04	0.04	0.08	0.07	0.04	0.05	0.08	0.11	0.06	0.03	0.06	0.03	$\overline{\checkmark}$
Ammonia, Total (as N)	0.1	N/L	N/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.01	0.02	< 0.01	0.02	0.04	0.07	0.12	0.03	0.07	0.07	0.03	0.02	\sim
Barium	0.12	0.34	1	0.148	0.164	0.267	0.210	0.297	0.241	0.151	0.219	0.221	0.225	0.223	0.212	0.187	0.247	\bigvee
Boron	0.010	1.3	5	0.0269	0.0222	0.011	0.005	0.013	0.022	0.012	0.018	0.036	0.028	0.047	0.041	0.057	0.083	~~~
Cadmium	0.000015	0.0013	0.005	0.000004	0.000012	< 0.00002	< 0.00002	< 0.000014	< 0.000014	< 0.000015	< 0.000015	< 0.000015	0.000071	< 0.000015	< 0.000015	< 0.000015	< 0.000015	
Calcium	96	N/L	N/L	73.1	91.2	128	100	99.9	105	70.7	95.5	112	106	106	97.6	92.4	118	\sim
Chemical Oxygen Demand	22	N/L	N/L	< 8	< 8	72	184	63	58	7	< 5	23	6	24	49	10	< 5	\searrow
Chloride	42	146	250	54	77	226	68.9	133	107	48.1	71.4	114	96.2	97.6	68.3	68.4	75.1	$\sim\sim$
Conductivity (µS/cm) ³	743	N/L	N/L	-	-	1220	-	1110	999	688	861	934	911	916	841	834	937	\searrow
Conductivity $(\mu S/cm)^4$	541	N/L	N/L	105	191	955	602	710	716	452	573	304	964	518	751	554	693	$\sim \sim \sim$
Copper	0.002	0.5	1	0.00090	0.00097	< 0.002	0.003	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	0.0015	< 0.002	$ _ \land$
Dissolved Organic Carbon	1.7	3.4	5	< 1	1.7	0.7	1.2	1.5	1.3	1.5	2.7	3.5	2.3	2.0	1.6	2.4	2.9	\searrow
Hardness (as CaCO ₃)	312	406	500	237	300	432	331	357	346	232	310	377	356	354	330	315	400	$\sim\sim$
Iron	0.022	0.2	0.3	< 0.007	< 0.007	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.029	< 0.005	0.008	0.154	0.294	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.010	
Magnesium	18	N/L	N/L	13.1	17.6	27.1	19.6	26.0	20.4	13.4	17.3	23.6	22.1	21.6	20.9	20.4	25.2	\bigvee
Manganese	0.003	0.03	0.05	0.00017	0.00068	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.030	< 0.001	0.001	0.012	0.020	< 0.001	0.018	< 0.001	0.002	$\Lambda \sim$
Nitrate (as N)	0.7	3	10	0.85	0.87	1.7	1.0	1.08	0.45	0.53	0.70	1.7	0.7	1.01	0.6	0.9	0.8	\searrow
Nitrite (as N)	0.05	0.29	1	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.1	< 0.1	0.10	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.05	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	\square
pH (units) ⁴	7.10	6.5 - 8.5	6.5 - 8.5	6.82	7.21	7.33	7.54	6.99	6.28	8.07	7.73	7.40	7.77	7.34	7.07	7.55	7.81	\searrow
Phosphorus (total)	1.06	N/L	N/L	0.54	0.50	1.59	1.16	5.13	0.94	0.91	0.40	1.16	0.32	0.68	2.86	0.04	0.32	\sum
Potassium	2.0	N/L	N/L	2.17	2.53	2.4	2.4	2.7	2.7	2.0	2.7	2.5	2.7	2.6	3.0	2.4	2.9	$\sim\sim\sim$
Silicon	5.09	N/L	N/L	5.26	5.64	4.46	5.46	5.63	5.43	4.20	5.16	4.83	4.86	4.88	5.30	4.93	5.16	\searrow
Sodium	31	116	200	74.9	72.5	65.8	73.7	70.1	88.8	82.7	92.7	57.6	67.7	72.4	73.4	62.0	63.2	\sim
Strontium	0.19	N/L	N/L	0.128	0.163	0.239	0.187	0.251	0.192	0.120	0.167	0.214	0.208	0.194	0.195	0.187	0.230	\searrow
Sulphate	12	256	500	10	14	22	23	17	15	13	28	28	33	35	32	36	50	\sim
Total Dissolved Solids	404	452	500	420	437	659	485	611	549	357	455	496	483	486	443	462	498	\searrow
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	0.60	N/L	N/L	< 0.5	< 0.5	0.5	0.7	1.7	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.6	0.2	0.3	\sum
Zinc	0.005	2.5	5	0.003	0.007	0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	
Notes: 1. Reasonable Use Concept (RUC 2. Ontario Drinking Water Standa 3. Results obtained from field and 4. Results obtained from field and All results are expressed in mg/L u Bold and shaded values exceed th Bold and Italic values exceed RUC NL indicates No Limit. *** indicates the parameter was no	C) criteria. rry analysis. alysis. alysis. unless otherwise stated the ODWS. C limits. ot analyzed.	L																





Parameter	Background	BUC 1								MW	09-5R							5-year Trends
	(median)	NUC	OD NO	27-May-15	20-Oct-15	25-May-16	27-Oct-16	09-May-17	26-Oct-17	07-May-18	30-Oct-18	16-May-19	29-Oct-19	28-Apr-20	28-Oct-20	06-May-21	23-Nov-21	(sparkline)
Alkalinity (as CaCO ₃)	276	388	30 - 500	208	251	215	219	159	236	177	221	165	185	164	217	196	222	$\wedge \sim$
Aluminum	0.070	0.09	0.1	0.0183	0.013	0.03	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.06	0.04	0.02	0.05	0.02	~~~~
Ammonia, Total (as N)	0.1	N/L	N/L	0.3	0.2	0.19	0.08	< 0.01	0.14	0.15	0.12	0.23	0.10	0.08	0.07	0.12	0.08	$\sim\sim\sim$
Barium	0.12	0.34	1	0.114	0.117	0.099	0.141	0.094	0.148	0.094	0.133	0.080	0.123	0.092	0.138	0.099	0.126	$\sim \sim$
Boron	0.010	1.3	5	0.0192	0.0173	0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.015	0.007	0.005	0.009	0.013	0.006	0.012	0.009	0.009	$\sim\sim\sim$
Cadmium	0.000015	0.0013	0.005	< 0.000003	0.000007	< 0.00002	0.00003	< 0.000014	< 0.000014	< 0.000015	< 0.000015	< 0.000015	0.000016	< 0.000015	< 0.000015	< 0.000015	< 0.000015	
Calcium	96	N/L	N/L	70.4	85.4	60.5	88.3	47.0	84.1	61.5	85.3	58.7	75.3	62.9	86.9	65.0	83.3	$\sim\sim\sim$
Chemical Oxygen Demand	22	N/L	N/L	41	53	790	781	381	190	182	282	410	631	375	322	10	439	$\overline{}$
Chloride	42	146	250	16	15	9.0	9.1	7.0	11.7	13.3	17.0	12.2	16.8	18.3	22.2	15.7	19.2	\sim
Conductivity (µS/cm) ³	743	N/L	N/L	-	-	423	-	351	503	362	519	379	457	401	525	441	504	$\wedge \wedge \wedge$
Conductivity (µS/cm) ⁴	541	N/L	N/L	299	413	316	355	234	373	238	329	233	308	216	373	281	337	\sim
Copper	0.002	0.5	1	0.00031	0.00128	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	0.0010	< 0.002	
Dissolved Organic Carbon	1.7	3.4	5	15.9	14.4	14.2	17.1	15.7	19.1	11.6	16.7	17.0	24.2	13.5	18.8	17.6	18.9	$\sim \sim$
Hardness (as CaCO ₃)	312	406	500	231	284	205	295	165	279	206	281	202	254	213	294	223	283	$\sim\sim\sim$
Iron	0.022	0.2	0.3	1.53	0.493	0.586	0.157	0.498	0.408	0.429	0.080	0.443	0.109	0.176	0.239	0.405	0.126	\sim
Magnesium	18	N/L	N/L	13.5	17.2	13.2	18.1	11.4	16.8	12.6	16.6	13.5	15.9	13.5	18.6	14.8	18.2	$\sim\sim\sim$
Manganese	0.003	0.03	0.05	0.259	0.0982	0.081	0.056	0.057	0.093	0.050	0.038	0.053	0.042	0.039	0.052	0.046	0.025	$\sim \sim$
Nitrate (as N)	0.7	3	10	< 0.06	< 0.06	0.1	0.1	< 0.05	0.14	0.07	0.11	0.3	0.3	0.13	0.1	0.1	0.1	$\sim \sim$
Nitrite (as N)	0.05	0.29	1	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.05	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	
pH (units) ⁴	7.10	6.5 - 8.5	6.5 - 8.5	7.63	6.57	7.84	7.22	7.45	7.56	7.66	7.76	7.81	7.93	6.88	7.65	7.04	7.99	$ \vee$
Phosphorus (total)	1.06	N/L	N/L	0.29	0.31	0.47	0.44	3.40	0.49	0.36	0.41	0.61	1.06	0.55	0.51	0.09	0.82	\square
Potassium	2.0	N/L	N/L	1.76	1.33	1.1	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.2	0.6	1.3	0.6	1.2	1.2	1.3	0.9	$\neg \land \land \land$
Silicon	5.09	N/L	N/L	5.47	5.99	3.97	5.15	3.88	5.52	3.46	4.32	3.45	4.68	3.77	5.47	4.44	5.09	\sim
Sodium	31	116	200	4.94	5.03	5.2	5.9	5.0	6.3	5.5	6.7	6.0	7.9	6.9	9.8	7.5	9.5	$\sim\sim\sim\sim$
Strontium	0.19	N/L	N/L	0.145	0.174	0.128	0.186	0.117	0.176	0.120	0.168	0.127	0.169	0.124	0.191	0.147	0.181	$\wedge \!\!\! \wedge \!\!\! \wedge \!\!\! \wedge \!\!\! \wedge$
Sulphate	12	256	500	< 1	25	7	32	7	5	4	17	6	24	10	11	5	12	\sim
Total Dissolved Solids	404	452	500	277	377	227	284	193	277	186	269	195	236	207	272	228	261	$\wedge \!\!\! \wedge \!\!\! \wedge \!\!\! \wedge \!\!\! \wedge$
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	0.60	N/L	N/L	0.7	1.0	6.0	6.0	7.0	4.9	4.0	4.0	7.3	10.3	5.5	4.6	0.5	8.2	\checkmark
Zinc	0.005	2.5	5	0.003	0.010	0.009	0.009	0.005	0.010	0.005	0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	\sim
Notes: 1. Reasonable Use Concept (RUC 2. Ontario Drinking Water Standa 3. Results obtained from field and 4. Results obtained from field and All results are expressed in mg/L u Bold and Italic values exceed th Bold and Italic values exceed RUC NL indicates No Limit. *** indicates the parameter was no	C) criteria. rds (ODWS). ry analysis. ilysis. unless otherwise stated e ODWS. C limits. ot analyzed.	Ŀ																





Parameter	Background									MW09-6R							5-year Trends
runnieker	(median)	RUC	ODW3	27-May-15	20-Oct-15	25-May-16	27-Oct-16	26-Oct-17	07-May-18	30-Oct-18	16-May-19	29-Oct-19	28-Apr-20	28-Oct-20	06-May-21	23-Nov-21	(sparkline)
Alkalinity (as CaCO ₃)	276	388	30 - 500	240	291	247	243	249	232	228	217	272	217	215	204	229	\searrow
Aluminum	0.070	0.09	0.1	0.0244	0.026	0.04	0.05	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.05	0.07	0.13	
Ammonia, Total (as N)	0.1	N/L	N/L	< 0.1	0.1	0.06	0.12	0.10	0.07	0.12	0.15	0.10	0.05	0.08	0.08	0.08	\sim
Barium	0.12	0.34	1	0.132	0.143	0.160	0.185	0.200	0.095	0.154	0.123	0.200	0.159	0.164	0.107	0.164	\bigvee
Boron	0.010	1.3	5	0.0159	0.0159	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.015	0.010	< 0.005	0.008	0.013	0.005	0.017	0.008	0.010	\searrow
Cadmium	0.000015	0.0013	0.005	0.000038	0.000027	< 0.00002	0.000030	< 0.000014	0.000026	0.000019	0.000026	0.000025	0.000022	0.000016	< 0.000015	0.000037	$\sim\sim$
Calcium	96	N/L	N/L	112	105	107	106	123	93.0	100	101	107	112	108	83	113	\bigvee
Chemical Oxygen Demand	22	N/L	N/L	36	50	76	143	68	152	57	106	142	60	95	55	51	\sim
Chloride	42	146	250	88	110	104	111	81.2	31.4	84.4	58.2	80.4	90.5	91.5	57.6	85.0	\bigvee
Conductivity (µS/cm) ³	743	N/L	N/L	-	-	793	-	818	528	746	647	817	762	777	621	758	\bigvee
Conductivity (µS/cm) ⁴	541	N/L	N/L	480	117	663	291	693	366	449	420	527	436	728	422	471	$\label{eq:label}$
Copper	0.002	0.5	1	0.00222	0.00192	< 0.002	< 0.002	0.002	0.003	< 0.002	0.002	0.002	0.003	< 0.002	0.0042	< 0.002	$\sim \sim$
Dissolved Organic Carbon	1.7	3.4	5	13.5	15.0	9.9	12.6	14.3	19.9	12.2	28.0	16.6	14.2	9.9	16.5	13.7	\sim
Hardness (as CaCO ₃)	312	406	500	343	328	343	336	384	284	309	317	338	352	342	266	365	\bigvee
Iron	0.022	0.2	0.3	0.104	0.275	0.649	0.544	0.788	2.47	0.443	0.337	0.391	0.151	0.464	0.326	1.20	\wedge
Magnesium	18	N/L	N/L	15.6	16.2	18.2	17.2	18.7	12.6	14.3	15.6	17.1	17.4	17.4	14.1	19.9	\bigvee
Manganese	0.003	0.03	0.05	0.104	0.0874	0.074	0.074	0.076	0.289	0.046	0.045	0.041	0.048	0.050	0.024	0.123	\wedge
Nitrate (as N)	0.7	3	10	< 0.06	< 0.06	< 0.1	0.2	0.08	0.05	0.67	0.2	< 0.1	0.11	0.1	< 0.1	0.1	\wedge
Nitrite (as N)	0.05	0.29	1	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.05	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	
pH (units) ⁴	7.10	6.5 - 8.5	6.5 - 8.5	7.27	6.55	7.60	7.63	7.68	7.59	7.90	7.31	7.85	7.13	7.22	7.35	7.74	\sim
Phosphorus (total)	1.06	N/L	N/L	0.31	0.27	0.33	0.30	0.20	0.65	0.19	0.48	0.72	< 0.01	0.49	0.03	0.10	\sim
Potassium	2.0	N/L	N/L	1.17	1.81	1.2	1.7	1.6	1.0	1.2	0.9	1.6	1.2	1.6	0.9	1.6	\searrow
Silicon	5.09	N/L	N/L	5.61	7.11	4.80	6.38	7.14	3.73	5.38	4.61	5.35	4.59	6.39	4.52	5.76	$\backslash \sim \sim$
Sodium	31	116	200	14.8	60.2	21.2	60.7	36.1	20.9	34.4	21.0	48.1	25.2	43.0	25.9	37.5	\sim
Strontium	0.19	N/L	N/L	0.286	0.256	0.260	0.268	0.306	0.219	0.240	0.258	0.293	0.279	0.293	0.229	0.295	\bigvee
Sulphate	12	256	500	15	< 1	16	22	16	13	15	26	34	32	35	23	24	\searrow
Total Dissolved Solids	404	452	500	509	600	417	467	450	273	390	336	430	399	407	328	396	\bigvee
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	0.60	N/L	N/L	< 0.5	0.7	1.0	1.4	1.0	2.2	1.0	1.6	2.1	0.8	1.6	0.7	0.8	\sim
Zinc	0.005	2.5	5	0.004	0.006	0.008	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.006	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.008	\land
Notes: 1. Reasonable Use Concept (RU/ 2. Ontario Drinking Water Standa 3. Results obtained from laboratic 4. Results obtained from field anr All results are expressed in mg/L Bold and shaded values exceed H Bold and thalic values exceed RU/ N/L indicates No Limit. *.* indicates the parameter was no	C) criteria. ards (ODWS). vry analysis. alysis. unless otherwise stated be ODWS. C limits. ot analyzed.	ł.															





Parameter	Background	RUC ¹	ODWS ²	MW21-7 (Background)	5-year Trends
	(median)			06-May-21	(sparkline)
Alkalinity (as CaCO ₃)	276	388	30 - 500	160	n/a
Aluminum	0.070	0.09	0.1	0.16	n/a
Ammonia, Total (as N)	0.1	N/L	N/L	0.18	n/a
Barium	0.12	0.34	1	0.034	n/a
Boron	0.010	1.3	5	0.020	n/a
Cadmium	0.000015	0.0013	0.005	0.000033	n/a
Calcium	96	N/L	N/L	64	n/a
Chemical Oxygen Demand	22	N/L	N/L	133	n/a
Chloride	42	146	250	15.5	n/a
Conductivity (µS/cm) ³	743	N/L	N/L	449	n/a
Conductivity (µS/cm) ⁴	541	N/L	N/L	314	n/a
Copper	0.002	0.5	1	0.0033	n/a
Dissolved Organic Carbon	1.7	3.4	5	25.1	n/a
Hardness (as CaCO ₃)	312	406	500	216	n/a
Iron	0.022	0.2	0.3	0.094	n/a
Magnesium	18	N/L	N/L	13.8	n/a
Manganese	0.003	0.03	0.05	0.033	n/a
Nitrate (as N)	0.7	3	10	0.2	n/a
Nitrite (as N)	0.05	0.29	1	< 0.1	n/a
pH (units) ⁴	7.10	6.5 - 8.5	6.5 - 8.5	7.41	n/a
Phosphorus (total)	1.06	N/L	N/L	0.04	n/a
Potassium	2.0	N/L	N/L	1.1	n/a
Silicon	5.09	N/L	N/L	5.20	n/a
Sodium	31	116	200	8.8	n/a
Strontium	0.19	N/L	N/L	0.159	n/a
Sulphate	12	256	500	39	n/a
Total Dissolved Solids	404	452	500	240	n/a
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	0.60	N/L	N/L	1.2	n/a
Zinc	0.005	2.5	5	0.029	n/a
Notes: 1. Reasonable Use Concept (RU) 2. Ontario Drinking Water Stand: 3. Results obtained from laboratic 4. Results obtained from field and All results are expressed in mg/L Bold and shaded values exceed ttl Bold and Italic values exceed RU NL indicates No Limit. *** indicates the parameter was no	C) criteria. ards (ODWS). ards (JOWS). alysis. alysis. alysis. alysis. alysis. bit analyzed.	L			





Parameter	Background								GLL7 (Re	esidential)						5-year Trends
Falameter	(median)	RUC	ODW5	21-May-14	23-Oct-14	27-May-15	20-Oct-15	25-May-16	27-Oct-16	09-May-17	26-Oct-17	07-May-18	31-Oct-18	16-May-19	29-Oct-19	(sparkline)
Alkalinity (as CaCO ₃)	276	388	30 - 500	85	197	216	212	217	206	205	205	204	187	190	195	\sim
Aluminum	0.070	0.09	0.1	0.402	0.0197	0.0172	0.018	0.03	0.03	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	
Ammonia, Total (as N)	0.1	N/L	N/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.03	0.02	0.05	0.03	0.02	
Barium	0.12	0.34	1	0.0069	0.200	0.202	0.155	0.184	0.211	0.216	0.211	0.204	0.189	0.180	0.188	\sim
Boron	0.010	1.3	5	0.009	0.0078	0.0147	0.0116	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.010	0.009	< 0.005	0.007	0.008	$\sum $
Cadmium	0.000015	0.0013	0.005	< 0.000003	< 0.000003	< 0.000003	< 0.000003	< 0.00002	< 0.00002	< 0.000014	< 0.000014	< 0.000015	< 0.000015	< 0.000015	< 0.000015	
Calcium	96	N/L	N/L	37.8	67.4	70.7	66.5	60.5	72.8	75.5	71.8	71.7	68.6	67.3	68.5	\sim
Chemical Oxygen Demand	22	N/L	N/L	< 8	< 8	< 8	< 8	< 5	< 5	7	12	< 5	6	< 5	< 5	
Chloride	42	146	250	1.0	1.3	1	1	0.9	0.7	0.6	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.0	< 0.5	
Conductivity (µS/cm) ³	743	N/L	N/L	-	-	-	-	402	-	409	410	379	386	391	392	\square
Conductivity (µS/cm) ⁴	541	N/L	N/L	278	350	305	385	351	348	305	348	309	309	285	269	$\sim\sim\sim$
Copper	0.002	0.5	1	0.00255	0.00437	0.00202	0.00298	0.006	0.003	0.032	0.005	0.002	0.028	0.012	0.022	
Dissolved Organic Carbon	1.7	3.4	5	1.4	< 1	1.5	< 1	1.1	1.2	0.9	1.1	1.0	1.5	2.5	1.8	\sim
Hardness (as CaCO ₃)	312	406	500	94.7	216	225	215	199	236	242	231	229	218	218	221	$\overline{}$
Iron	0.022	0.2	0.3	0.015	0.119	0.018	0.010	0.006	0.056	0.048	0.022	0.021	0.067	0.011	< 0.005	
Magnesium	18	N/L	N/L	0.091	11.6	11.9	12.0	11.5	13.1	12.9	12.6	12.1	11.3	12.2	12.2	\sim
Manganese	0.003	0.03	0.05	0.0004	0.168	0.485	0.430	0.374	0.244	0.522	0.400	0.340	0.067	0.110	0.005	\sim
Nitrate (as N)	0.7	3	10	< 0.06	< 0.06	1.58	< 0.06	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.1	< 0.1	
Nitrite (as N)	0.05	0.29	1	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.1	< 0.1	
pH (units) ⁴	7.10	6.5 - 8.5	6.5 - 8.5	7.41	7.79	7.63	7.59	7.82	6.13	7.96	7.96	7.76	8.30	8.13	8.16	$\neg \checkmark$
Phosphorus (total)	1.06	N/L	N/L	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	0.02	0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.01	< 0.01	0.02	< 0.01	\square
Potassium	2.0	N/L	N/L	6.80	2.11	2.22	1.99	1.8	2.1	2.1	2.2	2.1	1.8	1.9	1.9	\bigtriangledown
Silicon	5.09	N/L	N/L	15.5	4.58	4.64	4.49	3.86	4.59	4.68	4.54	4.01	3.89	3.87	3.87	\sim
Sodium	31	116	200	3.0	1.7	1.9	1.8	2.1	2.1	1.9	2.0	2.1	1.8	1.8	1.9	$\overline{\mathcal{N}}$
Strontium	0.19	N/L	N/L	0.309	0.194	0.185	0.188	0.166	0.208	0.199	0.195	0.189	0.176	0.192	0.202	$\overline{\checkmark}$
Sulphate	12	256	500	18	12	12	11	12	12	10	9	10	10	10	7	$\sim\sim$
Total Dissolved Solids	404	452	500	131	223	240	240	219	229	225	226	195	199	201	202	~~_
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	0.60	N/L	N/L	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.1	0.2	0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	1.0	< 0.1	< 0.1	\square
Zinc	0.005	2.5	5	0.004	0.012	0.009	0.008	0.013	0.008	0.008	0.007	0.010	< 0.005	0.006	< 0.005	$\sim\sim$
Notes: 1. Reasonable Use Concept (RUC 2. Ontario Drinking Water Standa 3. Results obtained from laboratic 4. Results obtained from field ans All results are expressed in mg/L Bold and shaded values exceed H Bold and Italic values exceed RUC N/L indicates No Limit. *** indicates the parameter was no	C) criteria. ards (ODWS). yry analysis. alysis. unless otherwise stated te ODWS. C limits. ot analyzed.	ł.														





Table 5 Groundwater Quality Compared to PWQO Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site

_	1							MW08-1 (B	ackground)							5-year Trends
Parameter	PWQO '	27-May-15	20-Oct-15	25-May-16	27-Oct-16	09-May-17	26-Oct-17	07-May-18	30-Oct-18	16-May-19	29-Oct-19	28-Apr-20	28-Oct-20	06-May-21	23-Nov-21	(sparkline)
Alkalinity (as CaCO ₃)	< 25% decrease	281	345	276	325	241	328	239	292	249	310	236	275	248	299	$\wedge \sim \sim$
Aluminum	0.075	0.0164	0.007	0.02	0.03	0.11	0.05	0.05	0.07	0.09	0.23	0.05	0.03	0.07	0.19	
Ammonia, Total (as N)	N/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.01	0.10	0.06	0.03	0.05	0.07	0.23	0.03	0.06	0.03	0.02	0.13	\mathcal{A}
Barium	N/L	0.109	0.137	0.088	0.145	0.299	0.103	0.089	0.160	0.231	0.147	0.101	0.141	0.088	0.163	\bigvee
Boron	0.2	0.0367	0.0193	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.016	0.008	0.006	0.008	0.011	0.006	0.015	0.009	0.013	\bigwedge
Cadmium	0.0002	0.000007	0.000009	< 0.00002	< 0.00002	< 0.000014	< 0.000014	< 0.000015	< 0.000015	< 0.000070	< 0.000015	< 0.000015	< 0.000015	< 0.000015	< 0.000015	
Calcium	N/L	96.1	119	73.6	110	170	90.3	79.7	116	170	109	80.2	104	75	117	\bigvee
Chemical Oxygen Demand	N/L	< 8	< 8	93	65	57	35	25	8	21	38	37	115	5	22	\searrow
Chloride	N/L	35	88	29.2	39.0	277	18.6	23.8	62.9	301	55.2	41.9	63.8	16.1	78.4	\bigvee
Conductivity (µS/cm) ²	N/L	-	-	581	-	1670	715	499	796	1500	783	608	771	540	835	\bigvee
Conductivity (µS/cm) 3	N/L	393	730	416	510	1127	545	320	548	916	500	352	722	362	719	\bigvee
Copper	0.005	0.00103	0.00112	< 0.002	0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	0.004	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	0.001	< 0.002	$ \land $
Dissolved Organic Carbon	N/L	1.9	1.4	1.2	1.4	0.7	1.7	1.2	2.0	2.7	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.5	1.4	$\sim \sim \sim$
Hardness (as CaCO ₃)	N/L	312	387	246	359	586	280	262	375	568	368	266	341	253	388	\bigvee
Iron	0.3	< 0.007	< 0.007	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.022	0.011	0.052	0.695	0.019	< 0.005	0.099	0.447	
Magnesium	N/L	17.6	21.7	15.1	20.8	39.1	13.3	15.2	20.8	34.8	23.3	15.9	19.9	16	22.9	\bigvee
Manganese	N/L	0.00317	0.00013	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.001	< 0.001	0.001	0.003	0.049	0.001	< 0.001	0.007	0.038	
Nitrate (as N)	N/L	0.68	0.69	1.1	0.6	1.14	0.39	0.40	0.63	1.5	0.4	0.8	0.5	0.9	0.5	
Nitrite (as N)	N/L	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.1	< 0.1	0.19	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.05	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	
pH (units) ³	6.5 - 8.5	7.06	6.58	7.48	7.29	6.54	5.90	6.16	7.38	7.36	7.59	7.01	6.77	7.96	7.10	
Phosphorus (total)	0.03	0.40	0.35	2.68	3.75	5.62	1.06	1.66	0.84	1.08	0.77	1.59	4.64	0.01	1.35	$\sim \sim$
Potassium	N/L	1.53	2.29	1.2	1.8	2.5	1.9	1.3	2.0	2.4	2.0	1.6	2.2	1.5	2.3	\bigvee
Silicon	N/L	5.12	6.06	4.01	5.71	4.96	4.79	4.05	5.22	4.15	5.09	4.43	5.18	4.62	5.41	$\sim \sim \sim$
Sodium	N/L	13.0	47.2	19.2	34.5	78.9	62.4	15.4	35.3	83.5	44.2	31.4	43.3	20.3	47.2	$\bigvee \sim$
Strontium	N/L	0.175	0.224	0.140	0.213	0.401	0.165	0.140	0.213	0.339	0.217	0.151	0.205	0.153	0.229	\bigvee
Sulphate	N/L	9	10	13	11	22	15	8	16	28	16	11	12	9	12	\sim
Total Dissolved Solids	N/L	351	557	321	414	921	393	258	418	818	411	316	404	290	440	\bigvee
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	N/L	< 0.5	< 0.5	1.2	1.7	2.2	0.6	0.9	0.6	0.6	0.4	0.7	2.1	0.1	0.6	$\square \wedge$
Zinc	0.02	0.008	0.004	0.008	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.010	< 0.005	0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	\mathbb{A}

Note:
 1. Provincial Water Quality Objectives (PWQO).
 2. Results obtained from laboratory analysis
 3. Results obtained from field analysis.
 All results are expressed in mg/L unless otherwise stated.
 Bold and shaded values exceed the PWQO.

N/L indicates No Limit. "-" indicates the parameter was not analyzed.





Table 5 Groundwater Quality Compared to PWQO Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site

Parameter		MW21-7 (Background)	5-year Trends		
r al allielei	FWQU	06-May-21	(sparkline)		
Alkalinity (as CaCO ₃)	< 25% decrease	160	n/a		
Aluminum	0.075	0.16	n/a		
Ammonia, Total (as N)	N/L	0.18	n/a		
Barium	N/L	0.034	n/a		
Boron	0.2	0.020	n/a		
Cadmium	0.0002	0.000033	n/a		
Calcium	N/L	64	n/a		
Chemical Oxygen Demand	N/L	133	n/a		
Chloride	N/L	15.5	n/a		
Conductivity (µS/cm) ²	N/L	449	n/a		
Conductivity (µS/cm) 3	N/L	314	n/a		
Copper	0.005	0.003	n/a		
Dissolved Organic Carbon	N/L	25.1	n/a		
Hardness (as CaCO ₃)	N/L	216	n/a		
Iron	0.3	0.094	n/a		
Magnesium	N/L	13.8	n/a		
Manganese	N/L	0.033	n/a		
Nitrate (as N)	N/L	0.2	n/a		
Nitrite (as N)	N/L	< 0.1	n/a		
pH (units) ³	6.5 - 8.5	7.39	n/a		
Phosphorus (total)	0.03	0.04	n/a		
Potassium	N/L	1.1	n/a		
Silicon	N/L	5.20	n/a		
Sodium	N/L	8.8	n/a		
Strontium	N/L	0.159	n/a		
Sulphate	N/L	39	n/a		
Total Dissolved Solids	N/L	240	n/a		
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	N/L	1.2	n/a		
Zinc	0.02	0.029	n/a		

Note:

Note: 1. Provincial Water Quality Objectives (PWQO). 2. Results obtained from laboratory analysis 3. Results obtained from field analysis. All results are expressed in mg/L unless otherwise stated. Bold and shaded values exceed the PWQO.

N/L indicates No Limit. "-" indicates the parameter was not analyzed.





Table 5 Groundwater Quality Compared to PWQO Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site

-	1							MW09-6R							5-year Trends
Parameter	PWQO '	27-May-15	20-Oct-15	25-May-16	27-Oct-16	26-Oct-17	07-May-18	30-Oct-18	16-May-19	29-Oct-19	28-Apr-20	28-Oct-20	06-May-21	23-Nov-21	(sparkline)
Alkalinity (as CaCO ₃)	< 25% decrease	240	291	247	243	249	232	228	217	272	217	215	204	229	
Aluminum	0.075	0.0244	0.026	0.04	0.05	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.05	0.07	0.13	
Ammonia, Total (as N)	N/L	< 0.1	0.1	0.06	0.12	0.10	0.07	0.12	0.15	0.10	0.05	0.08	0.08	0.08	\sim
Barium	N/L	0.132	0.143	0.160	0.185	0.200	0.095	0.154	0.123	0.200	0.159	0.164	0.107	0.164	\bigvee
Boron	0.2	0.0159	0.0159	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.015	0.010	< 0.005	0.008	0.013	0.005	0.017	0.008	0.010	\searrow
Cadmium	0.0002	0.000038	0.000027	< 0.00002	0.00003	< 0.000014	0.000026	0.000019	0.000026	0.000025	0.000022	0.000016	< 0.000015	0.000037	$\sim\sim\sim$
Calcium	N/L	112	105	107	106	123	93.0	100	101	107	112	108	83	113	\bigvee
Chemical Oxygen Demand	N/L	36	50	76	143	68	152	57	106	142	60	95	55	51	$\sim\sim$
Chloride	N/L	88	110	104	111	81.2	31.4	84.4	58.2	80.4	90.5	91.5	57.6	85.0	\bigvee
Conductivity (µS/cm) ²	N/L	-	-	793	-	818	528	746	647	817	762	777	621	758	\bigvee
Conductivity (µS/cm) 3	N/L	480	117	663	291	693	366	449	420	527	436	728	422	471	$\[\]$
Copper	0.005	0.00222	0.00192	< 0.002	< 0.002	0.002	0.003	< 0.002	0.002	0.002	0.003	< 0.002	0.004	< 0.002	$\sim \sim$
Dissolved Organic Carbon	N/L	13.5	15.0	9.9	12.6	14.3	19.9	12.2	28.0	16.6	14.2	9.9	16.5	13.7	$\sim \sim$
Hardness (as CaCO ₃)	N/L	343	328	343	336	384	284	309	317	338	352	342	266	365	\searrow
Iron	0.3	0.104	0.275	0.649	0.544	0.788	2.47	0.443	0.337	0.391	0.151	0.464	0.326	1.20	Λ
Magnesium	N/L	15.6	16.2	18.2	17.2	18.7	12.6	14.3	15.6	17.1	17.4	17.4	14.1	19.9	\searrow
Manganese	N/L	0.104	0.0874	0.074	0.074	0.076	0.289	0.046	0.045	0.041	0.048	0.050	0.024	0.123	\land
Nitrate (as N)	N/L	< 0.06	< 0.06	< 0.1	0.2	0.08	0.05	0.67	0.2	< 0.1	0.11	0.1	< 0.1	0.1	\sim
Nitrite (as N)	N/L	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.05	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	
pH (units) ³	6.5 - 8.5	7.27	6.55	7.6	7.63	7.68	7.59	7.90	7.31	7.85	7.13	7.22	7.76	7.74	\sim
Phosphorus (total)	0.03	0.31	0.27	0.33	0.30	0.20	0.65	0.19	0.48	0.72	< 0.01	0.49	0.03	0.10	$\sim\sim$
Potassium	N/L	1.17	1.81	1.2	1.7	1.6	1.0	1.2	0.9	1.6	1.2	1.6	0.9	1.6	\searrow
Silicon	N/L	5.61	7.11	4.80	6.38	7.14	3.73	5.38	4.61	5.35	4.59	6.39	4.52	5.76	$\langle \rangle \sim \rangle$
Sodium	N/L	14.8	60.2	21.2	60.7	36.1	20.9	34.4	21.0	48.1	25.2	43.0	25.9	37.5	$\sim\sim\sim$
Strontium	N/L	0.286	0.256	0.260	0.268	0.306	0.219	0.240	0.258	0.293	0.279	0.293	0.229	0.295	\bigvee
Sulphate	N/L	15	< 1	16	22	16	13	15	26	34	32	35	23	24	\searrow
Total Dissolved Solids	N/L	509	600	417	467	450	273	390	336	430	399	407	328	396	\bigvee
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	N/L	< 0.5	0.7	1.0	1.4	1.0	2.2	1.0	1.6	2.1	0.8	1.6	0.7	0.8	\sim
Zinc	0.02	0.004	0.006	0.008	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.006	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.008	\land

Note:

Note: 1. Provincial Water Quality Objectives (PWQO). 2. Results obtained from laboratory analysis 3. Results obtained from field analysis. All results are expressed in mg/L unless otherwise stated. Bold and shaded values exceed the PWQO.

N/L indicates No Limit. "-" indicates the parameter was not analyzed.





Parameter	Background	PWOO ¹							SI	N-1							5-year Trends
	(75th Percentile)	1 11 20	27-May-15	20-Oct-15	25-May-16	27-Oct-16	09-May-17	26-Oct-17	07-May-18	31-Oct-18	16-May-19	29-Oct-19	28-Apr-20	28-Oct-20	06-May-21	23-Nov-21	(sparkline)
Alkalinity (as CaCO ₃)	142	25 % Decrease	199	164	231	128	131	204	176	125	117	148	119	110	133	139	\sim
Ammonia, Total (as N)	0.1	N/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.01	0.04	< 0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.02	
Ammonia, Un-ionized (as N) ²	0.00113	0.02	0.00209	0.00013	0.00014	0.00003	0.00012	0.00019	0.00040	0.00020	0.00093	0.00087	0.00004	0.00003	0.00049	0.00015	
Arsenic	0.00020	0.005	0.0002	< 0.0002	0.0001	< 0.0001	0.0003	0.0002	0.0003	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	< 0.0001	0.0001	< 0.0001	0.0001	<u>~~</u>
Barium	0.080	N/L	0.0822	0.0880	0.0910	0.0760	0.042	0.066	0.069	0.078	0.061	0.079	0.068	0.060	0.062	0.066	\sim
Biological Oxygen Demand	4	N/L	< 4	< 4	< 3	< 2	< 2	< 2	< 2	< 3	< 3	< 3	< 3	3	< 3	< 3	
Boron	0.009	0.2	0.0104	0.0113	0.0050	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.011	0.009	0.006	0.005	0.008	0.009	0.007	0.007	0.009	$\sim\sim$
Cadmium	0.000015	0.0002	0.000009	< 0.000003	< 0.00002	< 0.00002	< 0.000014	< 0.000014	< 0.000015	< 0.000015	< 0.000015	< 0.000015	< 0.000015	< 0.000015	< 0.000015	< 0.000015	
Calcium	47	N/L	54.1	48.9	70.3	45.6	43.7	59.8	57.2	44.8	41.2	43.2	48.3	37.5	42.0	39.6	\sim
Chemical Oxygen Demand	22	N/L	15	16	10	7	20	19	21	22	15	19	25	18	21	14	
Chloride	8	N/L	6.0	10.0	2	7	1.3	2.1	1.6	8.5	7.2	9.3	7.6	9.3	8.0	9.1	
Chromium	0.001	0.001	0.00010	0.00013	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.001	< 0.002	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.002	< 0.001	< 0.001	
Conductivity (µS/cm) 4	304	N/L	371	330	431	-	269	391	349	283	269	277	280	265	289	281	$\wedge $
Conductivity (µS/cm) 3	277	N/L	303	249	353	184	165	277	254	181	256	220	230	402	203	172	$\sim\sim$
Copper	0.0005	0.005	0.00058	0.00065	0.00020	0.00060	0.0005	0.0001	0.0003	0.0033	0.0004	0.0004	0.0004	0.0003	0.0005	0.0005	
Dissolved Oxygen ³	11.87	5	11.25	8.24	11.5	7.83	12.66	9.53	7.41	13.75	8.50	8.62	7.06	9.30	12.75	12.29	\bigtriangledown
Dissolved Organic Carbon	7	N/L	7.3	7.4	5.8	6.9	4.8	10.1	5.6	6.7	7.0	7.2	5.7	6.6	7.1	8.0	$\wedge \sim$
Hardness (as CaCO ₃)	153	N/L	173	161	225	153	139	194	182	151	130	144	154	123	135	132	\sim
Iron	0.084	0.3	0.147	0.103	0.090	0.089	0.034	0.119	0.026	0.205	0.050	0.115	0.045	0.064	0.066	0.338	
Lead	0.000050	0.005	0.00006	0.00004	< 0.00002	0.00081	0.00004	< 0.00002	< 0.00002	0.00025	< 0.00002	0.00006	0.00005	0.00003	0.00004	0.00016	\square
Magnesium	8.8	N/L	9.28	9.45	12.10	9.56	7.3	10.90	9.56	9.6	6.61	8.86	8.02	7.06	7.33	8.06	$\sim\sim$
Manganese	0.055	N/L	0.0783	0.0660	0.0140	0.0410	0.003	0.018	0.008	0.077	0.023	0.017	0.027	0.026	0.031	0.117	\sim
Mercury	0.00002	0.0002	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00002	< 0.00002	< 0.00002	< 0.00002	< 0.00002	< 0.00002	< 0.00002	< 0.00002	< 0.00002	< 0.00002	< 0.00002	< 0.00002	
Nitrate (as N)	0.10	N/L	< 0.06	< 0.06	< 0.1	0.1	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	0.08	< 0.1	< 0.05	0.1	$ _ \land /$
Nitrite (as N)	0.05	N/L	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.1	0.2	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.1	< 0.05	< 0.1	
pH (units) 4	8.2475	6.5 - 8.5	8.3	8.44	7.94	-	8.00	8.27	7.96	7.91	8.13	8.00	7.85	-	8.15	8.14	\sim
pH (units) ³	8.04	6.5 - 8.5	7.8	6.95	7.62	6.75	7.95	7.77	7.68	8.65	7.90	8.19	7.11	7.06	7.90	7.90	$\sim\sim\sim$
Phenols	0.0020	0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.005	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.001	< 0.001	\
Phosphorus (total)	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.021	< 0.01	0.020	0.010	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03	< 0.01	0.05	0.03	0.04	$ \sim \sim$
Potassium	1.3	N/L	1.24	1.45	0.90	1.20	0.6	1.4	1.1	1.3	1.0	1.2	1.0	0.9	1.0	1.1	\sim
Sodium	5.4	N/L	4.20	5.57	2.30	5.80	1.2	2.2	2.1	6.3	4.6	5.2	5.5	5.0	5.5	5.2	\sim
Strontium	0.146	N/L	0.148	0.153	0.168	0.162	0.105	0.142	0.135	0.158	0.110	0.141	0.125	0.108	0.119	0.119	$\sim\sim\sim\sim$
Sulphate	7	N/L	< 1	7.0	5.0	7	5	2	5	7	5	12	7	7	6	6	~~~-
Total Dissolved Solids	195	N/L	220	231	231	157	148	215	179	145	138	155	143	136	150	144	$\wedge \sim$
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	0.5	N/L	< 0.5	< 0.5	0.26	0.4	0.6	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.5	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.5	
Total Suspended Solids	4	N/L	3	< 2	< 3	8	< 3	3	< 3	14	< 3	4	3	< 3	4	19	<u> </u>
Zinc	0.0095	0.02	0.002	< 0.002	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.099	< 0.005	0.011	0.005	0.007	0.006	0.014	0.008	0.010	Λ
Notes: 1. Provincial Water Quality Objective 2. Calculated using Total Ammonia a 3. Results obtained from Ieid analysis All results admined from Ieid analysis All results are expressed in mg1 unit Bold and shaded values exceed the F NL indicates No Limit. **' indicates No Limit.	is (PWQO). and field analysis. is. s. ss otherwise stated. WWQO. nalyzed.																





Parameter	Background	PWOO ¹	SW-2 (Background) 5-year Trend										5-year Trends				
	(75th Percentile)		27-May-15	20-Oct-15	25-May-16	27-Oct-16	09-May-17	26-Oct-17	07-May-18	31-Oct-18	16-May-19	29-Oct-19	28-Apr-20	28-Oct-20	06-May-21	23-Nov-21	(sparkline)
Alkalinity (as CaCO ₃)	142	25 % Decrease	150	151	141	128	113	137	181	125	112	116	114	109	134	137	\wedge
Ammonia, Total (as N)	0.1	N/L	0.5	< 0.1	< 0.01	0.04	< 0.01	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.05	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.02	
Ammonia, Un-ionized (as N) ²	0.00113	0.02	0.00996	0.00066	0.00025	0.00019	0.00014	0.00065	0.00032	0.00027	0.00129	0.00145	0.00019	0.00008	0.00042	0.00033	
Arsenic	0.00020	0.005	< 0.0002	< 0.0002	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	0.0003	0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	
Barium	0.080	N/L	0.0777	0.0884	0.074	0.073	0.051	0.070	0.114	0.081	0.062	0.072	0.063	0.063	0.059	0.069	
Biological Oxygen Demand	4	N/L	< 4	< 4	< 3	< 2	< 2	< 2	< 2	< 3	< 3	< 3	< 3	< 3	< 3	< 3	
Boron	0.009	0.2	0.0092	0.0118	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.013	0.009	0.006	< 0.005	0.006	0.008	0.007	0.007	0.010	\sim
Cadmium	0.000015	0.0002	0.000013	< 0.000003	< 0.00002	< 0.00002	< 0.000014	< 0.000014	< 0.000015	< 0.000015	0.000022	< 0.000015	< 0.000015	< 0.000015	< 0.000015	< 0.000015	
Calcium	47	N/L	49.0	48.3	45.8	43.4	37.6	40.0	64.9	46.5	40.8	39.4	45.2	38.1	40.6	43.2	$\wedge \sim$
Chemical Oxygen Demand	22	N/L	14	17	12	10	11	14	27	15	21	13	25	15	22	11	
Chloride	8	N/L	8	9	6.1	6.7	5.5	6.2	5.7	8.2	7.3	8.4	7.5	9.3	8.0	8.8	~~~~
Chromium	0.001	0.001	0.00005	0.0134	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.002	< 0.001	< 0.001	
Conductivity (µS/cm) 4	304	N/L	310	319	304	-	252	296	335	282	265	302	275	266	285	286	$\wedge \sim$
Conductivity (µS/cm) 3	277	N/L	269	243	350	190	160	223	232	172	178	94	165	237	202	163	$\sim \sim$
Copper	0.0005	0.005	0.00048	0.00021	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	0.0003	0.0001	0.0004	0.0005	0.0009	0.0002	0.0003	0.0003	0.0005	0.0005	$\overline{}$
Dissolved Oxygen ³	11.87	5	6.75	7.80	9.83	7.90	14.00	9.59	9.75	14.54	11.13	8.73	12.28	11.38	11.86	14.55	\sim
Dissolved Organic Carbon	7	N/L	9.7	6.2	6.0	6.8	6.1	8.1	3.2	6.6	7.0	6.4	5.9	6.6	7.4	6.3	$\sim\sim$
Hardness (as CaCO ₃)	153	N/L	156	160	149	146	121	133	211	158	129	133	143	125	131	144	$\wedge \sim$
Iron	0.084	0.3	0.066	0.087	0.059	0.059	0.051	0.052	0.053	0.108	0.095	< 0.005	0.073	0.112	0.063	0.051	\sim
Lead	0.000050	0.005	< 0.00001	0.00010	< 0.00002	< 0.00002	< 0.00002	< 0.00002	< 0.00002	0.00018	0.00012	< 0.00002	0.00004	0.00005	0.00005	0.00003	
Magnesium	8.8	N/L	8.25	9.46	8.33	9.17	6.51	7.92	11.9	10.2	6.61	8.31	7.35	7.20	7.17	8.65	\sim
Manganese	0.055	N/L	0.0597	0.0837	0.063	0.042	0.009	0.032	0.020	0.032	0.035	0.034	0.031	0.057	0.028	0.017	~~~
Mercury	0.00002	0.0002	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00002	< 0.00002	< 0.00002	< 0.00002	< 0.00002	< 0.00002	< 0.00002	< 0.00002	< 0.00002	< 0.00002	< 0.00002	< 0.00002	
Nitrate (as N)	0.10	N/L	< 0.06	< 0.06	< 0.1	0.1	< 0.05	0.16	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	0.08	< 0.1	< 0.05	0.1	Λ
Nitrite (as N)	0.05	N/L	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.1	0.2	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.1	< 0.05	< 0.1	
pH (units) 4	8.2475	6.5 - 8.5	8.29	8.35	8.00	-	8.08	8.31	7.96	8.03	8.13	8.06	7.87	-	8.08	8.09	\sim
pH (units) ³	8.04	6.5 - 8.5	7.71	7.62	7.90	7.49	7.98	8.04	8.07	8.17	8.04	8.59	7.74	7.70	8.02	8.23	$\sim\sim$
Phenols	0.0020	0.001	0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.005	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.001	< 0.001	\
Phosphorus (total)	0.02	0.03	0.016	0.013	< 0.01	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.02	< 0.01	0.02	0.01	< 0.01	0.04	0.04	0.03	\sim
Potassium	1.3	N/L	1.23	1.45	1.0	1.1	0.6	1.0	1.4	1.3	1.0	1.2	1.1	0.9	0.9	1.2	$\sim\sim$
Sodium	5.4	N/L	5.08	5.42	5.1	5.3	3.9	4.9	6.1	6.5	4.8	5.1	5.9	5.0	5.4	5.6	\sim
Strontium	0.146	N/L	0.137	0.157	0.137	0.154	0.105	0.128	0.186	0.173	0.111	0.134	0.117	0.111	0.117	0.131	\sim
Sulphate	7	N/L	2	6	7	7	5	5	5	7	6	6	7	7	6	6	
Total Dissolved Solids	195	N/L	209	226	158	156	139	163	172	144	136	142	141	383	149	147	$ \frown $
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	0.5	N/L	0.5	< 0.5	0.29	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.3	
Total Suspended Solids	4	N/L	2	< 2	< 3	4	< 3	6	< 3	4	6	< 3	6	< 3	4	10	$\sim\sim\sim$
Zinc	0.0095	0.02	< 0.001	< 0.002	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.026	0.011	0.006	0.006	0.012	0.008	0.010	0.010	$ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $
Notes: 1. Provincial Water Quality Objective 2. Calculated using Total Ammonia a 3. Results obtained from field analysis All results and analysis All results are expressed in mg1 unle Bold and shaded values exceed the P NL indicates No Limit. ** indicates No Limit.	s (PWQO). nd field analysis. s. ss otherwise stated. WQO. halyzed.																





Parameter	Background	PWQO ¹	SW-3							
	(75th Percentile)		25-May-16	09-May-17	07-May-18	16-May-19	28-Apr-20	06-May-21	(sparkline)	
Alkalinity (as CaCO ₃)	142	25 % Decrease	312	220	257	241	240	284	\sim	
Ammonia, Total (as N)	0.1	N/L	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.02	0.09	< 0.01	0.01	\sim	
Ammonia, Un-ionized (as N) ²	0.00113	0.02	0.0001	0.00004	0.00059	0.00156	0.00010	0.00016	$\overline{\}$	
Arsenic	0.00020	0.005	0.0001	0.0006	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001		
Barium	0.080	N/L	0.247	0.129	0.199	0.162	0.177	0.201	\sim	
Biological Oxygen Demand	4	N/L	< 3	< 2	< 2	< 3	< 3	< 3		
Boron	0.009	0.2	0.008	< 0.005	0.009	0.005	0.008	0.009	\sim	
Cadmium	0.000015	0.0002	0.00002	< 0.000014	< 0.000015	< 0.000015	< 0.000015	< 0.000015		
Calcium	47	N/L	87.3	61.6	76.8	64.7	74.5	77.4	\sim	
Chemical Oxygen Demand	22	N/L	21	7	16	14	18	31	\sim	
Chloride	8	N/L	93.5	36.1	59.3	37.8	55.3	59.7	$\wedge \wedge$	
Chromium	0.001	0.001	< 0.002	0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001		
Conductivity (µS/cm) 4	304	N/L	864	577	632	597	664	699	\langle	
Conductivity (µS/cm) ³	277	N/L	737	380	489	376	386	502	\langle	
Copper	0.0005	0.005	0.0011	0.0008	0.0007	0.0009	0.0007	0.0008	\leq	
Dissolved Oxygen 3	11.87	5	4.48	15.4	12.85	13.89	12.38	12.00	$\left\langle \right\rangle$	
Dissolved Organic Carbon	7	N/L	5.8	3.0	3.2	5.0	4.1	5.0	\langle	
Hardness (as CaCO ₃)	153	N/L	291	211	257	216	247	260	\sim	
Iron	0.084	0.3	0.040	0.009	0.009	0.016	0.024	0.020		
Lead	0.000050	0.005	0.00005	< 0.00002	< 0.00002	< 0.00002	< 0.00002	0.00003		
Magnesium	8.8	N/L	17.6	13.8	15.9	13.1	14.9	16.3	\sim	
Manganese	0.055	N/L	0.018	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.002	0.002	$\neg \bigtriangledown \neg$	
Mercury	0.00002	0.0002	< 0.00002	< 0.00002	< 0.00002	< 0.00002	< 0.00002	< 0.00002		
Nitrate (as N)	0.10	N/L	< 0.1	0.28	< 0.05	0.05	0.12	< 0.05	\searrow	
Nitrite (as N)	0.05	N/L	< 0.1	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	0.06	/	
pH (units) 4	8.2475	6.5 - 8.5	7.94	8.20	8.17	8.28	8.05	8.19	\sim	
pH (units) ³	8.04	6.5 - 8.5	7.67	7.45	7.92	8.03	7.79	7.95	\sim	
Phenols	0.0020	0.001	< 0.001	0.004	< 0.001	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.001		
Phosphorus (total)	0.02	0.03	< 0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	< 0.01	0.06		
Potassium	1.3	N/L	1.4	0.5	1.4	1.2	1.1	1.2	$\langle \rangle$	
Sodium	5.4	N/L	73.9	37.9	46.2	41.5	43.8	45.4	\sim	
Strontium	0.146	N/L	0.162	0.110	0.131	0.111	0.118	0.138	\sim	
Sulphate	7	N/L	10	10	10	10	10	10		
Total Dissolved Solids	195	N/L	471	317	328	310	345	380	\sim	
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	0.5	N/L	0.33	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.4		
Total Suspended Solids	4	N/L	8	< 3	< 3	4	< 3	22		
Zinc	0.0095	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.006	0.006	0.007	0.008		

 Zinc
 0.0095

 Notes:
 1. Provincial Water Quality Objectives (PWQO).
 2. calculated using Total Ammonia and field analysis.

 3. Results obtained from field analysis.
 4. Results obtained from lab analysis.
 4. Results obtained from lab unalysis.

 4. Results obtained from field unalysis.
 5. Results obtained from lab unalysis.
 5. Results obtained from lab unalysis.

 4. Results are expressed in mpL unless otherwise stated.
 Bold and shaded values exceed the PWQO.
 NL indicates No Limit.

 ** Indicates the parameter was not analyzed.
 **
 **
 Indicates the parameter was not analyzed.





Parameter	Background	PWOO ¹	SW-4 5-year Trer										5-year Trends				
	(75th Percentile)		27-May-15	20-Oct-15	25-May-16	27-Oct-16	09-May-17	26-Oct-17	07-May-18	31-Oct-18	16-May-19	29-Oct-19	28-Apr-20	28-Oct-20	06-May-21	23-Nov-21	(sparkline)
Alkalinity (as CaCO ₃)	142	25 % Decrease	151	160	155	128	133	133	162	133	117	120	115	218	133	138	$\sim \wedge$
Ammonia, Total (as N)	0.1	N/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.01	0.04	< 0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.05	0.02	0.01	0.04	0.03	0.05	
Ammonia, Un-ionized (as N) ²	0.00113	0.02	0.0035	0.0001	0.00003	0.00026	0.00007	0.00042	0.00032	0.00027	0.00111	0.00092	0.00004	0.00010	0.00081	0.00039	
Arsenic	0.00020	0.005	< 0.0002	< 0.0002	0.0001	< 0.0001	0.0003	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	0.0002	< 0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0005	0.0001	< 0.0001	
Barium	0.080	N/L	0.0724	0.0891	0.081	0.073	0.043	0.067	0.057	0.092	0.058	0.075	0.044	0.158	0.063	0.067	$\sim\sim$
Biological Oxygen Demand	4	N/L	< 4	< 4	< 3	< 2	< 2	< 2	< 2	< 3	< 3	< 3	< 3	< 3	< 3	< 3	
Boron	0.009	0.2	0.0085	0.0120	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.013	0.006	< 0.005	0.007	0.008	0.006	0.008	0.008	0.018	\sim
Cadmium	0.000015	0.0002	0.000009	< 0.000003	< 0.00002	< 0.00002	< 0.000014	< 0.000014	< 0.000015	0.000024	< 0.000015	< 0.000015	< 0.000015	0.000085	< 0.000015	< 0.000015	
Calcium	47	N/L	47.1	48.7	49.9	44.0	45.7	39.6	57.2	56.0	39.8	41.8	35.6	87.0	42.8	42.7	$\sim \wedge$
Chemical Oxygen Demand	22	N/L	17	11	18	8	20	18	21	27	20	21	27	74	22	12	$ \longrightarrow $
Chloride	8	N/L	8	10	15.7	7.7	1.8	6.2	2.0	14.5	8.7	13.6	7.6	71.4	8.1	9.7	
Chromium	0.001	0.001	0.00005	0.00003	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.001	< 0.002	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.001	< 0.001	< 0.002	< 0.001	< 0.001	
Conductivity (µS/cm) 4	304	N/L	308	336	358	-	275	293	307	324	277	304	277	735	290	283	$ \longrightarrow $
Conductivity (µS/cm) 3	277	N/L	264	259	278	185	153	221	211	187	191	199	246	583	208	162	\frown
Copper	0.0005	0.005	0.00072	0.00041	0.0002	< 0.0001	0.0005	0.0001	0.0006	0.0067	0.0004	0.0005	0.0006	0.0051	0.0003	0.0008	
Dissolved Oxygen ³	11.87	5	9.29	5.6	9.82	10.47	11.69	9.97	9.24	14.40	9.52	9.75	7.98	10.78	11.00	14.10	
Dissolved Organic Carbon	7	N/L	9.0	6.7	8.0	7.0	5.2	8.1	5.4	11.8	7.2	8.2	7.2	15.8	7.1	6.5	$\sim\sim\sim$
Hardness (as CaCO ₃)	153	N/L	150	161	161	148	142	131	173	185	127	140	116	279	137	141	$\sim \sim$
Iron	0.084	0.3	0.061	0.092	0.075	0.075	0.015	0.048	0.021	0.163	0.049	0.008	0.005	1.79	0.067	0.054	\square
Lead	0.000050	0.005	< 0.00001	0.00004	< 0.00002	< 0.00002	< 0.00002	< 0.00002	< 0.00002	0.00023	< 0.00002	< 0.00002	0.00005	0.00102	0.00004	0.00006	
Magnesium	8.8	N/L	7.97	9.58	8.86	9.27	6.64	7.72	7.42	10.9	6.57	8.63	6.65	15.0	7.34	8.44	$\sim\sim\sim$
Manganese	0.055	N/L	0.0632	0.0787	0.056	0.039	0.002	0.034	0.004	0.037	0.021	0.028	< 0.001	0.186	0.031	0.015	$\sim\sim\sim$
Mercury	0.00002	0.0002	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00002	0.00003	< 0.00002	< 0.00002	< 0.00002	< 0.00002	< 0.00002	< 0.00002	< 0.00002	< 0.00002	< 0.00002	< 0.00002	
Nitrate (as N)	0.10	N/L	< 0.06	< 0.06	< 0.1	0.1	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	0.08	< 0.1	< 0.05	< 0.1	\square
Nitrite (as N)	0.05	N/L	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.1	< 0.05	< 0.1	
pH (units) 4	8.2475	6.5 - 8.5	8.29	8.31	8.02	-	8.04	8.35	8.00	8.04	8.14	8.06	7.87	-	8.01	8.07	\sim
pH (units) ³	8.04	6.5 - 8.5	7.94	6.61	6.92	7.73	7.74	8.04	8.06	7.96	8.03	8.38	7.36	7.21	8.14	7.90	$\sim \sim$
Phenols	0.0020	0.001	0.001	0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.001	< 0.001	
Phosphorus (total)	0.02	0.03	0.017	0.036	< 0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	< 0.01	0.02	0.01	< 0.01	0.11	0.03	0.01	$ \longrightarrow $
Potassium	1.3	N/L	1.20	1.45	0.9	1.1	0.7	1.0	0.8	1.0	0.9	1.1	1.0	0.6	1.0	1.2	$\sim\sim\sim$
Sodium	5.4	N/L	4.94	5.70	11.2	5.7	1.9	5.1	2.3	17.2	5.2	7.5	5.1	41.1	5.7	6.1	\sim
Strontium	0.146	N/L	0.135	0.155	0.142	0.156	0.123	0.125	0.149	0.166	0.106	0.138	0.099	0.195	0.120	0.127	\sim
Sulphate	7	N/L	2	7	7	7	6	4	4	11	6	11	7	44	6	6	$_$
Total Dissolved Solids	195	N/L	177	231	187	158	151	161	158	166	142	156	142	135	150	145	$\sim\sim$
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	0.5	N/L	< 0.5	< 0.5	0.42	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.6	0.3	0.4	0.3	1.4	0.4	0.3	$ \longrightarrow $
Total Suspended Solids	4	N/L	2	2	< 3	3	< 3	< 3	< 3	8	< 3	< 3	< 3	25	3	< 3	$ \square \land \land$
Zinc	0.0095	0.02	0.001	< 0.002	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.005	< 0.005	0.005	< 0.005	0.015	0.008	0.011	\square
Notes: 1. Provincial Water Quality Objective 2. Calculated using Total Ammonia a 3. Results obtained from field analysis All results are expressed in mg/L unle Bold and shaded values exceed the F NL indicates No Limit. *-* indicates the parameter was not an	s (PWQO). Ind field analysis. is. iss otherwise stated. WQO. nalyzed.																



Figures





tockuD/102 Greater Madawaska/015 Mount St. Patrick WDS/dwg/102.21.015 - TGM - MSP - 2021 AR FIGURES - FINAL.dwg

LEGEND

PROPERTY BOUNDARY --- APPROVED WASTE DISPOSAL AREA 30 m BUFFER LIMIT -- TRANSFER STATION AREA - TOPOGRAPHIC CONTOUR LINE - 185.0 ROAD (APPROXIMATE) APPROXIMATE SURFACE WATER SYSTEM GLL7 RESIDENTIAL GROUNDWATER WELL LOCATION SW-3 SURFACE WATER SAMPLING LOCATION \oplus GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL



NOTES

1. ALL FEATURES APPROXIMATE.

2. BASE IMAGE SOURCE: ONTARIO BASE MAP 10 18 3500 50200.

3. PROPERTY BOUNDARY BASED ON TITLE SEARCH INFORMATION, AND RENFREW COUNTY ONLINE MAPPING, 2008.

					DRAWN BY:	CHECKED BY:	CLIENT:	PROJECT:
Greenview					MAG	DMH		
								FXISTING PROPERTY & SURFACE
					DESIGNED BY:	APPROVED BY:	the second se	
and the second se					MAG	DMH	THE TOWNSHIP OF	ZUZT AN
	1	MAR18-22	MAG	ISSUED FOR MECP REVIEW			GREATER MADAWASKA	MOUNT ST. PATRIC
13 Commerce Court Bancroft, Ontario 613.332.0057	0	JAN24-22	MAG	ISSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW	SCALE:	DATE:		TOWNSHIP OF
greenview-environmental.ca	No.	DATE	BY	REMARKS				





LEGEND

- PROPERTY BOUNDARY
- APPROVED WASTE DISPOSAL AREA
- LIMIT OF EXISTING WASTE
- TOPOGRAPHIC CONTOUR LINE 98.00 -----
- ON-SITE ROAD
- ------- APPROXIMATE TREE LINE
 - عللك LOW-LYING AREA
- ⊕ MW08-1 GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL
- ▲ SW-3 SURFACE WATER SAMPLING LOCATION
- MP5 PIEZOMETER
- EQUIPOTENTIAL CONTOUR - 92.00 - (MAY 06, 2021) 89.47 GROUNDWATER ELEVATIONS (89.69) NOVEMBER 23, 2021 (MAY 06, 2021) GROUNDWATER FLOW DIRECTION
- ▲ BM#19-1 BENCHMARK
- TRANSFER STATION AREA



- 1. BENCHMARKS BM#19-1 IRON BAR SOUTH-WEST OF METAL SCRAP PILE. ELEVATION = 97.732m
- 2. DRAWING BASED ON DIGITAL INFORMATION PROVIDED BY GOLDER ASSOCIATES, 2006.
- 3. UPDATED TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY COMPLETED BY GREENVIEW ON DECEMBER, 14 2021.

					DRAWN BY:	CHECKED BY:	CLIENT:	PROJECT:
* Greenview					MAG	DMH		
ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT								ENVIRONMENTAL MONITOR
					DESIGNED BY:	APPROVED BY:		
					MAG	DMH	THE TOWNSHIP OF	ZUZT AI
	1	MAR18-22	MAG	ISSUED FOR MECP REVIEW			GREATER MADAWASKA	MOUNT ST. PATRIC
13 Commerce Court Bancroft, Ontario 613.332.0057	0	JAN20-22	MAG	ISSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW	SCALE:	DATE:		TOWNSHIP OF
greenview-environmental.ca	No.	DATE	BY	REMARKS	1.2300			



LEGEND

- ------ PROPERTY BOUNDARY
- ----- APPROVED WASTE DISPOSAL AREA
- ------ LIMIT OF EXISTING WASTE
- ----- 98.00 ---- TOPOGRAPHIC CONTOUR LINE
- ON-SITE ROAD
- ------ 30m BUFFER LIMIT
- ------ APPROXIMATE TREE LINE
 - LOW-LYING AREA
- ⊕ MW08-1 GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL
- ▲ SW-3 SURFACE WATER SAMPLING LOCATION
- MP5 PIEZOMETER
- 92.00 EQUIPOTENTIAL CONTOUR (NOVEMBER 23, 2021)
 89.47 GROUNDWATER ELEVATIONS (89.69) NOVEMBER 23, 2021 (MAY 06, 2021)
- GROUNDWATER FLOW DIRECTION
- ▲ BM#19-1 BENCHMARK
- TRANSFER STATION AREA

NOTES

- 1. BENCHMARKS BM#19-1 IRON BAR SOUTH-WEST OF METAL SCRAP PILE. ELEVATION = 97.732m
- 2. DRAWING BASED ON DIGITAL INFORMATION PROVIDED BY GOLDER ASSOCIATES, 2006.
- 3. UPDATED TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY COMPLETED BY GREENVIEW ON DECEMBER, 14 2021.

	ě
te de	N ⁹ 1,00
93.22 (94.21)	
P P </td <td></td>	
	9–5R I.87 I.92)



					DRAWN BY:	CHECKED BY:	CLIENT:	PROJECT:
					- MAG	DMH		
ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT					DESIGNED BY:	APPROVED BY:	THE TOWNSHIP OF	2021 A
12 Commune Court	1	MAR18-22	MAG	ISSUED FOR MECP REVIEW	MAG	DMH	GREATER MADAWASKA	MOUNT ST. PATRIC
Bancroft, Ontario 613.332.0057	0	JAN20-22	MAG	ISSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW	SCALE: 1:2500	DATE: MAR 2022		TOWNSHIP OF
greenview-environmental.ca	No.	DATE	BY	REMARKS				



Appendix A



Ministry of the Environment Ministère de l'Environnement



AMENDMENT TO ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE APPROVAL

NUMBER A411901 Notice No. 1 Issue Date: October 11, 2013

The Corporation of the Township of Greater Madawaska 19 Parnell Street Post Office Box, No. 180 Calabogie, Ontario K0J 1H0



Site Location: Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site 134 Flat Rd Township of Madawaska Township, County of Renfrew

You are hereby notified that I have amended Approval No. A411901 issued on July 16, 2008 for the use and operation of a 38.25 hectare Waste Disposal Site consisting of a 1.2 hectare Landfill and a Transfer Station, as follows:

The following Definition is hereby amended/added to the ECA:

"*Approval* " or "*Certificate* " or "*ECA* " means this entire provisional Environmental Compliance Approval document, issued in accordance with Section 20.3 of the *EPA*, and includes any schedules to it, the application and the supporting documentation listed in Schedule "A";

"white goods which contain refrigerants " or "refrigerant appliances " means white goods which contain, or may contain refrigerants, and which include, but is not restricted to, refrigerators, freezers and air-conditioning systems.

The following Condition is hereby added to the ECA:

Burning of Waste

- 15.14 (1) Burning of waste is not permitted at the *Site* with the exception of the material under Condition 15.14 (2).
 - (2) Only clean wood and brush shall be permitted for burning. Burning of the materials shall be completed as per the Ministry of the Environment Guideline C-7 (Burning at landfill Sites).

Page 1 - NUMBER A411901

(3) The Owner shall ensure that the final maximum storage capacity of the Leaf and Yard Burn Storage Area pile does not exceeded 200 m³.

The following Condition is hereby amended as follows:

16.4 The Owner shall ensure that the final maximum capacities are not exceeded:

(a)	waste destined for final disposal	80 m ³
(b)	organic waste	20 m ³
(c)	waste electrical and electronic equipment	40 m ³
(d)	blue box waste	325 m ³
(e)	tires	100 m ³
(f)	leaf and yard waste	200 m ³
(g)	construction and demolition waste	200 m ³
(h)	scrap metal	60 m ³
(i)	white goods that contain refrigerants	25 units

- 16.5 The Owner shall ensure that waste is stored in the following manner:
 - (a) waste destined for final disposal shall be collected in roll-off containers or equivalent and may be mechanically compacted;
 - (b) *blue box waste* shall be collected in roll off containers or equivalent except as noted elsewhere;
 - (c) scrap metal shall be collected in a segregated area;
 - (d) the tire stockpile shall be located a minimum of 15 metres from the property line, any buildings and the active landfilling area and an area around stockpiles of no less than 4.5 metres shall be kept free of vegetation;
 - (e) organic waste shall be collected in vector resistant containers;
 - (f) white goods which contain refrigerants shall be stored in a segregated area in an upright position and in such a manner to allow for the safe handling and removal from the *Site* for removal of refrigerants as required by *Ontario Regulation 189*;
 - (g) waste electrical and electronic equipment shall be collected in roll-off containers or segregated area.

The following Item is hereby added to Schedule "A":

- 9. Letter dated May 21, 2013 and supporting documentation addressed to Mr. Ian Parrott, Director, Environmental Approvals Branch from Mr. Dan Hagan, Greenview Environmental Management Ltd. submitting an application to amend the volume of SSO and leaf and yard waste and permit the burning of leaf and yard waste. The supporting documentation includes:
 - i. Environmental Compliance Approval Application dated May 2, 2013 and signed by Ms.

Alison Haltzhauer, CAO/Clerk - Treasurer, Township of Greater Madawaska Valley.

- Figure No. 1 entitled "Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site Proposed Site Design" prepared by Greenview Environmental Management Ltd. (Project No. 102.13.015) dated May 2013 (saved May 22, 2013)
- Email dated September 24, 2013 at 5:10 p.m. to Mr. Dale Gable, Ministry of the Environment from Mr. Dan Hagan, Greenview Environmental Management Ltd. providing details and photos of the storage container for organic waste.
- 11. Email dated September 30, 2013 at 4:10 p.m. to Mr. Dale Gable, Ministry of the Environment from Mr. Dan Hagan, Greenview Environmental Management Ltd. requesting the increase to the storage volume of scrap metals and identifying a storage limit on white goods that contain refrigerants.

The reasons for this amendment to the Approval are as follows:

- 1. The reason for Condition 15.14 is to ensure that no waste is burned at the Site other than leaf and yard waste. This is to ensure the leaf and yard waste is managed in a acceptable manner.
- 2. The reason for the amendment to Condition No. 16.4 and 16.5 is to amend the storage volumes at the transfer station.

This Notice shall constitute part of the approval issued under Approval No. A411901 dated July 16, 2008

In accordance with Section 139 of the Environmental Protection Act, you may by written Notice served upon me and the Environmental Review Tribunal within 15 days after receipt of this Notice, require a hearing by the Tribunal. Section 142 of the Environmental Protection Act provides that the Notice requiring the hearing shall state:

- 1. The portions of the environmental compliance approval or each term or condition in the environmental compliance approval in respect of which the hearing is required, and;
- 2. The grounds on which you intend to rely at the hearing in relation to each portion appealed

Pursuant to subsection 139(3) of the Environmental Protection Act, a hearing may not be required with respect to any terms and conditions in this environmental compliance approval, if the terms and conditions are substantially the same as those contained in an approval that is amended or revoked by this environmental compliance approval.

The Notice should also include:

- 3. The name of the appellant;
- 4. The address of the appellant;
- 5. The environmental compliance approval number,
- 6. The date of the environmental compliance approval;
- 7. The name of the Director, and;
- 8. The municipality or municipalities within which the project is to be engaged in

And the Notice should be signed and dated by the appellant.

This Notice must be served upon:

The Secretary* **Environmental Review Tribunal** 655 Bay Street, Suite 1500 Toronto, Ontario M5G 1E5

AND

The Director appointed for the purposes of Part II.1 of the Environmental Protection Act Ministry of the Environment 2 St. Clair Avenue West, Floor 12A Toronto, Ontario M4V 1L5

* Further information on the Environmental Review Tribunal's requirements for an appeal can be obtained directly from the Tribunal at: Tel: (416) 212-6349, Fax: (416) 314-3717 or www.ert.gov.on.ca

The above noted activity is approved under s.20.3 of Part II.1 of the Environmental Protection Act.

DATED AT TORONTO this 11th day of October, 2013

THIS NOTICE WAS MAILED ON COnt (Signed)

Tesfaye Gebrezghi, P.Eng. Director appointed for the purposes of Part II.1 of the Environmental Protection Act

DG/

District Manager, MOE Ottawa c:

Dan Hagan, Greenview Environmental Management Limited 🗸



Ministry of the Environment Ministère de l'Environnement

AMENDED PROVISIONAL CERTIFICATE OF APPROVAL WASTE DISPOSAL SITE NUMBER A411901 Issue Date: July 16, 2008

The Corporation of the Township of Greater Madawaska 1101 Francis Street Post Office Box, No. 180 Greater Madawaska, Ontario K0J 1H0

Site Location: Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site 134 Flat Road Part Lot 4, Concession 14, former geographic Township of Brougham Township of Greater Madawaska, County of Renfrew

You have applied in accordance with Section 27 of the Environmental Protection Act for approval of:

the use and operation of a 38.25 hectare Waste Disposal Site consisting of a 1.2 hectare Landfill and a Transfer Station.

For the purpose of this Certificate of Approval and the terms and conditions specified below, the following definitions apply:

- (a) "Act" means the Environmental Protection Act, R.S.O. 1990, C.E-19, as amended;
- (b) "blue box waste" means municipal waste that consists solely of waste in one or more of the categories set out in Ontario Regulation 101/94, Schedules 1 and 2, as amended;
- (c) "bulky waste" means large items such as carpet, stumps, furniture, mattresses and other waste of a similar nature;
- (d) "Certificate" means this entire provisional Certificate of Approval document, issued in accordance with section 39 of the *Act*, and includes any schedules to it, the application and the supporting documentation listed in Schedule "A;
- (e) "construction and demolition waste" means waste produced from the construction, renovation or demolition of an industrial, commercial, institutional or residential building;
- (f) "Director" means any Ministry employee appointed in writing by the Minister pursuant to section 5 of the *Act* as a Director for the purposes of Part V of the *Act*;

- (g) "District Manager" means the District Manager of the local district office of the Ministry in which the *Site* is geographically located;
- (h) "landfill" means the 1.2 hectare area of the Site approved for final disposal of waste;
- (i) "leaf and yard waste" means waste consisting of natural Christmas trees and other plant materials but not tree limbs or other woody materials in excess of 7 centimetres in diameter;
- (j) "**limit of fill**" means the area in which waste is approved for final disposal according to this *Certificate*;
- (k) "Ministry" and "MOE" means the Ontario Ministry of the Environment;
- (1) "Ontario Regulation 189" means Ontario Regulation 189/94, Refrigerants, or as amended, made under the *Act*;
- (m) "Ontario Regulation 347" means Ontario Regulation 347, R.R.O. 1990, General Waste Management, made under the *Act*, as amended from time to time;
- (n) "Ontario Regulation 903" means Ontario Regulation 903 R.R.O. 1990, Wells, amended to Ontario Regulation 128/03, made under the *OWRA*;
- (o) "**Operator**" means any person, other than the *Owner's* employees, authorized by the *Owner* as having the charge, management or control of any aspect of the *Site*;
- (p) "organic waste" means waste from kitchens, restaurants, food processing operations, waste of vegetable and animal origin, packaging materials that have been in direct contact with and are contaminated by these wastes and waste of a similar nature and characteristics, including waste that is liable to become putrid, rotten or decayed;
- (q) "Owner" means any person that is responsible for the establishment or operation of the Site being approved by this Certificate, and includes the Township of Greater Madawaska, its successors and assigns;
- (r) "OWRA" means the Ontario Water Resources Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. O.40, as amended;
- (s) "PA" means the Pesticides Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. P-11, as amended from time to time;
- (t) "Provincial Officer" means any person designated in writing by the Minister as a provincial officer pursuant to section 5 of the OWRA or section 5 of the Act or section 17 of PA;

- (u) "**PWQO**" means the Provincial Water Quality Objectives included in the July 1994 publication entitled *Water Management Policies, Guidelines, Provincial Water Quality Objectives,* as amended from time to time;
- (v) "**RUP**" means the Reasonable Use Policy (Guideline B-7) of the Ministry of the Environment;
- (w) "Site" means the entire 38.25 hectare waste disposal site, including the 1.2 hectare landfill, buffer lands and transfer station located at 134 Flat Road, legally described as Part Lot 4, Concession 14, Township of Greater Madawaska, County of Renfrew, approved by this Certificate;
- (x) "transfer station" means the area of the *Site* approved for the temporary storage of waste destined for final disposal and/or recycling or other waste diversion facilities;
- (y) "waste electrical and electronic equipment" means devices listed in Schedules 1 through 7 of Ontario Regulation 393/04, Waste Electrical and Electronic Equipment made under the Waste Diversion Act 2002; and
- (z) "white goods which contain refrigerants" means white goods which contain, or may contain refrigerants, and which include, but is not restricted to, refrigerators, freezers and air-conditioning systems.

You are hereby notified that this approval is issued to you subject to the terms and conditions outlined below:

TERMS AND CONDITIONS

1.0 Revoke and Replace

1.1 This *Certificate* revokes all previously issued Provisional Certificates of Approval issued under Part V of the *Act* for this *Site*. The approval given herein, including the terms and conditions set out, replaces all previously issued approvals and related terms and conditions under Part V of the *Act* for this *Site*.

2.0 Compliance

- 2.1 The Owner shall ensure compliance with all the conditions of this Certificate and shall ensure that any person authorized to carry out work on or operate any aspect of the Site is notified of this Certificate and the conditions herein and shall take all reasonable measures to ensure any such person complies with the same.
- 2.2 Any person authorized to carry out work on or operate any aspect of the *Site* shall comply with the conditions of this *Certificate*.

3.0 In Accordance

3.1 Except as otherwise provided for in this *Certificate*, the *Site* shall be designed, developed, built, operated and maintained in accordance with the application for this *Certificate*, dated July 16, 2007, and the supporting documentation listed in Schedule "A".

4.0 Interpretation

- 4.1 Where there is a conflict between a provision of any document, including the application, referred to in this *Certificate*, and the conditions of this *Certificate*, the conditions in this *Certificate* shall take precedence.
- 4.2 Where there is a conflict between the application and a provision in any documents listed in Schedule "A", the application shall take precedence, unless it is clear that the purpose of the document was to amend the application and that the *Ministry* approved the amendment.
- 4.3 Where there is a conflict between any two documents listed in Schedule "A", other than the application, the document bearing the most recent date shall take precedence.
- 4.4 The conditions of this *Certificate* are severable. If any condition of this *Certificate*, or the application of any condition of this *Certificate* to any circumstance, is held invalid or unenforceable, the application of such condition to other circumstances and the remainder of this *Certificate* shall not be affected thereby.

5.0 Other Legal Obligations

- 5.1 The issuance of, and compliance with, this *Certificate* does not:
 - (a) relieve any person of any obligation to comply with any provision of any applicable statute, regulation or other legal requirement; or
 - (b) limit in any way the authority of the *Ministry* to require certain steps be taken or to require the *Owner* to furnish any further information related to compliance with this *Certificate.*
- 5.2 All wastes at the *Site* shall be managed and disposed in accordance with the *Act* and *Ontario Regulation 347*.
- 5.3 The *Owner* shall ensure that:
 - (a) all equipment discharging to air operating at the *Site* are approved under Section 9 of the *Act*; and
 - (b) all effluent is discharged in accordance with OWRA.

6.0 Adverse Effect

- 6.1 The *Owner* shall take steps to minimize and ameliorate any adverse effect on the natural environment or impairment of water quality resulting from the *Site*, including such accelerated or additional monitoring as may be necessary to determine the nature and extent of the effect or impairment.
- 6.2 Despite an *Owner*, *Operator* or any other person fulfilling any obligations imposed by this *Certificate*, the person remains responsible for any contravention of any other condition of this *Certificate* or any applicable statute, regulation, or other legal requirement resulting from any act or omission that caused the adverse effect to the natural environment or impairment of water quality.

7.0 Change of Owner

- 7.1 The Owner shall notify the Director, in writing, and forward a copy of the notification to the District Manager, within 30 days of the occurrence of any changes in the following information:
 - (a) the ownership of the *Site*;
 - (b) appointment of, or a change in, the Operator of the Site;
 - (c) the name or address of the Owner;
 - (d) the partners, where the Owner is or at any time becomes a partnership and a copy of the most recent declaration filed under the Business Names Act, R. S. O. 1990, c. B.17, shall be included in the notification.
- 7.2 No portion of this *Site* shall be transferred or encumbered prior to or after closing of the Site unless the *Director* is notified in advance and sufficient financial assurance is deposited with the *Ministry* to ensure that these conditions will be carried out.
- 7.3 In the event of any change in ownership of the works, other than change to a successor municipality, the *Owner* shall notify the successor of and provide the successor with a copy of this *Certificate*, and the *Owner* shall provide a copy of the notification to the *District Manager* and the *Director*.

8.0 Certificate of Requirement

- 8.1 Pursuant to Section 197 of the *Act*, no person having an interest in the *Site* shall deal in any way with the *Site* without first giving a copy of this *Certificate* to each person acquiring an interest in the *Site* as a result of the dealing.
- 8.2 Two copies of a completed Certificate of Requirement, containing a registerable description of the *Site*, shall be submitted to the *Director* for the *Director's* signature within 60 calendar days of the date of this *Certificate*.

8.3 The Certificate of Requirement shall be registered in the appropriate land registry office on title to the *Site* by the *Owner* within 10 calendar days of receiving the Certificate of Requirement signed by the *Director*, and a duplicate registered copy shall be submitted to the *Director*.

9.0 Inspections

- 9.1 No person shall hinder or obstruct a *Provincial Officer* from carrying out any and all inspections authorized by the *OWRA*, the *Act*, or the *PA*, of any place to which this *Certificate* relates, and without limiting the foregoing:
 - (a) to enter upon the premises where the approved works are located, or the location where the records required by the conditions of this *Certificate* are kept;
 - (b) to have access to, inspect, and copy any records required to be kept by the conditions of this *Certificate;*
 - (c) to inspect the *Site*, related equipment and appurtenances;
 - (d) to inspect the practices, procedures, or operations required by the conditions of this *Certificate;* and
 - (e) to sample and monitor for the purposes of assessing compliance with the terms and conditions of this *Certificate* or the *Act*, the *OWRA* or the *PA*.

10.0 Information and Record Retention

- 10.1 Any information requested, by the *Ministry*, concerning the *Site* and its operation under this *Certificate*, including but not limited to any records required to be kept by this *Certificate* shall be provided to the *Ministry*, upon request, in a timely manner.
- 10.2 The receipt of any information by the *Ministry* or the failure of the *Ministry* to prosecute any person or to require any person to take any action, under this *Certificate* or under any statute, regulation or other legal requirement, in relation to the information, shall not be construed as:
 - (a) an approval, waiver, or justification by the *Ministry* of any act or omission of any person that contravenes any term or condition of this *Certificate* or any statute, regulation or other legal requirement; or
 - (b) acceptance by the *Ministry* of the information's completeness or accuracy.
- 10.3 Any information relating to this *Certificate* and contained in *Ministry* files may be made available to the public in accordance with the provisions of the *Freedom of Information* and *Protection of Privacy Act*, R.S.O. 1990, C. F-31.
- 10.4 All records and monitoring data required by the conditions of this *Certificate* must be kept on the Owner's premises for a minimum period of five (5) years from the date of their creation.

11.0 Service Area

11.1 This Site is approved to receive waste generated in the Township of Greater Madawaska.

12.0 Hours of Operation

- 12.1 (a) The Owner shall set hours of operation any day of the week, during daylight hours.
 - (b) Hours of operation may be changed by the *Owner* at any time provided that users of the *Site* have been adequately notified of any change.

13.0 Security and Signage

- 13.1 The *Owner* shall ensure that access to the *Site* is restricted by fencing and/or natural features and that fencing and lockable gate are kept in a state of good repair.
- 13.2 (a) Access to the *landfill* shall be restrict to the *Owner* and *Owner* authorized commercial vehicles; and
 - (b) Access to the *transfer station* shall be permitted only under the supervision of a competent attendant.
 - (c) During non-operating hours, the *Site* shall be secured to prevent entry by unauthorized persons.
- 13.3 Scavenging or burning of waste at the Site are prohibited.
- 13.4 The *Owner* shall post a sign at the entrance gate of the *Site* with the following information:
 - (a) name of the Site and Owner;
 - (b) Certificate of Approval Number for the Site;
 - (c) days and hours of operation;
 - (d) allowable and prohibited wastes;
 - (e) contact telephone number(s) to reach the *Owner* on a 24 hour 7 day per week basis in the event of a complaint or emergency; and
 - (f) a warning against unauthorized access and against dumping outside the Site.

14.0 Inspections

- 14.1 The Owner shall ensure that the following inspection schedule is adhered to:
 - (a) on each operating day, an inspection of the *landfill* working face, *transfer station* storage areas, facilities, signage, fencing and gate;
 - (b) on a monthly basis, an inspection of the areas under final cover for erosion, inspection of the *landfill* for signs of leachate generation, assessment of road condition and property litter inspection; and
- (c) on an annual basis, an inspection of the monitoring wells and a field survey of the *limit of fill* area.
- 14.2 A record of the inspections shall kept in a daily log book that includes:
 - (a) the name and signature of person that conducted the inspection;
 - (b) the date and time of the inspection;
 - (c) the list of any deficiencies discovered;
 - (d) the recommendations for remedial action; and
 - (e) the date, time and description of actions taken.

15.0 Operations - Landfill

- 15.1 The *landfill* shall be developed and operated in seven (7) phases as described in Item 5 of Schedule "A".
- 15.2 The operational life of the *landfill* shall be limited to the time until final contours, as shown on Item 6 (new Figure 4) of Schedule "A", have been reached.
- 15.3 Waste shall be deposited in a manner that minimizes the exposure of the working face of the landfilling area and shall be compacted before cover material is applied.
- 15.4 The *Owner* shall mark the boundaries of the *limit of fill*, the current active cell and the working face, so as to be visible throughout the year, to ensure that waste is deposited in accordance with Item 5 of Schedule "A".
- 15.5 (a) The *landfill* shall be used for the final disposal of *construction and demolition waste* and *bulky waste*.
 - (b) Non-hazardous residential waste may be landfilled on an interim basis until such time as the *transfer station* is commissioned and as a contingency measure when waste can not be transferred off *Site* from the *transfer station*.
 - (c) No subject waste, as defined in *Ontario Regulation 347*, shall be disposed of at the *Site*.
- 15.6 The Owner may undertake size reduction, through grinding, shredding or equivalent, of construction and demolition waste and bulky waste.
- 15.7 (a) The Owner shall designate a 300 m² staging area, consisting of a 0.15 m thick pad of granular material, for stockpiling construction and demolition waste and bulky waste.
 - (b) The stockpile shall not exceed 200 m³ before undergoing size reduction or being landfilled as is.

- (c) Size reduction shall take place in the designated staging area.
- (d) All equipment used for size reduction activities shall be approved under Section 9 of the *Act*. The terms and conditions of the Section 9 approval shall govern the equipment's use at this *Site*.
- 15.8 Cover material shall be applied as follows:
 - (a) cover, consisting of a minimum 0.15 m of soil or alternate cover material, shall be applied on a weekly basis over the entire working face;
 - (b) intermediate cover, consisting of a minimum of 0.3 m of soil shall be applied in areas where landfilling has been temporarily discontinued for six (6) months or more; and
 - (c) final cover, consisting of a minimum of 0.6 m of soil and 0.15 m of topsoil suitable for maintaining vegetative growth, shall be placed in areas where landfilling has been completed to final contours.
- 15.9 Where existing cover material has eroded such that waste is exposed, the *Owner* shall replace the cover material during the next operating day.
- 15.10 The *Owner* shall apply final cover progressively, as weather conditions permit, as each part of the *limit of fill* reaches its final grades. Vegetation of completed final cover using drought-resistant, low-nutrient requirement grass and legume blends which regenerate annually shall occur within one month of its placement and final grading, or as soon as weather permits.
- 15.11 The following waste is approved for use as alternative daily cover material:
 - (a) *construction and demolition waste* consisting of size reduced concrete, brick, asphalt, gypsum board and porcelain/ceramics;
 - (b) wood, wood furniture, brush and lumber chips,
 - (c) leaf and yard waste mixed with sand/soil and compost;
 - (d) asphalt shingles;
 - (e) non-hazardous contaminated soil; and
 - (f) temporary or movable, low permeability, flexible membranes.
- 15.12 Notwithstanding Condition 15.11, alternative cover material shall be non-hazardous, according to *Ontario Regulation 347*, and shall perform at least as well as soil in relation to the following functions:
 - (a) control of blowing litter, odours, dust, landfill gas, gulls, vectors, vermin and fires;
 - (b) provision for an aesthetic condition of the *landfill* during the active life of the *landfill*;
 - (c) provision for vehicle access to the active tipping face; and
 - (d) compatibility with the design of the *landfill* for groundwater protection, leachate management and *landfill* gas management.

15.13 Use of any other waste or materials as alternative cover requires the *Owner* to submit an application, with supporting information and applicable fee, for a trial use or permanent use, to the *Director*, copied to the *District Manager*.

16.0 Operations - Transfer Station

- 16.1 The *transfer station* shall be developed and operated in accordance with Items 5 and 7 of Schedule "A".
- 16.2 (a) This *transfer station* is approved for the following waste management activities:
 - (i) temporary storage, compaction and transfer of non-hazardous residential waste; and
 - (ii) temporary storage and transfer of *blue box waste*, waste tires, waste electrical and electronic waste and *organic waste*.
 - (b) The transfer station is not approved for the dismantling of waste electrical and electronic equipment.
- 16.3 Only waste which has been inspected by a competent attendant shall be accepted from the public.
- 16.4 The Owner shall ensure that the final maximum capacities are not exceeded:

waste destined for final disposal	80 m [°]
organic waste	2 m^3
waste electrical and electronic equipment	40 m^3
blue box waste	325 m ³
tires	100 m ³
leaf and yard waste	50 m^3
construction and demolition waste	200 m^3
	waste destined for final disposal organic waste waste electrical and electronic equipment blue box waste tires leaf and yard waste construction and demolition waste

- 16.5 The *Owner* shall ensure that waste is stored in the following manner:
 - (a) waste destined for final disposal shall be collected in roll-off containers or equivalent and may be mechanically compacted;
 - (b) *blue box waste* shall be collected in roll off containers or equivalent except as noted elsewhere;
 - (c) scrap metal shall be collected in a segregated area with the stockpile not to exceed 25 m²;
 - (d) the tire stockpile shall be located a minimum of 15 metres from the property line, any buildings and the active landfilling area and an area around stockpiles of no less than 4.5 metres shall be kept free of vegetation;
 - (e) organic waste shall be collected in vector resistant containers;
 - (f) white goods which contain refrigerants shall be stored in a segregated area in an upright position and in such a manner to allow for the safe handling and removal from the *Site* for removal of refrigerants as required by *Ontario Regulation 189*;

- (g) waste electrical and electronic equipment shall be collected in roll-off containers or segregated area.
- 16.6 The Owner shall ensure that all waste storage areas and bins are clearly labelled.
- 16.7 The Owner shall ensure that white goods which contain refrigerants:
 - (a) have the refrigerant removed by a licensed technician, in accordance with *Ontario Regulation 189*, prior to shipment off *Site*; or
 - (b) are shipped off *Site* only to facilities where the refrigerants can removed by a licensed technician in accordance with *Ontario Regulation 189*; and
 - (c) a detailed log of all *white goods which contain refrigerants* received is maintained which includes the following information:
 - (i) date of the record;
 - (ii) types, quantities and source of white goods which contain refrigerants received;
 - (iii) destination of the white goods; or
 - (iv) the details on removal of refrigerants, if conducted on *Site*, and the quantities and destination of the refrigerants transferred from the *Site*.

17.0 Nuisance Control

- 17.1 The *Site* shall be operated and maintained such that the vermin, vectors, dust, litter, odour, noise and traffic do not create a nuisance.
- 17.2 If at any time vectors or vermin become a nuisance, the *Owner* shall hire a qualified, licensed pest control professional to design and implement a pest control plan for the *Site*. The pest control plan shall then remain in place until the *Site* has been closed.

18.0 Emergency Response and Contingency Planning

- 18.1 All spills, as defined in the *Act*, shall be immediately reported to the *Ministry's* Spill Action Centre at 1-800-268-6060 and shall be recorded in a written log or an electronic file format, as to the nature of the spill or upset, and action taken for clean-up, correction and prevention of future occurrences.
- 18.2 The *Owner* shall ensure that *Site* personnel have access to a reliable means of summoning assistance (e.g. telephone, cellular phone, mobile radio) at all times.
- 18.3 (a) The *Owner* shall have in place an emergency response plan which shall include, but is not limited to:
 - (i) emergency response procedures to be undertaken in the event of a spill, fire, workplace accident, medical emergency or other emergency;
 - (ii) a list of equipment available for emergency response including names and telephone numbers of companies providing emergency response services; and

- (iii) a notification protocol with names and telephone numbers of persons to be contacted, including the Owner's personnel, the Ministry Spills Action Centre and District Office, the local fire department, the local Medical Officer of Health and the Ontario Ministry of Labour.
- (b) A copy of the emergency response plan shall be kept in a location accessible to staff at all times.
- (c) The *Owner* shall ensure that the equipment outlined in the emergency response plan are in a good state of repair, fully operational and immediately available;
- (d) The *Owner* shall ensure that all *Site* attendant(s) are fully trained in the emergency response equipment's use and in the procedures to be employed in the event of an emergency.
- (e) The Owner shall review the emergency response plan on an annual basis as a minimum. In particular, the Owner shall ensure that the notification information required by Condition 18.3 (a) (iii) is up-to-date.
- 18.4 The *Owner* shall have in place a written contingency plan which details how waste will be stored or disposed of in the event that it cannot be removed from the *Site* in the usual manner. If implementation of the contingency plan is necessary, it shall be effected through written concurrence from the *District Manager*.
- 18.5 The *Owner* shall have in place a contingency plan which specifies, as a minimum, the procedures to be followed in the event of a labour disruption, transportation disruption, inability of receiving sites to accept waste or other business disruption to the operation.

19.0 Monitoring

- 19.1 (a) Groundwater and surface water monitoring shall be conducted by the *Owner* in accordance with Table 4 of Item 8, Schedule "A";
 - (b) Within twelve (12) months of the issuance of this Certificate, the Owner shall review the monitoring program results against the RUP and identify any potential RUP issues. The Owner shall implement any actions required to address the RUP issues within 24 months of the issuance of this Certificate.
- 19.2 Any changes to the monitoring program shall be approved, in writing, by the *District Manager* prior to implementing the changes.
- 19.3 The Owner shall ensure that:
 - (a) all monitoring wells which form part of any monitoring program shall be protected from damage; and

- (b) any groundwater monitoring wells that are damaged shall be repaired or replaced forthwith or properly abandoned in accordance with *Ontario Regulation 903*; and
- (c) any monitoring wells which are no longer required for monitoring, or which need to be closed due to operational changes on the *Site*, shall be property abandoned in accordance with *Ontario Regulation 903*.

20.0 Training

- 20.1 All attendants shall be trained with respect to the following areas:
 - (a) terms, conditions and operating requirements of this Certificate;
 - (b) operation and management of the Site;
 - (c) an outline of the responsibilities of the Site personnel;
 - (d) personnel training protocols;
 - (e) any environmental concerns pertaining to the wastes to be accepted at the Site;
 - (f) proper receiving and recording procedures;
 - (g) proper storage, handling, sorting and shipping procedures;
 - (h) occupational health and safety concerns pertaining to the wastes received;
 - (i) relevant waste management legislation; and
 - (j) operation of equipment and procedures to be followed in the event of an emergency situation.

21.0 Record Keeping

- 21.1 The *Owner* shall maintain, at the *Site*, a log book which records on each day of operation, the following information:
 - (a) date of record;
 - (b) quantities and destination of waste shipped from the *transfer station* either for final disposal or for recycling; and
 - (c) any operational difficulties, complaints and/or emergency situations experienced.
- 21.2 On a regular basis, the *Owner* shall undertake a field survey of the *landfill* to determine the amount of capacity utilized and estimate the amount of capacity remaining.

22.0 Annual Report

- 22.1 On March 31st of each year, the *Owner* shall submit to the *District Manager* an annual report covering the preceding calendar year. The annual report shall include the following:
 - (a) a monthly summary of waste destined for final disposal, *blue box waste*, waste electrical and electronic equipment, tires and *organic waste* transferred from the *transfer station*;
 - (b) calculations of the volume of waste, daily and intermediate cover, and final cover deposited or placed at in the *landfill* during the reporting period and a calculation of the total volume of *landfill* capacity used during the reporting period and including a calculation of the remaining capacity of the *landfill*;

- (c) a summary of *landfill* operations and development;
- (d) a summary of operational problems experienced during operation of the *transfer* station or the *landfill*, and their resolution;
- (e) recommendations respecting any proposed changes in the operations of the Site;
- (f) a summary of inspections;
- (g) site plans showing the existing contours of the *Site*; areas of landfilling operation during the reporting period; areas of intended operation during the next reporting period; areas of excavation during the reporting period; the progress of final cover, vegetative cover, and any intermediate cover application; previously existing facilities; facilities installed during the reporting period; and site preparations and facilities planned for installation during the next reporting period;
- (h) tables outlining monitoring locations, analytical parameters sampled, and frequency of sampling;
- (i) an assessment of surface water quality in relation to the trigger concentrations (if and when surface water trigger mechanisms are in place) and the *PWQO*;
- (j) an assessment of groundwater quality in relation to the trigger concentrations (if and when groundwater trigger mechanisms are in place) and the *RUP*;
- (k) conclusions of the monitoring data, a review of the adequacy of monitoring programs and recommendations for any changes to monitoring programs that may be necessary;
- (1) a report on the status of all monitoring wells and a statement as to compliance with Ontario Regulation 903;
- (1) a summary of complaints made regarding *Site* operations, maintenance and monitoring; and
- (m) a statement as to compliance with all Conditions of this *Certificate* and with the inspections, monitoring and reporting requirements of the Conditions herein.
- 22.2 In the event that the results of the monitoring program are such that an off-site exceedance of the *RUP* or *PWQO* can reasonably be predicted to occur, the *Owner* shall include in the annual report:
 - (a) the details of any such predicted off-site exceedance, including the assumptions upon which the prediction is based;
 - (b) a discussion of the modifications, if any, to intended operations which would be necessary to prevent the predicted off-site exceedance;
 - (c) a discussion of the modifications, if any, which should be made to the monitoring program; and
 - (d) a discussion of other mitigation measures or contingency actions, if any, which may be necessary to prevent off-site impacts.

23.0 Closure

- 23.1 Two (2) years prior to *landfill* closure, the *Owner* shall submit for the *Director's* approval a detailed End Use and Closure Plan. This Plan shall include, but not limited to, the following subjects:
 - (a) proposed end use;

- (b) final closure schedule;
- (c) final contour configuration;
- (d) landscaping;
- (e) facilities (if any);
- (f) rodent control;
- (g) groundwater, surface water and landfill gas control; and
- (h) post-closure inspection, maintenance, monitoring and reporting.

23.2

- Four (4) months prior to the permanent closure of the transfer station, the Owner (a) shall submit to the District Manager written notification of the decision to cease activities and a closure plan outlining the activities to be taken to systematically decommission the transfer station including a schedule for the implementation of the activities; and
 - (b) Within ten (10) days after closure of the transfer station, the Owner shall notify the Director and District Manager, in writing, that the transfer station is closed and that the closure plan required by Condition 23.2 (a) has been fully implemented.

SCHEDULE "A"

The following Schedule "A" forms part of Certificate of Approval No. A411901.

- 1. Application for a Provisional Certificate of Approval for a Waste Disposal Site signed by Mr. J.A. Baird, CAO/Clerk, Township of Greater Madawaska, dated July 16, 2007.
- 2. Design and Operations Plan, Municipal Solid Waste Transfer Station, Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site, prepared for the Corporation of the Township of Greater Madawaska, prepared by Greenview Environmental Management, dated July 23, 2007.
- Letter dated August 10, 1007, from Tyler H. Peters, Greenview Environmental Management, to Environmental Assessment and Approvals Branch, re: justification for maximum storage quantities, vehicle routes, details of staff training and description of contingency plans.
- 4. Letter dated October 25, 2007, from Tyler H. Peters, Greenview Environmental Management, to Environmental Assessment and Approvals Branch re: clarification of supporting documentation.
- 5. Letter dated April 24, 2008, from Tyler H. Peters, Greenview Environmental Management, to Environmental Assessment and Approvals Branch re: revised transfer station location and configuration.
- 6. Figure 4. Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site, Landfill Area Development Plan, prepared by Greenview Environmental Management, Revision 1, dated April 2008.
- 7. Figure 5. Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site, Proposed Transfer Station Layout, prepared by Greenview Environmental Management, Revision 1, dated April 2008.
- 8. 2006 Annual Report, Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site, prepared for the Corporation of the Township of Greater Madawaska, prepared by Golder Associates, dated March 2007.

The reasons for the imposition of these terms and conditions are as follows:

The reason for Condition 1.1 is to clarify that the previously issued Certificate of Approval No. A411901 issued on March 28, 1980 is no longer in effect and has been replaced and superseded by the Terms and Conditions stated in this Certificate.

The reason for Conditions 2.1, 2.2, 5.1, 5.2, 5.3, 6.1, 6.2, 10.1, 10.2 and 10.3 is to clarify the legal rights and responsibilities of the Owner under this Certificate.

The reason for Conditions 3.1, 15.1, 15.2, 16.1, 22.1 and 22.2 is to ensure that the Site is designed, operated, monitored and maintained in accordance with the application and supporting documentation submitted by the Owner, and not in a manner which the Director has not been asked to consider.

The reason for Conditions 4.1, 4.2, 4.3 and 4.4 is to clarify how to interpret this Certificate in relation to the application and supporting documentation submitted by the Owner.

The reason for Conditions 7.1 are to ensure that the Site is operated under the corporate name which appears on the application form submitted for this approval.

The reason for Condition 7.2 is to restrict potential transfer or encumbrance of the Site without the approval of the Director. Transfer or encumbrance can be made only on the basis that it will not endanger compliance with this Certificate.

The reason for Condition 7.3 is to ensure that subsequent owners of the Site are informed of the terms and conditions of this Certificate. This also applies to all supporting documentation listed in Schedule "A".

Conditions 8.1, 8.2 and 8.3 are included, pursuant to subsection 197(1) of the Act, to provide that any persons having an interest in the Site are aware that the land has been approved and used for the purposes of waste disposal.

The reason for Condition 9.1 is to ensure that appropriate Ministry staff have ready access to the Site for inspection of facilities, equipment, practices and operations required by the conditions in this Certificate. This condition is supplementary to the powers of entry afforded a Provincial Officer pursuant to the Act and OWRA.

The reason for Condition 10.4 is to ensure the availability of records and drawings for inspection and information purposes.

The reason for Condition 11.1 is to specify the approved areas from which waste may be accepted at the Site.

The reasons for Condition 12.1 is to specify the hours of operation for the Site and provide a mechanism for amendment of the hours of operation, as required.

The reason for Condition 13.1 is to minimize the risk of unauthorized entry to the Site.

The reason for Condition 13.2 is to ensure that the Site is utilized, particularly by members of the public, on under the supervision of a competent attendant able to ensure compliance with this Certificate.

The reason for Condition 13.3 is that open burning of waste is unacceptable because of concerns with air emissions, smoke and other nuisance affects, and the potential fire hazard. Condition 13.3 is also necessary to protect the public from the potential risks inherent with uncontrolled scavenging.

The reason for Condition 13.4 is to ensure that emergency responders and the public have the necessary contact information in the event of an emergency or complaint.

The reason for Conditions 14.1 and 14.2 is to ensure that all equipment and facilities are maintained in good working order.

The reason for Conditions 15.3, 15.4, 15.6, 15.7, 17.1 and 17.2 is to ensure that the Site is operated in a manner which does not result in a nuisance or a hazard to the health and safety of the environment or people.

The reason for Conditions 15.5, 16.2 and 16.4 is to ensure that the types and quantities of waste received at the Site are in accordance with that approved under this Certificate.

The reasons for Conditions 15.8, 15.9 and 15.10 is to ensure that daily and intermediate cover is used to control potential nuisance effects, to facilitate vehicle access on the landfill, and to ensure an acceptable site appearance is maintained. The proper closure of a landfill requires the application of a final cover which is aesthetically pleasing, controls infiltration, and is suitable for the end use planned for the landfill.

The reasons for Conditions 15.11, 15.12 and 15.13 is to specify the waste approved for use as alternate cover material and for the approval requirements to be followed to designate other waste for use of alternative cover material at the Site.

The reason for Condition 16.3 is to ensure that only waste approved under this Certificate are received at the Site.

Conditions 16.5, 16.6 and 16.7 are included to ensure that waste storage is done in a manner which does not result in a nuisance or a hazard to the health and safety of the environment or people.

The reason for Condition 18.1 is to ensure that the Owner immediately notifies the Ministry of any spills as required in Part X of the Act so that appropriate spills response can be determined.

The reason for Conditions 18.2 and 18.3 is to ensure that the Owner is prepared and properly equipment to take action in the event of a spill, fire or other emergency.

The reason for Conditions 18.4 and 18.5 is to ensure that the Owner follows a plan with an organized set of procedures for identifying and responding to unexpected but possible problems at the Site.

The reason for Conditions 19.1 and 19.2 is to demonstrate that the landfill is performing as designed and the impacts on the natural environment are acceptable. Regular monitoring allows for the analysis of trends over time and ensures that there is an early warning of potential problems so that any necessary remedial action can be taken.

The reason for Condition 19.3 is to prevent contamination of the groundwater from surface contaminants.

The reason for Condition 20.1 is to ensure that the Site is supervised by properly trained staff.

The reason for Conditions 21.1 and 21.2 is to ensure that detailed records of Site inspections are recorded and maintained for inspection and information purposes.

The reason for Condition 23.1 and 23.2 is to ensure that the Site is closed in accordance with MOE standards and to protect the health and safety of the environment.

This Provisional Certificate of Approval revokes and replaces Certificate(s) of Approval No. A411901 issued on March 28, 1980.

In accordance with Section 139 of the <u>Environmental Protection Act</u>, R.S.O. 1990, Chapter E-19, as amended, you may by written notice served upon me and the Environmental Review Tribunal within 15 days after receipt of this Notice, require a hearing by the Tribunal. Section 142 of the <u>Environmental Protection</u> Act, provides that the Notice requiring the hearing shall state:

1. The portions of the approval or each term or condition in the approval in respect of which the hearing is required, and;

2. The grounds on which you intend to rely at the hearing in relation to eachportion appealed.

The Notice should also include:

- 3. The name of the appellant;
- 4. The address of the appellant;
- 5. The Certificate of Approval number;
- 6. The date of the Certificate of Approval;
- The name of the Director;
- -3. The municipality within which the waste disposal site is located;

And the Notice should be signed and dated by the appellant.

This Notice must be served upon:

The Secretary* Environmental Review Tribunal 655 Bay Street, 15th Floor Toronto, Ontario MSG 1E5

<u>AND</u>

The Director Section 39, Environmental Protection Act Ministry of the Environment 2 St. Clair Avenue West, Floor 12A Toronto, Ontario M4V 1L5

* Further information on the Environmental Review Tribunal's requirements for an appeal can be obtained directly from the Tribunal at: Tel: (416) 314-4600, Fax: (416) 314-4506 or www.ert.gov.on.ca

The above noted waste disposal site is approved under Section 39 of the Environmental Protection Act.

DATED AT TORONTO this 16th day of July, 2008

Tesfaye Gebrezghi, P.Eng. Director Section 39, *Environmental Protection Act*

VP/

c: District Manager, MOE Ottawa

Page 20 - NUMBER A411901

Ontario

Ministry of the Environment

Provisional Certificate No.A 411901

PROVISIONAL CERTIFICATE OF APPROVAL WASTE DISPOSAL SITE

Under The Environmental Protection Act, 1971 and the regulations and subject to the limitations thereof, this Provisional Cartificate of Approval is issued to:

Township of Brougham Dacre, Ontario KOJ 1NO

for the use and operation of a 1.2 hectare dump site

all in accordance with the following plans and specifications:

Located: Lot 4, Concession XIY Township of Brougham County of Renfrew

which includes the use of the size only for the dispose) of the following categories of waste (NOTE: Use of the size for additional categories of westes requires a new application and amendments to the Provisional Certificate of Approval) domestic and 10% other, limited to scrap metal.

and subject to the following conditions:

1. No operation shall be carried out at the site after sixty days from this condition becoming enforceable unless this Certificate including the reasons for this condition has been registered by the applicant as an instrument in the appropriate Land Registry Office against title to the site and a duplicate registered copy thereof has been returned by the applicant to the Director.

Dated this 28th day of March

LRO # 49 Certificate

c

The applicant(s) hereby applies to the Land Registrar.

yyyy mm dd Page 1 of 1

Properties	
PIN	57385 - 0091 LT
Description	PT LTS 4 & 5, CON 14, BROUGHAM, AS IN R402583 & R121176 ; BAGOT BLYTHFLD BROUGHAM
Address	GRIFFITH

Party From(s)

Name	THE CORPORATIO OF THE TOWNSHIP OF GREATER MADAWASKA
Address for Service	1101 Francis Street, P. O. Box 180 Calabogie, Ontario K0J 1H0

I, Peter Emond, Mayhor and Allison Holtzhauer, CEO/Clerk/Treasurer, have the authority to bind the corporation.

This document is not authorized under Power of Attorney by this party.

Party To(s)			Capacity	Ş	Share
Name	THE MINISTRY OF THE E	NVIRONMENT	<u> </u>		
Address for Service	Director, Environmental Pro 2 St. Clair Avenue West, 12 Toronto, Ontario. M4V 1L5	otection Act 2A			
Statements					
Schedule: See Sch	edules				
Signed By					
Stephen Arthur Rite	chie	92 Centrepointe Drive Nepean K1V 9K4	acting for Party From(s)	Signed	2013 05 0
Tel 613-224-	6674				
Fax 613-729-	9105				
I have the authority	to sign and register the docume	ent on behalf of the Party From(s).			
Submitted By					
STEPHEN A. RITC	HIE	92 Centrepointe Drive Nepean K1V 9K4		······	2013 05 18
Tel 613-224-6	6674				
Fax 613-729-9	9105				
Fees/Taxes/Pay	rment				

File Number	
Total Paid	\$60.00
Statutory Registration Fee	\$60.00

Party From Client File Number :

MADAWASKA 3407

SCHEDULE "A"

CERTIFICATE OF REQUIREMENT

s. 197(2) of the Environmental Protection Act]

This is to certify that pursuant to an AMENDMENT TO PROVISIONAL CERTIFICATE OF APPROVAL for a WASTE DISPOSAL SITE, NUMBER A411901 issued by TESFAYE GEBREZGHI, DIRECTOR, dated July 16, 2008 with respect to:

PIN. No. 57385-0091 Pt Lts 4 & 5, Con 14. Brougham, as I R402583 & R121176; Bagot Blythfld Brougham; Griffith

The following person(s):

The Corporation of the Township of Greater Madawaska

and any other persons having an interest in:

PIN. No. 57385-0091 Pt Lts 4 & 5, Con 14. Brougham, as I R402583 & R121176; Bagot Blythfld Brougham; Griffith

are required, before dealing with the land in any way, to give a copy of the Amendment to Provisional Certificate of Waste Disposal Site, No. A411901, including any amendments that may be made thereto to every person who will acquire an interest in the land as a result of the dealing. Under subsection 197(3) of the *Environmental Protection Act*, this requirement applies to each person who, subsequent to the registration of this certificate, acquires an interest in the land.

Appendix B







PO Box 325 • Unit 2 • 2085 Whittington Drive

Peterborough • Ontario • K9J 6X4

Project No.: 10392-021

Location: See Figure

Project Name: Mount St. Patrick

Log of Borehole: MW06-2 UTM: 18 T 351292 5021678

Client: Twp of Greater Madawaska Logged By: C. Wolf

Project Manager: C. Wolf

SUBSURFACE PROFILE SAMPLE % Recovery Well Instatllation Remarks Description Number Symbol Ξ Depth Type SPT • 3 ft_m__2 -6 111 -2 Ground Surface - 0 Well equipped with lockable steel casing. Bentonite Hole Plug 2 Sand, some Clay Medium brown, fine grained 1 SS 20 52 Sand, some Clay, Saturated, Filter Sand Spoon wet 4 Sand Coarse grained Sand, some large Well Screen: 1.52m x 0.05m 2 SS 20 36 boulders Sand, some Silt 2 Coarse grained Sand, some Silt 3 SS 20 44 and Gravel Auger Refusal at 2.44m End of Borehole 12 Drilled By: George Downing Estate Drilling Input By: CMW, snr Drill Method: CME Hollow Stem Checked By: CMW Drill Date: October 13/06 Sheet: 1 of 1



Cambium Environmental Inc. PO Box 325 • Unit 2 • 2085 Whittington Drive Peterborough • Ontarlo • K9J 6X4 Project No.: 10392-021

Project Name: Mount St. Patrick

Client: Twp of Greater Madawaska

Location: See Figure

Log of Borehole: MW06–3 UTM: 18 T 351263 5021744

Logged By: C. Wolf

Project Manager: C. Wolf

SUBSURFACE PROFILE		SAM	PLE			
Description A Description	Number	Type	% Recovery	SPT (n)	Well Instatllation	Remarks
ft m-2 -2 -4 -4 -2 -2 0 0 2 -2 2 -2 2 -2 2 -2 -4 -2 2 -2 2 -2 -4 -2 -4 -2 -2 -2 -4 -2	1	55	20	2		Well equipped with lockable steel casing. Bentonite Hole Plug Native Fill Bentonite Hole Plug Filter Sand Well Screen: 1.52m x 0.05m Driller recommended installing well here, otherwise the hole would jam (no water source near by)
Drilled By: George Downing Estate Dri	ling					Input By: CMW, snr
Drill Method: CME Hollow Stem						Checked By: CMW
Drill Date: October 13/06					<u></u>	Sneet: 1 of 1



Cambium Environmental Inc. PO Box 325 • Unit 2 • 2085 Whittington Drive Peterborough • Ontario • K9J 6X4

Project No.: 10392-021

Project Name: Mount St. Patrick

Client: Twp of Greater Madawaska

Location: See Figure

Logged By: C. Wolf

Project Manager: C. Wolf

Log of Borehole: MW06-4

UTM: 18 T 351208 5021681

	SUBS	URFACE PROFILE		SAM	PLE			
Depth	Symbol	Description	Number	Type	% Recovery	SPT (n)	Well Instatliation	Remarks
$ \begin{array}{c} {}^{\mathrm{ff}}_{\mathrm{ff}} - 2 \\ -6 \\ -4 \\ -2 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 4 \\ 6 \\ 8 \\ 10 \\ 12 \\ 14 \\ 16 \\ 18 \\ 20 \\ 22 \\ 24 \\ 26 \\ 22 \\ 24 \\ 26 \\ 22 \\ 24 \\ 26 \\ 22 \\ 24 \\ 26 \\ 22 \\ 24 \\ 26 \\ 26$		Ground Surface Sand Dark brown, fine grained Sand Sand, some Gravel Brown, coarse grained Sand, some Gravei Sand Medium brown, medium grained Sand, Saturated, Spoon wet Sand Medium brown, fine grained Sand, very Saturated Auger Refusal at 4.21m End of Borehole	1 2 3 4 5 6	SS SS SS SS SS SS SS SS SS	20 30 30 30	5 .11 8 32 74		Well equipped with lockable steel casing. Bentonite Hole Plug Native Fill Bentonite Hole Plug Filter Sand Well Screen: 1.52m x 0.05m (74 blows for 0.25m)
Drii	lled By	Ceorge Downing Estate Drill	ling				• •	Input By: CMW, snr
Drí	ll Meth	CME Hollow Stem			•			Checked By: CMW
Dri	II Date	October 13/06					•	Sheet: 1 of 1

eenview H Cleak Aver croft, Ontz 513) 332-0 513) 332-1 solutions@	ENVIRON Environmental nue, P.O. Box 100 ario K0L 1C0 057 767 greenview-enviro	Mental MANAGEMENT	Project: Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site Client: Township of Greater Madawaska Location: See Site Plan									
	SUBS	URFACE STRATA PROFILE		· ·	SA	MPLE						
epth	Symbol	Description	No.	Туре	% R	SPT N-Value 0 15 30 45 60	Well Completion Details	Comments				
	3							Stick-up = 0.77				
		Ground Surface Medium Sand Dark brown, medium sand, dry, loosely compacted.	1.	AS	50			Silica Sand				
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		·	2	SS	30			Bentonite Chip				
		Fine to Medium Sand Light brown, fine to medium sand, saturated at 3.66 m, compacted.	3	SS	40			· .				
			4	SS	50							
			5	SS	50			Silica Sand				
			6	SS	45		¥.	Water level AL 18, 2008 = 4.3				
5		-	7	SS	25			Well screen = m x 0.05 m				
		Fine to Medium Sand Light brown, fine to medium sand with small cobble, wet, compacted.	8	SS	30							
		End of Borehole	-									

Drill Date: August 18, 2008

Sheet: 1 of 1

Greenview E 69 Cleak Avem Bancrott, Onta t: (613) 332-07 f: (613) 332-17 e: solutions@c	G PC ENVIRON invironmental I ue, P.O. Box 100 ito KOL 1CO IS7 for for preenview-environ	BEAD STATE OF STATE 		Projec Projec Client Locati	t No.: t: Mou : Towr ion: M	Borehole 102.09.015 unt St. Patrick Waste D nship of Greater Madaw ount St. Patrick, ON	Log: MW09-5 Disposal Site Vaska	
	SUBS	URFACE STRATA PROFILE		-	SA	MPLE		
Depth	Symbol	Description	No.	Туре	% R	SPT N-Value	Borehole Details	Comments
						0 15 30 45 60		
ft m -4 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -		Ground Surface Organic Matter / Peat Dark brown-black, organic peat, loosely compacted, wet Unknown No description available						Stick Up = 1.13 m Concrete Static Water Level June 23, 2009 = 0.21 m Native Fill Well Screen = 1.07 m x 0.05 m
<u>ь</u> -		<u> </u>	<u> </u>					
Drille	e d By: Gre	enview Environmental Management Limited	1				Logged By: [Dan Hagan, B.Sc.
Drill	Method: [Drive Point					Checked By:	Tyler Peters, P. Eng.
Drill	Date: June	e 23, 2009	· · ·	· ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Sheet: 1 of 1	

		Projec	t No.:	10	Borehole 2.09.015	Log: MW09-6					
Greenview Environmental Management Limited	Project: Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site										
Bancroft, Ortano KOL 1C0 t: (613) 332-0057 f: (613) 332-1767		Client	: Towr	nshi	p of Greater Madaw	raska					
e: solutions@greenview-environmental.ca		Locat	ion: M	our	nt. St. Patrick, ON						
SUBSURFACE STRATA PROFILE			SA	MP	LE	,					
Depth Symbol Description	No.	Туре	Гуре % R		e % R SPT N-Value		SPT N-Value	Borehole Details	Comments		
				0	15 30 45 60	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
-3 -3 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1							Stick Up = 1.32 m Static Water Level June 23, 2009 = 0.02 m Concrete Native Fill Well Screen = 1.07 m x 0.05 m				
- End of Borehole	-					V					
Drilled By: Greenview Environmental Management Limite	d					Logged By:	Dan Hagan, B.Sc.				
Drill Method: Drive Point						Checked By	: Tyler Peters, P. Eng.				
Drill Date: June 23, 2009						Sheet: 1 of 1					

	nship of Greater Mad	awaska	PROJECT NAME Mount St. Patrick	Waste Disposal Site
PROJECT NUI	MBER 102.14.015		PROJECT LOCATION Mount St. Pa	trick, Ontario
DATE STARTE	ED _7/3/14	COMPLETED7/3/14	GROUND ELEVATION 92 m	HOLE SIZE 0.2 m
	NTRACTOR GEML		GROUND WATER LEVELS:	
	THOD Hand Auger			
	DMH		$\mathbf{V} \text{ 1hrs AFTER DRILLING } 0.12 \text{ m/l}$	Elev 91 89 m
DEPTH (m) SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION	WELL DIAGRAM Stickup = 1.12 m
	<u>v v</u> v Orga	anics (peat), dark brown, wet		
0.5				Silica Sand Well Screen (0.96 m)

- -

- -- - - - -

	G			vie							WELI	PAGE 1 OF
			f Greet		Vacko					nt Qt Da	trick Masta F	Disposal Sito
PROJE			102.14	.015	Vaska				PROJECT LOCATION	Mount S	t. Patrick. On	ntario
DATE	STARTE	D 7/3	3/14		СОМ	PLETED	7/3/14		GROUND ELEVATION 89	n	HOLE	SIZE 0.2 m
DRILLI	NG COI	NTRAC	TOR _	GEML	-				GROUND WATER LEVELS:			
DRILLI	NG ME	THOD	Hand A	Auger					AT TIME OF DRILLING	G		
LOGGE	ED BY	DMH			CHE	KED BY	THP			i		
NOTES	.	1							⊥	G <u>0.22</u>	m / Elev 88.	78 m
DEPTH (m)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	GRAPHIC LOG					MATEF	RIAL DES	CRIPTION			WELL DIAGRAM Stickup = 0.9 m
			⊻ 0.30	Crgani Sand,	grey, fin	e to medi	ium graine	ed, wet				Concrete
		<u> </u>	1.07				Bc	ottom of ho	ole at 1.07 m.		87.93	3

ROJECT NUN	nship of Greater Mada	waska	PROJECT NAME Mount St. Pa	atrick Waste Disposal Site
ATE STARTE RILLING COM RILLING MET OGGED BY OTES <u>New</u>	ID2.21.015 5/6/21 NTRACTOR _GEML THOD _Auger (0.20 m DMH, P.Geo. background well	COMPLETED <u>5/6/21</u>	GROUND ELEVATION <u>134 Fla</u> GROUND ELEVATION <u>0 m</u> GROUND WATER LEVELS: AT TIME OF DRILLING AT END OF DRILLING ¥ 2hrs AFTER DRILLING <u>0.1</u>	<u>t Road, Mount St. Patrick, Ontario</u> HOLE SIZE _ 50.8mm (PVC) 7 m / Elev -0.17 m
(m) SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL D	ESCRIPTION	WELL DIAGRAM Stickup: 0.68 m
) <u>.5</u>	$ \begin{array}{c} \underline{\circ} \underline{\circ} \underline{\circ} \\ \underline{\circ} \\ \underline{\circ} \underline{\circ} \\ \underline{\circ} \\ \underline{\circ} \underline{\circ} \\ \underline{\bullet} \\ \bullet$	n, fine grained sand with silt, wet		-0.30 -0.30 Silica Sand Well Screen (1.0 m)

Appendix C



From:	Dan Hagan (Greenview)
To:	Ponalo, Thandeka (MECP)
Cc:	Emily Tieu (MOECC); Leonard Emon (TGM); Tyler Peters (GEML); Solutions - Greenview
Subject:	Request for Virtual MECP Pre-Submission ECA Meeting - Revised Design and Operations Plan - Mount St. Patrick WDS (102.21.015)
Date:	December 1, 2021 4:07:35 PM
Attachments:	102.21.015 - TGM - MSP WDS - Revised DOP - DRAFT FOR MECP PRE-SUBMISSION REVIEW (FRONT END) -
	Dec01-21.pdf
	image001.png
	102.21.015 - TGM - MSP WDS - Revised DOP - DRAFT FOR MECP PRE-SUBMISSION REVIEW (Tables & Figures) -
	Dec01-21.pdf
	102.21.015 - TGM - MSP WDS - Revised DOP - DRAFT FOR MECP PRE-SUBMISSION REVIEW (Appendices) -
	Dec01-21.pdf
Importance:	High

Good afternoon, Ms. Ponalo -

On behalf of the Township of Greater Madawaska (Township), Greenview Environmental Management Limited (Greenview) is reaching out to you and the Ontario Ministry of the Environment, Conservation, and Parks (MECP) Ottawa District Office to request a *Virtual Pre-Submission ECA Meeting* related to a proposed Environmental Compliance Approval (ECA) Application for the Township's Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site (WDS; A411901).

Copies of the DRAFT FOR MECP PRE-SUBMISSION REVIEW version of the *Revised Design and Operations Plan* for the Mount St. Patrick WDS are attached for MECP Ottawa District Office and Technical Support Services review prior to the requested Pre-Submission Meeting.

As part of the attached DRAFT FOR MECP PRE-SUBMISSION REVIEW *Revised Design and Operations Plan*, the Township has proposed the following:

- Revised locations for stockpile/staging areas for waste and recyclables (Figure 6; attached).
- Increase of approved stockpile volume for scrap metal and white goods from 60 cubic metres (m³) to 120 m³.
- Increase of approved stockpile volume for unprocessed Construction and Demolition (C&D) and Bulky Waste from 200 m³ to 2,000 m³, prior to processing and landfilling at the site.
- Increase of approved stockpile volume for Clean Wood, Brush, and Leaf and Yard (L&Y) waste from 200 m³ to 1,000 m³, prior to processing and landfilling at the site.
- Initiation of diversion operations at the Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site transfer station of single-use and rechargeable batteries, refillable propane tanks (i.e. BBQ tanks), non-refillable propane cylinders (i.e. Coleman-brand cylinders), compact fluorescent light bulbs (CFLs), and automotive plastics (i.e. empty oil and antifreeze containers).

No changes to the approved wastes for disposal, or to the approved Environmental Monitoring Program (groundwater and surface water), are proposed as part of the *Revised Design and Operations Plan* application to the MECP.

At this time, the Township has not contacted any adjacent landowners regarding the proposed ECA Application; however, contact of any/all adjacent landowners would be included as part of the ECA Application process per MECP expectations for this type of ECA application. Copies of the DRAFT adjacent landowner notification letters are included in the "Appendices" attached to this email.

We would like to submit this *Revised Design and Operations Plan* and related ECA Application for the Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site at the earliest possible time, and as such, we are requesting the MECP's availability for a *Virtual Pre-Submission Meeting* in December 2021 or January 2022. If you could please provide us with (ideally) three (3) dates and times that would work for your MECP Team for

this *Virtual Pre-Submission Meeting* I can cross-reference those dates and times with the representatives of the Township who would like to participate in the meeting, and advise back to you.

Many thanks in advance for your time, and if you have any questions regarding this submission, please contact me at any time.

Sincerely,

Dan Hagan, P.Geo. Senior Project Manager / Geologist



613.332.0057 x 105 www.greenview-environmental.ca

This e-mail message (including attachments, if any) is confidential and may be privileged. Any unauthorized distribution or disclosure is prohibited. Disclosure to anyone other than the intended recipient does not constitute waiver of privilege. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify us and delete it and any attachments from your computer system and records.

From:	Dan Hagan (Greenview)
To:	Davis, Greg (MECP)
Cc:	Solutions - Greenview; Tyler Peters (Greenview); Ponalo, Thandeka (MECP); Tieu, Emily (MECP); Leonard Emon (TGM)
Subject:	Req for Virtual MECP Pre-Sub ECA Mtg-Rev Design and Operations Plan - MSP WDS (102.21.015)
Date:	December 7, 2021 2:05:15 PM
Attachments:	image001.png
Importance:	High

Thank you, Greg -

We will include this email from you as part of the ECA Application Submission to MECP Client Services & Permissions Branch as confirmation that Technical Support Section was consulted on the submission, in order to satisfy the requirements of Section 5.4.4 of the ECA Application Form.

We will wait for Thandeka from the MECP Ottawa District Office to advise of proposed dates for a Pre-Submission Meeting in early January 2022 with representatives of the Township of Greater Madawaska and Greenview Environmental, per our original email request dated December 1, 2021.

We will also advise staff at the Township of Greater Madawaska of the next steps in this process, accordingly.

Thank you for your assistance with this matter, and we look forward to hearing back from the MECP Ottawa District Office in the New Year.

Sincerely,

Dan Hagan, P.Geo. Senior Project Manager / Geologist



613.332.0057 x 105 www.greenview-environmental.ca

This e-mail message (including attachments, if any) is confidential and may be privileged. Any unauthorized distribution or disclosure is prohibited. Disclosure to anyone other than the intended recipient does not constitute waiver of privilege. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify us and delete it and any attachments from your computer system and records.

From: Davis, Greg (MECP) < Greg. Davis@ontario.ca>

Sent: Tuesday, December 7, 2021 1:51 PM

To: Dan Hagan (Greenview) <dan.hagan@greenview-environmental.ca>

Cc: Solutions - Greenview <solutions@greenview-environmental.ca>; Tyler Peters (Greenview)

<tyler.peters@greenview-environmental.ca>; Ponalo, Thandeka (MECP)

<Thandeka.Ponalo@ontario.ca>; Tieu, Emily (MECP) <Emily.Tieu@ontario.ca>; Davis, Greg (MECP) <Greg.Davis@ontario.ca>

Subject: RE: Request for Virtual MECP Pre-Submission ECA Meeting - Revised Design and Operations Plan - Mount St. Patrick WDS (102.21.015)

Good afternoon,

I have had the chance to ask our technical support staff about your site/amendment and they do not feel their attendance at the pre-submission meeting is necessary. As previously discussed please touch base in January '22 with Thandeka to set the meeting.

Thank you,

Greg Davis Environmental Officer Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks Ottawa District Office 2430 Don Reid Drive Ottawa, ON, K1H 1E1 T: 613-521-3450 x227 F: 613-521-5437 C: 613-866-0926 Toll Free: 1-800-860-2195

From: Davis, Greg (MECP) <<u>Greg.Davis@ontario.ca</u>>
Sent: December-02-21 2:36 PM
To: Dan Hagan (Greenview) <<u>dan.hagan@greenview-environmental.ca</u>>
Cc: Solutions - Greenview <<u>solutions@greenview-environmental.ca</u>>; Tyler Peters (Greenview)
<<u>tyler.peters@greenview-environmental.ca</u>>
Subject: RE: Request for Virtual MECP Pre-Submission ECA Meeting - Revised Design and Operations

Plan - Mount St. Patrick WDS (102.21.015)

Afternoon,

I will ask tech support leads if they want their staff involved with this amendment.

Thank you,

Greg Davis Environmental Officer Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks Ottawa District Office 2430 Don Reid Drive Ottawa, ON, K1H 1E1 T: 613-521-3450 x227 F: 613-521-5437 C: 613-866-0926 Toll Free: 1-800-860-2195

From: Dan Hagan (Greenview) <<u>dan.hagan@greenview-environmental.ca</u>>
Sent: December-02-21 2:01 PM
To: Davis, Greg (MECP) <<u>Greg.Davis@ontario.ca</u>>
Cc: Solutions - Greenview <<u>solutions@greenview-environmental.ca</u>>; Tyler Peters (Greenview)

<tpre><tyler.peters@greenview-environmental.ca></tpre>

Subject: RE: Request for Virtual MECP Pre-Submission ECA Meeting - Revised Design and Operations Plan - Mount St. Patrick WDS (102.21.015)

CAUTION -- EXTERNAL E-MAIL - Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender.

Hi Greg –

I don't believe the 2020 Annual Report for the Mount St. Patrick WDS has been reviewed by MECP TSS – at least to my knowledge we have not received anything like that. A copy of the 2020 Annual Report is included as an Appendix to the Revised Design and Operations Plan. You are correct – we have not proposed any changes to the existing groundwater and surface water monitoring program for the Mount St. Patrick WDS.

It is just my understanding from previous Pre-Submission Reviews that representatives focused on groundwater and surface water generally attend the meetings and provide guidance/approval of the proposed project, and often an engineer from Toronto who would be reviewing the application generally (but not always) would attend as well. In my experience in these Pre-Submission Meetings, everyone has reviewed the draft document before the meeting so that everyone knows exactly what is being proposed.

Per Section 5.4.4 - Landfill Site of the ECA Application Form:

Note: The Hydrogeological Assessment, effluent criteria, and surface water assessment must be discussed and prepared with the Ministry's regional technical support section during a preapplication meeting(s) and consultation(s) with the Ministry. A proof of concurrence from technical support must be included as part of the ECA application package.

Per the above, we will need written proof of concurrance from MECP TSS for inclusion in the Application Package, so I believe we should be distributing the documents to TSS for review as soon as possible, so that they can review it prior to the planned meeting in January 2022.

Please advise if MECP expectations for the ECA Application process has changed from the most recent ECA Application Form that we have on file (last downloaded on November 24, 2021).

If we don't need a clearance letter from the MECP TSS, that's okay too, but we will need a similar clearance letter (after we complete the Pre-Submission Meeting requirements) from the MECP Ottawa District Office for inclusion in the ECA Application Package that would take the place of MECP TSS approval required by Section 5.4.4 of the ECA Application Form, so that our application doesn't get rejected immediately by an Appilcation Assessment Officer at Client Services & Permissions Branch.

Let me know your thoughts, and whatever we need to do on our end, I'll make sure it gets done -

Sincerely,

Dan Hagan, P.Geo. Senior Project Manager / Geologist



613.332.0057 x 105 www.greenview-environmental.ca

This e-mail message (including attachments, if any) is confidential and may be privileged. Any unauthorized distribution or disclosure is prohibited. Disclosure to anyone other than the intended recipient does not constitute waiver of privilege. If you have received this e-mail

in error, please notify us and delete it and any attachments from your computer system and records.

From: Davis, Greg (MECP) <<u>Greg.Davis@ontario.ca</u>>
Sent: Thursday, December 2, 2021 2:34 PM
To: Dan Hagan (Greenview) <<u>dan.hagan@greenview-environmental.ca</u>>
Subject: RE: Request for Virtual MECP Pre-Submission ECA Meeting - Revised Design and Operations
Plan - Mount St. Patrick WDS (102.21.015)

Afternoon,

I can certainly send to our technical support but reading the documentation I did not see that there was to be any alteration to the monitoring program. Perhaps there are some outstanding issues that I am not aware based on my quick review. I would say normally for an update such as this technical reviews would not be needed. I can dig a little deeper to see if tech support (what staff members) are involved already or who would be involved. Was your 2020 amr reviewed this year by tech support? That would give me a starting point for discussion with tech support

Thank you,

Greg Davis Environmental Officer Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks Ottawa District Office 2430 Don Reid Drive Ottawa, ON, K1H 1E1 T: 613-521-3450 x227 F: 613-521-5437 C: 613-866-0926 Toll Free: 1-800-860-2195

From: Dan Hagan (Greenview) <<u>dan.hagan@greenview-environmental.ca</u>>
Sent: December-02-21 1:25 PM
To: Davis, Greg (MECP) <<u>Greg.Davis@ontario.ca</u>>
Cc: Tieu, Emily (MECP) <<u>Emily.Tieu@ontario.ca</u>>; Ponalo, Thandeka (MECP)
<<u>Thandeka.Ponalo@ontario.ca</u>>; lemon@greatermadawaska.com; Tyler Peters (Greenview)
<<u>tyler.peters@greenview-environmental.ca</u>>
Subject: BE: Bequest for Virtual MECP Pre-Submission ECA Meeting - Revised Design and Operation Comparison and Operation Compa

Subject: RE: Request for Virtual MECP Pre-Submission ECA Meeting - Revised Design and Operations Plan - Mount St. Patrick WDS (102.21.015)

CAUTION -- EXTERNAL E-MAIL - Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender.

Hi Greg –

Thanks for your quick response.

My sense at this time is that we could wait for a January 2022 Pre-Submission Meeting, but the

documents should be forwarded to MECP Technical Support Section (TSS) right away, so that the groundwater and surface water scientists that will be participating in the meeting have ample time to review the submission in advance of the Pre-Submission Meeting.

Our intent following the Pre-Submission Meeting would be to address any comments the groundwater and surface water scientists may have regarding the draft document right away, and then have the MECP prepare the Pre-Submission approval letter (or equivalent) for inclusion in the ECA Application Package so that we could submit the package without delay.

Please confirm your understanding of the above, our intent regarding timelines, and document distribution.

Thanks and have a great day -

Sincerely,

Dan Hagan, P.Geo. Senior Project Manager / Geologist



613.332.0057 x 105 www.greenview-environmental.ca

This e-mail message (including attachments, if any) is confidential and may be privileged. Any unauthorized distribution or disclosure is prohibited. Disclosure to anyone other than the intended recipient does not constitute waiver of privilege. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify us and delete it and any attachments from your computer system and records.

From: Davis, Greg (MECP) < Greg.Davis@ontario.ca</pre>

Sent: Thursday, December 2, 2021 12:43 PM

To: Dan Hagan (Greenview) < <u>dan.hagan@greenview-environmental.ca</u>>

Cc: Tieu, Emily (MECP) < <u>Emily.Tieu@ontario.ca</u>>; Ponalo, Thandeka (MECP)

<<u>Thandeka.Ponalo@ontario.ca</u>>; <u>lemon@greatermadawaska.com</u>; Tyler Peters (Greenview) <<u>tyler.peters@greenview-environmental.ca</u>>

Subject: Request for Virtual MECP Pre-Submission ECA Meeting - Revised Design and Operations Plan - Mount St. Patrick WDS (102.21.015)

Good morning,

Thank you for the documents related to your proposed amendments at this landfill site and your request to have a meeting prior to your application submission to the Ministry's Client Services and Permissions Branch.

My colleague Thandeka is currently away from the office until the end of December so I propose the meeting to take place in January '22 when Thandeka returns. If there is a need to proceed quicker than this I can certainly attend a pre-submission meeting.

Thank you,

Greg Davis

Environmental Officer Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks Ottawa District Office 2430 Don Reid Drive Ottawa, ON, K1H 1E1 T: 613-521-3450 x227 F: 613-521-5437 C: 613-866-0926 Toll Free: 1-800-860-2195

From: Dan Hagan (Greenview) <<u>dan.hagan@greenview-environmental.ca</u>>
Sent: December 1, 2021 3:08 PM
To: Ponalo, Thandeka (MECP) <<u>Thandeka.Ponalo@ontario.ca</u>>
Cc: Tieu, Emily (MECP) <<u>Emily.Tieu@ontario.ca</u>>; Leonard Emon (TGM)
<<u>lemon@greatermadawaska.com</u>>; Tyler Peters (Greenview) <<u>tyler.peters@greenview-</u>environmental.ca>; Solutions - Greenview <<u>solutions@greenview-environmental.ca</u>>; Solutions - Greenview <<u>solutions@greenview-environmental.ca</u>>
Subject: Request for Virtual MECP Pre-Submission ECA Meeting - Revised Design and Operations
Plan - Mount St. Patrick WDS (102.21.015)
Importance: High

CAUTION -- EXTERNAL E-MAIL - Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender.

Good afternoon, Ms. Ponalo -

On behalf of the Township of Greater Madawaska (Township), Greenview Environmental Management Limited (Greenview) is reaching out to you and the Ontario Ministry of the Environment, Conservation, and Parks (MECP) Ottawa District Office to request a *Virtual Pre-Submission ECA Meeting* related to a proposed Environmental Compliance Approval (ECA) Application for the Township's Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site (WDS; A411901).

Copies of the DRAFT FOR MECP PRE-SUBMISSION REVIEW version of the *Revised Design and Operations Plan* for the Mount St. Patrick WDS are attached for MECP Ottawa District Office and Technical Support Services review prior to the requested Pre-Submission Meeting.

As part of the attached DRAFT FOR MECP PRE-SUBMISSION REVIEW *Revised Design and Operations Plan*, the Township has proposed the following:

- Revised locations for stockpile/staging areas for waste and recyclables (Figure 6; attached).
- Increase of approved stockpile volume for scrap metal and white goods from 60 cubic metres (m³) to 120 m³.
- Increase of approved stockpile volume for unprocessed Construction and Demolition (C&D) and Bulky Waste from 200 m³ to 2,000 m³, prior to processing and landfilling at the site.
- Increase of approved stockpile volume for Clean Wood, Brush, and Leaf and Yard (L&Y) waste from 200 m³ to 1,000 m³, prior to processing and landfilling at the site.
- Initiation of diversion operations at the Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site transfer station of single-use and rechargeable batteries, refillable propane tanks (i.e. BBQ tanks), non-refillable
propane cylinders (i.e. Coleman-brand cylinders), compact fluorescent light bulbs (CFLs), and automotive plastics (i.e. empty oil and antifreeze containers).

No changes to the approved wastes for disposal, or to the approved Environmental Monitoring Program (groundwater and surface water), are proposed as part of the *Revised Design and Operations Plan* application to the MECP.

At this time, the Township has not contacted any adjacent landowners regarding the proposed ECA Application; however, contact of any/all adjacent landowners would be included as part of the ECA Application process per MECP expectations for this type of ECA application. Copies of the DRAFT adjacent landowner notification letters are included in the "Appendices" attached to this email.

We would like to submit this *Revised Design and Operations Plan* and related ECA Application for the Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site at the earliest possible time, and as such, we are requesting the MECP's availability for a *Virtual Pre-Submission Meeting* in December 2021 or January 2022. If you could please provide us with (ideally) three (3) dates and times that would work for your MECP Team for this *Virtual Pre-Submission Meeting* I can cross-reference those dates and times with the representatives of the Township who would like to participate in the meeting, and advise back to you.

Many thanks in advance for your time, and if you have any questions regarding this submission, please contact me at any time.

Sincerely,

Dan Hagan, P.Geo. Senior Project Manager / Geologist



613.332.0057 x 105 www.greenview-environmental.ca

This e-mail message (including attachments, if any) is confidential and may be privileged. Any unauthorized distribution or disclosure is prohibited. Disclosure to anyone other than the intended recipient does not constitute waiver of privilege. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify us and delete it and any attachments from your computer system and records.

From:	Dan Hagan (Greenview)
To:	Ponalo, Thandeka (MECP); Davis, Greg (MECP); Tieu, Emily (MECP)
Cc:	<u>Solutions - Greenview; Tyler Peters (Greenview); Leonard Emon (TGM)</u>
Subject:	Req for Virtual MECP Pre-Sub ECA Mtg-Rev Design and Operations Plans-MSP WDS and NL WDS (102.21.015 & 102.21.013)
Date:	January 4, 2022 3:05:16 PM
Attachments:	102.21.013 - TGM - NL WDS - Revised DOP - DRAFT FOR MECP PRE-SUBMISSION REVIEW (ALL - SR) - Dec22- 21.pdf image001.png
Importance:	High

Good afternoon, Thandeka, Greg, and Emily -

Further to our communications in December 2021 requesting proposed dates for a Pre-Submission Meeting with the MECP in January 2022 (see email string below) relative to the proposed *Revised Design and Operation Plan* for the Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site, we are requesting that the proposed scope of the meeting be expanded to include discussion on the attached *Revised Design and Operation Plan* for the Norway Lake Waste Disposal Site as well.

The content and intent of the *Revised Design and Operation Plan* for the Norway Lake Waste Disposal Site is generally consistent with the *Revised Design and Operation Plan* previously provided for the Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site.

In the interest of time-savings for all parties, and given that the request for changes to operations between the Mount St. Patrick and Norway Lake Waste Disposal Sites are very similar, we feel that combining the Pre-Submission Meetings into one combined meeting would be beneficial to everyone.

Similarly, no changes to the existing groundwater and surface water monitoring program for the Norway Lake Waste Disposal Site are proposed as part of this *Revised Design and Operation Plan,* and therefore we assume that the MECP Technical Support Section (groundwater and surface water) will not be interested in participating in the proposed meeting (as was noted below in the email string related to the Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site's *Revised Design and Operation Plan*).

We trust you will find this submission and this request to be in order.

If you could please advise by <u>Friday January 14th, 2022</u> as to proposed dates for the combined Pre-Submission Meeting in January 2022 for Greenview's and the Township of Greater Madawaska's review and confirmation of a workable date/time. The Township of Greater Madawaska is very eager to proceed to the formal ECA Application Submission stage for both of these site's *Revised Design and Operation Plans.*

Thank you and Happy New Year -

Sincerely,

Dan Hagan, P.Geo. Senior Project Manager / Geologist



613.332.0057 x 105 www.greenview-environmental.ca

This e-mail message (including attachments, if any) is confidential and may be privileged. Any unauthorized distribution or disclosure is prohibited. Disclosure to anyone other than the intended recipient does not constitute waiver of privilege. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify us and delete it and any attachments from your computer system and records.

From: Dan Hagan (Greenview)
Sent: Tuesday, December 7, 2021 2:05 PM
To: Davis, Greg (MECP) <Greg.Davis@ontario.ca>
Cc: Solutions - Greenview <solutions@greenview-environmental.ca>; Tyler Peters (Greenview)
<tyler.peters@greenview-environmental.ca>; Ponalo, Thandeka (MECP)
<Thandeka.Ponalo@ontario.ca>; Tieu, Emily (MECP) <Emily.Tieu@ontario.ca>; Leonard Emon (TGM)
<lemon@greatermadawaska.com>
Subject: RE: Request for Virtual MECP Pre-Submission ECA Meeting - Revised Design and Operations
Plan - Mount St. Patrick WDS (102.21.015)
Importance: High

Thank you, Greg -

We will include this email from you as part of the ECA Application Submission to MECP Client Services & Permissions Branch as confirmation that Technical Support Section was consulted on the submission, in order to satisfy the requirements of Section 5.4.4 of the ECA Application Form.

We will wait for Thandeka from the MECP Ottawa District Office to advise of proposed dates for a Pre-Submission Meeting in early January 2022 with representatives of the Township of Greater Madawaska and Greenview Environmental, per our original email request dated December 1, 2021.

We will also advise staff at the Township of Greater Madawaska of the next steps in this process, accordingly.

Thank you for your assistance with this matter, and we look forward to hearing back from the MECP Ottawa District Office in the New Year.

Sincerely,

Dan Hagan, P.Geo. Senior Project Manager / Geologist



613.332.0057 x 105 www.greenview-environmental.ca

This e-mail message (including attachments, if any) is confidential and may be privileged. Any unauthorized distribution or disclosure is prohibited. Disclosure to anyone other than the intended recipient does not constitute waiver of privilege. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify us and delete it and any attachments from your computer system and records.

From: Davis, Greg (MECP) <<u>Greg.Davis@ontario.ca</u>>

Sent: Tuesday, December 7, 2021 1:51 PM

To: Dan Hagan (Greenview) < <u>dan.hagan@greenview-environmental.ca</u>>

Cc: Solutions - Greenview <<u>solutions@greenview-environmental.ca</u>>; Tyler Peters (Greenview)

<<u>tyler.peters@greenview-environmental.ca</u>>; Ponalo, Thandeka (MECP)

<<u>Thandeka.Ponalo@ontario.ca</u>>; Tieu, Emily (MECP) <<u>Emily.Tieu@ontario.ca</u>>; Davis, Greg (MECP) <<u>Greg.Davis@ontario.ca</u>>

Subject: RE: Request for Virtual MECP Pre-Submission ECA Meeting - Revised Design and Operations Plan - Mount St. Patrick WDS (102.21.015)

Good afternoon,

I have had the chance to ask our technical support staff about your site/amendment and they do not feel their attendance at the pre-submission meeting is necessary.

As previously discussed please touch base in January '22 with Thandeka to set the meeting.

Thank you,

Greg Davis Environmental Officer Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks Ottawa District Office 2430 Don Reid Drive Ottawa, ON, K1H 1E1 T: 613-521-3450 x227 F: 613-521-5437 C: 613-866-0926 Toll Free: 1-800-860-2195

From: Davis, Greg (MECP) <<u>Greg.Davis@ontario.ca</u>>
Sent: December-02-21 2:36 PM
To: Dan Hagan (Greenview) <<u>dan.hagan@greenview-environmental.ca</u>>
Cc: Solutions - Greenview <<u>solutions@greenview-environmental.ca</u>>; Tyler Peters (Greenview)
<<u>tyler.peters@greenview-environmental.ca</u>>
Subject: RE: Request for Virtual MECP Pre-Submission ECA Meeting - Revised Design and Operations
Plan - Mount St. Patrick WDS (102.21.015)

Afternoon,

I will ask tech support leads if they want their staff involved with this amendment.

Thank you,

Greg Davis Environmental Officer Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks Ottawa District Office 2430 Don Reid Drive Ottawa, ON, K1H 1E1 T: 613-521-3450 x227 F: 613-521-5437 C: 613-866-0926 Toll Free: 1-800-860-2195 From: Dan Hagan (Greenview) < <u>dan.hagan@greenview-environmental.ca</u>>

Sent: December-02-21 2:01 PM

To: Davis, Greg (MECP) <<u>Greg.Davis@ontario.ca</u>>

Cc: Solutions - Greenview <<u>solutions@greenview-environmental.ca</u>>; Tyler Peters (Greenview) <<u>tyler.peters@greenview-environmental.ca</u>>

Subject: RE: Request for Virtual MECP Pre-Submission ECA Meeting - Revised Design and Operations Plan - Mount St. Patrick WDS (102.21.015)

CAUTION -- EXTERNAL E-MAIL - Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender.

Hi Greg –

I don't believe the 2020 Annual Report for the Mount St. Patrick WDS has been reviewed by MECP TSS – at least to my knowledge we have not received anything like that. A copy of the 2020 Annual Report is included as an Appendix to the Revised Design and Operations Plan. You are correct – we have not proposed any changes to the existing groundwater and surface water monitoring program for the Mount St. Patrick WDS.

It is just my understanding from previous Pre-Submission Reviews that representatives focused on groundwater and surface water generally attend the meetings and provide guidance/approval of the proposed project, and often an engineer from Toronto who would be reviewing the application generally (but not always) would attend as well. In my experience in these Pre-Submission Meetings, everyone has reviewed the draft document before the meeting so that everyone knows exactly what is being proposed.

Per Section 5.4.4 - Landfill Site of the ECA Application Form:

Note: The Hydrogeological Assessment, effluent criteria, and surface water assessment must be discussed and prepared with the Ministry's regional technical support section during a preapplication meeting(s) and consultation(s) with the Ministry. A proof of concurrence from technical support must be included as part of the ECA application package.

Per the above, we will need written proof of concurrance from MECP TSS for inclusion in the Application Package, so I believe we should be distributing the documents to TSS for review as soon as possible, so that they can review it prior to the planned meeting in January 2022.

Please advise if MECP expectations for the ECA Application process has changed from the most recent ECA Application Form that we have on file (last downloaded on November 24, 2021).

If we don't need a clearance letter from the MECP TSS, that's okay too, but we will need a similar clearance letter (after we complete the Pre-Submission Meeting requirements) from the MECP Ottawa District Office for inclusion in the ECA Application Package that would take the place of MECP TSS approval required by Section 5.4.4 of the ECA Application Form, so that our application doesn't get rejected immediately by an Appilcation Assessment Officer at Client Services & Permissions Branch.

Let me know your thoughts, and whatever we need to do on our end, I'll make sure it gets done -

Sincerely,

Dan Hagan, P.Geo. Senior Project Manager / Geologist 613.332.0057 x 105 www.greenview-environmental.ca

This e-mail message (including attachments, if any) is confidential and may be privileged. Any unauthorized distribution or disclosure is prohibited. Disclosure to anyone other than the intended recipient does not constitute waiver of privilege. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify us and delete it and any attachments from your computer system and records.

From: Davis, Greg (MECP) <<u>Greg.Davis@ontario.ca</u>>

Sent: Thursday, December 2, 2021 2:34 PM

To: Dan Hagan (Greenview) < <u>dan.hagan@greenview-environmental.ca</u>>

Subject: RE: Request for Virtual MECP Pre-Submission ECA Meeting - Revised Design and Operations Plan - Mount St. Patrick WDS (102.21.015)

Afternoon,

I can certainly send to our technical support but reading the documentation I did not see that there was to be any alteration to the monitoring program. Perhaps there are some outstanding issues that I am not aware based on my quick review. I would say normally for an update such as this technical reviews would not be needed. I can dig a little deeper to see if tech support (what staff members) are involved already or who would be involved. Was your 2020 amr reviewed this year by tech support? That would give me a starting point for discussion with tech support

Thank you,

Greg Davis Environmental Officer Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks Ottawa District Office 2430 Don Reid Drive Ottawa, ON, K1H 1E1 T: 613-521-3450 x227 F: 613-521-5437 C: 613-866-0926 Toll Free: 1-800-860-2195

From: Dan Hagan (Greenview) <<u>dan.hagan@greenview-environmental.ca</u>>

Sent: December-02-21 1:25 PM

To: Davis, Greg (MECP) < <u>Greg.Davis@ontario.ca</u>>

Cc: Tieu, Emily (MECP) <<u>Emily.Tieu@ontario.ca</u>>; Ponalo, Thandeka (MECP)

<<u>Thandeka.Ponalo@ontario.ca</u>>; <u>lemon@greatermadawaska.com</u>; Tyler Peters (Greenview) <<u>tyler.peters@greenview-environmental.ca</u>>

Subject: RE: Request for Virtual MECP Pre-Submission ECA Meeting - Revised Design and Operations Plan - Mount St. Patrick WDS (102.21.015)

CAUTION -- EXTERNAL E-MAIL - Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the

sender.

Hi Greg -

Thanks for your quick response.

My sense at this time is that we could wait for a January 2022 Pre-Submission Meeting, but the documents should be forwarded to MECP Technical Support Section (TSS) right away, so that the groundwater and surface water scientists that will be participating in the meeting have ample time to review the submission in advance of the Pre-Submission Meeting.

Our intent following the Pre-Submission Meeting would be to address any comments the groundwater and surface water scientists may have regarding the draft document right away, and then have the MECP prepare the Pre-Submission approval letter (or equivalent) for inclusion in the ECA Application Package so that we could submit the package without delay.

Please confirm your understanding of the above, our intent regarding timelines, and document distribution.

Thanks and have a great day -

Sincerely,

Dan Hagan, P.Geo. Senior Project Manager / Geologist



613.332.0057 x 105 www.greenview-environmental.ca

This e-mail message (including attachments, if any) is confidential and may be privileged. Any unauthorized distribution or disclosure is prohibited. Disclosure to anyone other than the intended recipient does not constitute waiver of privilege. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify us and delete it and any attachments from your computer system and records.

From: Davis, Greg (MECP) <<u>Greg.Davis@ontario.ca</u>>

Sent: Thursday, December 2, 2021 12:43 PM

To: Dan Hagan (Greenview) < <u>dan.hagan@greenview-environmental.ca</u>>

Cc: Tieu, Emily (MECP) <<u>Emily.Tieu@ontario.ca</u>>; Ponalo, Thandeka (MECP)

<<u>Thandeka.Ponalo@ontario.ca</u>>; <u>lemon@greatermadawaska.com</u>; Tyler Peters (Greenview) <<u>tyler.peters@greenview-environmental.ca</u>>

Subject: Request for Virtual MECP Pre-Submission ECA Meeting - Revised Design and Operations Plan - Mount St. Patrick WDS (102.21.015)

Good morning,

Thank you for the documents related to your proposed amendments at this landfill site and your request to have a meeting prior to your application submission to the Ministry's Client Services and Permissions Branch.

My colleague Thandeka is currently away from the office until the end of December so I propose the meeting to take place in January '22 when Thandeka returns. If there is a need to proceed quicker than this I can certainly attend a pre-submission meeting.

Thank you,

Greg Davis Environmental Officer Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks Ottawa District Office 2430 Don Reid Drive Ottawa, ON, K1H 1E1 T: 613-521-3450 x227 F: 613-521-5437 C: 613-866-0926 Toll Free: 1-800-860-2195

From: Dan Hagan (Greenview) <<u>dan.hagan@greenview-environmental.ca</u>>
Sent: December 1, 2021 3:08 PM
To: Ponalo, Thandeka (MECP) <<u>Thandeka.Ponalo@ontario.ca</u>>
Cc: Tieu, Emily (MECP) <<u>Emily.Tieu@ontario.ca</u>>; Leonard Emon (TGM)
<lemon@greatermadawaska.com>; Tyler Peters (Greenview) <<u>tyler.peters@greenview-</u>environmental.ca>
Subject: Request for Virtual MECP Pre-Submission ECA Meeting - Revised Design and Operations
Plan - Mount St. Patrick WDS (102.21.015)
Importance: High

CAUTION -- EXTERNAL E-MAIL - Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender.

Good afternoon, Ms. Ponalo -

On behalf of the Township of Greater Madawaska (Township), Greenview Environmental Management Limited (Greenview) is reaching out to you and the Ontario Ministry of the Environment, Conservation, and Parks (MECP) Ottawa District Office to request a *Virtual Pre-Submission ECA Meeting* related to a proposed Environmental Compliance Approval (ECA) Application for the Township's Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site (WDS; A411901).

Copies of the DRAFT FOR MECP PRE-SUBMISSION REVIEW version of the *Revised Design and Operations Plan* for the Mount St. Patrick WDS are attached for MECP Ottawa District Office and Technical Support Services review prior to the requested Pre-Submission Meeting.

As part of the attached DRAFT FOR MECP PRE-SUBMISSION REVIEW *Revised Design and Operations Plan*, the Township has proposed the following:

- Revised locations for stockpile/staging areas for waste and recyclables (Figure 6; attached).
- Increase of approved stockpile volume for scrap metal and white goods from 60 cubic metres (m³) to 120 m³.
- Increase of approved stockpile volume for unprocessed Construction and Demolition (C&D) and Bulky Waste from 200 m³ to 2,000 m³, prior to processing and landfilling at the site.

- Increase of approved stockpile volume for Clean Wood, Brush, and Leaf and Yard (L&Y) waste from 200 m³ to 1,000 m³, prior to processing and landfilling at the site.
- Initiation of diversion operations at the Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site transfer station of single-use and rechargeable batteries, refillable propane tanks (i.e. BBQ tanks), non-refillable propane cylinders (i.e. Coleman-brand cylinders), compact fluorescent light bulbs (CFLs), and automotive plastics (i.e. empty oil and antifreeze containers).

No changes to the approved wastes for disposal, or to the approved Environmental Monitoring Program (groundwater and surface water), are proposed as part of the *Revised Design and Operations Plan* application to the MECP.

At this time, the Township has not contacted any adjacent landowners regarding the proposed ECA Application; however, contact of any/all adjacent landowners would be included as part of the ECA Application process per MECP expectations for this type of ECA application. Copies of the DRAFT adjacent landowner notification letters are included in the "Appendices" attached to this email.

We would like to submit this *Revised Design and Operations Plan* and related ECA Application for the Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site at the earliest possible time, and as such, we are requesting the MECP's availability for a *Virtual Pre-Submission Meeting* in December 2021 or January 2022. If you could please provide us with (ideally) three (3) dates and times that would work for your MECP Team for this *Virtual Pre-Submission Meeting* I can cross-reference those dates and times with the representatives of the Township who would like to participate in the meeting, and advise back to you.

Many thanks in advance for your time, and if you have any questions regarding this submission, please contact me at any time.

Sincerely,

Dan Hagan, P.Geo. Senior Project Manager / Geologist

?

613.332.0057 x 105 www.greenview-environmental.ca

This e-mail message (including attachments, if any) is confidential and may be privileged. Any unauthorized distribution or disclosure is prohibited. Disclosure to anyone other than the intended recipient does not constitute waiver of privilege. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify us and delete it and any attachments from your computer system and records.

From:	Dan Hagan (Greenview)
To:	Ponalo, Thandeka (MECP)
Cc:	Solutions - Greenview; Tyler Peters (Greenview); Leonard Emon (TGM); Tieu, Emily (MECP)
Subject:	Req for Virtual MECP Pre-Sub ECA Mtg - Rev Design and OP - MSP WDS and NL WDS (102.21.015 & 102.21.013)
Date:	January 11, 2022 12:20:56 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Good day, Thandeka -

On behalf of the Township of Greater Madawaska, we would like to book MECP Ministry Staff for the Pre-Submission Meeting regarding the *Revised Design and Operations Plans* for the Mount St. Patrick WDS and Norway Lake WDS for <u>Thursday January 20, 2022 from 1pm to 3pm</u>.

The intent of the meeting is to meet with the pre-submission requirements of the MECP relative to the planned, formal submission of the Plans and associated ECA Applications for both sites (at our earliest opportunity).

As has been previously noted by MECP Ottawa District Staff, the MECP Technical Support Section (groundwater and surface water scientists) are understood to not be providing comments on the Plans, as there are no planned changes to the existing environmental monitoring programs for both sites.

With respect to specific topics for the discussion, we are most interested in the following:

- 1. Are any changes identified in the Plans for waste and recycling transfer station operations at both sites been flagged by MECP staff, or are they generally deemed to be acceptable as written?
- 2. Are there any potential impediments to the MECP Ottawa District Office providing written approval for both Plans, identifying the MECP Ottawa District Office's general concurrence/acceptance of the Plans in principle, for inclusion in the ECA Application for both sites?
- 3. From the MECP's perspective, may the Township proceed with formal submission of the ECA Applications for both sites? If not, please identify any items that should be discussed during the Pre-Submission Meeting.

As the noted *Revised Design and Operations Plans* for both sites have been prepared in order to receive MECP approval for revised organization/operations of the existing transfer stations at both sites to better meet with the levels of service expected by the Township's ratepayers, we would like to streamline this process as much as possible so that we could expect to expedite the review and approval process for revised ECAs for both sites to the furthest extent possible. With that in mind, it would be appreciated that any potential issues that the MECP has identified at this time based on their review of the proposed *Revised Design and Operations Plans* be communicated at the Pre-Submission Meeting, so that we can collaborate together and determine a mutually agreeable path forward towards the formal submission of the ECA application packages.

Many thanks in advance for your time. We look forward to meeting with you all on January 20th.

Sincerely,

Dan Hagan, P.Geo. Senior Project Manager / Geologist



613.332.0057 x 105 www.greenview-environmental.ca This e-mail message (including attachments, if any) is confidential and may be privileged. Any unauthorized distribution or disclosure is prohibited. Disclosure to anyone other than the intended recipient does not constitute waiver of privilege. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify us and delete it and any attachments from your computer system and records.

From: Ponalo, Thandeka (MECP) <Thandeka.Ponalo@ontario.ca>
Sent: Monday, January 10, 2022 10:57 AM
To: Dan Hagan (Greenview) <dan.hagan@greenview-environmental.ca>
Cc: Solutions - Greenview <solutions@greenview-environmental.ca>; Tyler Peters (Greenview)
<tyler.peters@greenview-environmental.ca>; Leonard Emon (TGM)
<lemon@greatermadawaska.com>; Tieu, Emily (MECP) <Emily.Tieu@ontario.ca>
Subject: RE: Request for Virtual MECP Pre-Submission ECA Meeting - Revised Design and Operations
Plans - Mount St. Patrick WDS and Norway Lake WDS (102.21.015 & 102.21.013)

Good morning,

Ministry staff are available for a pre-consultation meeting. Our availability is listed below.

Wednesday January $12^{th} - 9$ am to 3pm Thursday January $13^{th} - 1$ pm to 3 pm Friday January $14^{th} - 9$ am to 3 pm Thursday January $20^{th} - 1$ pm to 3 pm Friday January $21^{st} - 9$ am to 3 pm

Ahead of the meeting, are they any specific issues or questions you would like to discuss?

Thank you,

Thandeka Ponalo Senior Environmental Officer Ontario Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks Ottawa District Office 2430 Don Reid Drive Ottawa ON K1H 1E1 Tel: 613-858-0695 | Fax: 613-521-5437 Spills Action Centre (SAC): 1-800-268-6060 Thandeka.Ponalo@ontario.ca | www.ene.gov.on.ca

We want to hear from you. How was my service? You can provide feedback at 1-888-745-8888 or <u>ontario.ca/inspectionfeedback</u>

From: Dan Hagan (Greenview) < <u>dan.hagan@greenview-environmental.ca</u>>

Sent: January 4, 2022 2:04 PM

To: Ponalo, Thandeka (MECP) <<u>Thandeka.Ponalo@ontario.ca</u>>; Davis, Greg (MECP)

<<u>Greg.Davis@ontario.ca</u>>; Tieu, Emily (MECP) <<u>Emily.Tieu@ontario.ca</u>>

Cc: Solutions - Greenview <<u>solutions@greenview-environmental.ca</u>>; Tyler Peters (Greenview)

<tvler.peters@greenview-environmental.ca>; Leonard Emon (TGM)

<<u>lemon@greatermadawaska.com</u>>

Subject: Request for Virtual MECP Pre-Submission ECA Meeting - Revised Design and Operations Plans - Mount St. Patrick WDS and Norway Lake WDS (102.21.015 & 102.21.013) **Importance:** High

CAUTION -- EXTERNAL E-MAIL - Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender.

Good afternoon, Thandeka, Greg, and Emily -

Further to our communications in December 2021 requesting proposed dates for a Pre-Submission Meeting with the MECP in January 2022 (see email string below) relative to the proposed *Revised Design and Operation Plan* for the Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site, we are requesting that the proposed scope of the meeting be expanded to include discussion on the attached *Revised Design and Operation Plan* for the Norway Lake Waste Disposal Site as well.

The content and intent of the *Revised Design and Operation Plan* for the Norway Lake Waste Disposal Site is generally consistent with the *Revised Design and Operation Plan* previously provided for the Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site.

In the interest of time-savings for all parties, and given that the request for changes to operations between the Mount St. Patrick and Norway Lake Waste Disposal Sites are very similar, we feel that combining the Pre-Submission Meetings into one combined meeting would be beneficial to everyone.

Similarly, no changes to the existing groundwater and surface water monitoring program for the Norway Lake Waste Disposal Site are proposed as part of this *Revised Design and Operation Plan,* and therefore we assume that the MECP Technical Support Section (groundwater and surface water) will not be interested in participating in the proposed meeting (as was noted below in the email string related to the Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site's *Revised Design and Operation Plan*).

We trust you will find this submission and this request to be in order.

If you could please advise by <u>Friday January 14th, 2022</u> as to proposed dates for the combined Pre-Submission Meeting in January 2022 for Greenview's and the Township of Greater Madawaska's review and confirmation of a workable date/time. The Township of Greater Madawaska is very eager to proceed to the formal ECA Application Submission stage for both of these site's *Revised Design and Operation Plans.*

Thank you and Happy New Year -

Sincerely,

Dan Hagan, P.Geo. Senior Project Manager / Geologist



613.332.0057 x 105 www.greenview-environmental.ca

This e-mail message (including attachments, if any) is confidential and may be privileged. Any unauthorized distribution or disclosure is prohibited. Disclosure to anyone other than the intended recipient does not constitute waiver of privilege. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify us and delete it and any attachments from your computer system and records.

From: Dan Hagan (Greenview) Sent: Tuesday, December 7, 2021 2:05 PM To: Davis, Greg (MECP) <<u>Greg.Davis@ontario.ca</u>>

Cc: Solutions - Greenview <<u>solutions@greenview-environmental.ca</u>>; Tyler Peters (Greenview) <<u>tyler.peters@greenview-environmental.ca</u>>; Ponalo, Thandeka (MECP)

<<u>Thandeka.Ponalo@ontario.ca</u>>; Tieu, Emily (MECP) <<u>Emily.Tieu@ontario.ca</u>>; Leonard Emon (TGM) <<u>lemon@greatermadawaska.com</u>>

Subject: RE: Request for Virtual MECP Pre-Submission ECA Meeting - Revised Design and Operations Plan - Mount St. Patrick WDS (102.21.015)

Importance: High

Thank you, Greg -

We will include this email from you as part of the ECA Application Submission to MECP Client Services & Permissions Branch as confirmation that Technical Support Section was consulted on the submission, in order to satisfy the requirements of Section 5.4.4 of the ECA Application Form.

We will wait for Thandeka from the MECP Ottawa District Office to advise of proposed dates for a Pre-Submission Meeting in early January 2022 with representatives of the Township of Greater Madawaska and Greenview Environmental, per our original email request dated December 1, 2021.

We will also advise staff at the Township of Greater Madawaska of the next steps in this process, accordingly.

Thank you for your assistance with this matter, and we look forward to hearing back from the MECP Ottawa District Office in the New Year.

Sincerely,

Dan Hagan, P.Geo. Senior Project Manager / Geologist

?

613.332.0057 x 105 www.greenview-environmental.ca

This e-mail message (including attachments, if any) is confidential and may be privileged. Any unauthorized distribution or disclosure is prohibited. Disclosure to anyone other than the intended recipient does not constitute waiver of privilege. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify us and delete it and any attachments from your computer system and records.

From: Davis, Greg (MECP) < <u>Greg.Davis@ontario.ca</u>>

Sent: Tuesday, December 7, 2021 1:51 PM

To: Dan Hagan (Greenview) < <u>dan.hagan@greenview-environmental.ca</u>>

Cc: Solutions - Greenview <<u>solutions@greenview-environmental.ca</u>>; Tyler Peters (Greenview)

<<u>tyler.peters@greenview-environmental.ca</u>>; Ponalo, Thandeka (MECP)

<<u>Thandeka.Ponalo@ontario.ca</u>>; Tieu, Emily (MECP) <<u>Emily.Tieu@ontario.ca</u>>; Davis, Greg (MECP) <<u>Greg.Davis@ontario.ca</u>>

Subject: RE: Request for Virtual MECP Pre-Submission ECA Meeting - Revised Design and Operations Plan - Mount St. Patrick WDS (102.21.015)

Good afternoon,

I have had the chance to ask our technical support staff about your site/amendment and they do not feel their attendance at the pre-submission meeting is necessary.

As previously discussed please touch base in January '22 with Thandeka to set the meeting.

Thank you,

Greg Davis Environmental Officer Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks Ottawa District Office 2430 Don Reid Drive Ottawa, ON, K1H 1E1 T: 613-521-3450 x227 F: 613-521-5437 C: 613-866-0926 Toll Free: 1-800-860-2195

From: Davis, Greg (MECP) < Greg.Davis@ontario.ca</pre>

Sent: December-02-21 2:36 PM

To: Dan Hagan (Greenview) < <u>dan.hagan@greenview-environmental.ca</u>>

Cc: Solutions - Greenview <<u>solutions@greenview-environmental.ca</u>>; Tyler Peters (Greenview) <<u>tyler.peters@greenview-environmental.ca</u>>

Subject: RE: Request for Virtual MECP Pre-Submission ECA Meeting - Revised Design and Operations Plan - Mount St. Patrick WDS (102.21.015)

Afternoon,

I will ask tech support leads if they want their staff involved with this amendment.

Thank you,

Greg Davis Environmental Officer Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks Ottawa District Office 2430 Don Reid Drive Ottawa, ON, K1H 1E1 T: 613-521-3450 x227 F: 613-521-5437 C: 613-866-0926 Toll Free: 1-800-860-2195 To: Davis, Greg (MECP) <<u>Greg.Davis@ontario.ca</u>>

Cc: Solutions - Greenview <<u>solutions@greenview-environmental.ca</u>>; Tyler Peters (Greenview) <<u>tyler.peters@greenview-environmental.ca</u>>

Subject: RE: Request for Virtual MECP Pre-Submission ECA Meeting - Revised Design and Operations Plan - Mount St. Patrick WDS (102.21.015)

CAUTION -- EXTERNAL E-MAIL - Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender.

Hi Greg –

I don't believe the 2020 Annual Report for the Mount St. Patrick WDS has been reviewed by MECP TSS – at least to my knowledge we have not received anything like that. A copy of the 2020 Annual Report is included as an Appendix to the Revised Design and Operations Plan. You are correct – we have not proposed any changes to the existing groundwater and surface water monitoring program for the Mount St. Patrick WDS.

It is just my understanding from previous Pre-Submission Reviews that representatives focused on groundwater and surface water generally attend the meetings and provide guidance/approval of the proposed project, and often an engineer from Toronto who would be reviewing the application generally (but not always) would attend as well. In my experience in these Pre-Submission Meetings, everyone has reviewed the draft document before the meeting so that everyone knows exactly what is being proposed.

Per Section 5.4.4 - Landfill Site of the ECA Application Form:

Note: The Hydrogeological Assessment, effluent criteria, and surface water assessment must be discussed and prepared with the Ministry's regional technical support section during a preapplication meeting(s) and consultation(s) with the Ministry. A proof of concurrence from technical support must be included as part of the ECA application package.

Per the above, we will need written proof of concurrance from MECP TSS for inclusion in the Application Package, so I believe we should be distributing the documents to TSS for review as soon as possible, so that they can review it prior to the planned meeting in January 2022.

Please advise if MECP expectations for the ECA Application process has changed from the most recent ECA Application Form that we have on file (last downloaded on November 24, 2021).

If we don't need a clearance letter from the MECP TSS, that's okay too, but we will need a similar clearance letter (after we complete the Pre-Submission Meeting requirements) from the MECP Ottawa District Office for inclusion in the ECA Application Package that would take the place of MECP TSS approval required by Section 5.4.4 of the ECA Application Form, so that our application doesn't get rejected immediately by an Appilcation Assessment Officer at Client Services & Permissions Branch.

Let me know your thoughts, and whatever we need to do on our end, I'll make sure it gets done -

Sincerely,

Dan Hagan, P.Geo. Senior Project Manager / Geologist



This e-mail message (including attachments, if any) is confidential and may be privileged. Any unauthorized distribution or disclosure is prohibited. Disclosure to anyone other than the intended recipient does not constitute waiver of privilege. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify us and delete it and any attachments from your computer system and records.

From: Davis, Greg (MECP) < Greg.Davis@ontario.ca</pre>

Sent: Thursday, December 2, 2021 2:34 PM

To: Dan Hagan (Greenview) <<u>dan.hagan@greenview-environmental.ca</u>>

Subject: RE: Request for Virtual MECP Pre-Submission ECA Meeting - Revised Design and Operations Plan - Mount St. Patrick WDS (102.21.015)

Afternoon,

I can certainly send to our technical support but reading the documentation I did not see that there was to be any alteration to the monitoring program. Perhaps there are some outstanding issues that I am not aware based on my quick review. I would say normally for an update such as this technical reviews would not be needed. I can dig a little deeper to see if tech support (what staff members) are involved already or who would be involved. Was your 2020 amr reviewed this year by tech support? That would give me a starting point for discussion with tech support

Thank you,

Greg Davis Environmental Officer Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks Ottawa District Office 2430 Don Reid Drive Ottawa, ON, K1H 1E1 T: 613-521-3450 x227 F: 613-521-5437 C: 613-866-0926 Toll Free: 1-800-860-2195

From: Dan Hagan (Greenview) <<u>dan.hagan@greenview-environmental.ca</u>>
Sent: December-02-21 1:25 PM
To: Davis, Greg (MECP) <<u>Greg.Davis@ontario.ca</u>>
Cc: Tieu, Emily (MECP) <<u>Emily.Tieu@ontario.ca</u>>; Ponalo, Thandeka (MECP)
<<u>Thandeka.Ponalo@ontario.ca</u>>; <u>lemon@greatermadawaska.com</u>; Tyler Peters (Greenview)
<<u>tyler.peters@greenview-environmental.ca</u>>
Subjects D5_ Degreentiew-environmental.ca>

Subject: RE: Request for Virtual MECP Pre-Submission ECA Meeting - Revised Design and Operations Plan - Mount St. Patrick WDS (102.21.015)

CAUTION -- EXTERNAL E-MAIL - Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender.

Hi Greg -

Thanks for your quick response.

My sense at this time is that we could wait for a January 2022 Pre-Submission Meeting, but the documents should be forwarded to MECP Technical Support Section (TSS) right away, so that the groundwater and surface water scientists that will be participating in the meeting have ample time to review the submission in advance of the Pre-Submission Meeting.

Our intent following the Pre-Submission Meeting would be to address any comments the groundwater and surface water scientists may have regarding the draft document right away, and then have the MECP prepare the Pre-Submission approval letter (or equivalent) for inclusion in the ECA Application Package so that we could submit the package without delay.

Please confirm your understanding of the above, our intent regarding timelines, and document distribution.

Thanks and have a great day -

Sincerely,

Dan Hagan, P.Geo. Senior Project Manager / Geologist



613.332.0057 x 105 www.greenview-environmental.ca

This e-mail message (including attachments, if any) is confidential and may be privileged. Any unauthorized distribution or disclosure is prohibited. Disclosure to anyone other than the intended recipient does not constitute waiver of privilege. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify us and delete it and any attachments from your computer system and records.

From: Davis, Greg (MECP) < <u>Greg.Davis@ontario.ca</u>>

Sent: Thursday, December 2, 2021 12:43 PM

To: Dan Hagan (Greenview) < <u>dan.hagan@greenview-environmental.ca</u>>

Cc: Tieu, Emily (MECP) < <u>Emily.Tieu@ontario.ca</u>>; Ponalo, Thandeka (MECP)

<<u>Thandeka.Ponalo@ontario.ca</u>>; <u>lemon@greatermadawaska.com</u>; Tyler Peters (Greenview)

<tyler.peters@greenview-environmental.ca>

Subject: Request for Virtual MECP Pre-Submission ECA Meeting - Revised Design and Operations Plan - Mount St. Patrick WDS (102.21.015)

Good morning,

Thank you for the documents related to your proposed amendments at this landfill site and your request to have a meeting prior to your application submission to the Ministry's Client Services and Permissions Branch.

My colleague Thandeka is currently away from the office until the end of December so I propose the meeting to take place in January '22 when Thandeka returns. If there is a need to proceed quicker than this I can certainly attend a pre-submission meeting.

Thank you,

Greg Davis Environmental Officer Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks Ottawa District Office 2430 Don Reid Drive Ottawa, ON, K1H 1E1 T: 613-521-3450 x227 F: 613-521-5437 C: 613-866-0926 Toll Free: 1-800-860-2195

From: Dan Hagan (Greenview) <<u>dan.hagan@greenview-environmental.ca</u>>
Sent: December 1, 2021 3:08 PM
To: Ponalo, Thandeka (MECP) <<u>Thandeka.Ponalo@ontario.ca</u>>
Cc: Tieu, Emily (MECP) <<u>Emily.Tieu@ontario.ca</u>>; Leonard Emon (TGM)
<lemon@greatermadawaska.com>; Tyler Peters (Greenview) <<u>tyler.peters@greenview-</u>
environmental.ca</mark>>; Solutions - Greenview <<u>solutions@greenview-environmental.ca</u>>
Subject: Request for Virtual MECP Pre-Submission ECA Meeting - Revised Design and Operations
Plan - Mount St. Patrick WDS (102.21.015)
Importance: High

CAUTION -- EXTERNAL E-MAIL - Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender.

Good afternoon, Ms. Ponalo -

On behalf of the Township of Greater Madawaska (Township), Greenview Environmental Management Limited (Greenview) is reaching out to you and the Ontario Ministry of the Environment, Conservation, and Parks (MECP) Ottawa District Office to request a *Virtual Pre-Submission ECA Meeting* related to a proposed Environmental Compliance Approval (ECA) Application for the Township's Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site (WDS; A411901).

Copies of the DRAFT FOR MECP PRE-SUBMISSION REVIEW version of the *Revised Design and Operations Plan* for the Mount St. Patrick WDS are attached for MECP Ottawa District Office and Technical Support Services review prior to the requested Pre-Submission Meeting.

As part of the attached DRAFT FOR MECP PRE-SUBMISSION REVIEW *Revised Design and Operations Plan*, the Township has proposed the following:

- Revised locations for stockpile/staging areas for waste and recyclables (Figure 6; attached).
- Increase of approved stockpile volume for scrap metal and white goods from 60 cubic metres (m³) to 120 m³.
- Increase of approved stockpile volume for unprocessed Construction and Demolition (C&D) and Bulky Waste from 200 m³ to 2,000 m³, prior to processing and landfilling at the site.
- Increase of approved stockpile volume for Clean Wood, Brush, and Leaf and Yard (L&Y) waste from 200 m³ to 1,000 m³, prior to processing and landfilling at the site.

Initiation of diversion operations at the Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site transfer station of single-use and rechargeable batteries, refillable propane tanks (i.e. BBQ tanks), non-refillable propane cylinders (i.e. Coleman-brand cylinders), compact fluorescent light bulbs (CFLs), and automotive plastics (i.e. empty oil and antifreeze containers).

No changes to the approved wastes for disposal, or to the approved Environmental Monitoring Program (groundwater and surface water), are proposed as part of the *Revised Design and Operations Plan* application to the MECP.

At this time, the Township has not contacted any adjacent landowners regarding the proposed ECA Application; however, contact of any/all adjacent landowners would be included as part of the ECA Application process per MECP expectations for this type of ECA application. Copies of the DRAFT adjacent landowner notification letters are included in the "Appendices" attached to this email.

We would like to submit this *Revised Design and Operations Plan* and related ECA Application for the Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site at the earliest possible time, and as such, we are requesting the MECP's availability for a *Virtual Pre-Submission Meeting* in December 2021 or January 2022. If you could please provide us with (ideally) three (3) dates and times that would work for your MECP Team for this *Virtual Pre-Submission Meeting* I can cross-reference those dates and times with the representatives of the Township who would like to participate in the meeting, and advise back to you.

Many thanks in advance for your time, and if you have any questions regarding this submission, please contact me at any time.

Sincerely,

Dan Hagan, P.Geo. Senior Project Manager / Geologist



www.greenview-environmental.ca

This e-mail message (including attachments, if any) is confidential and may be privileged. Any unauthorized distribution or disclosure is prohibited. Disclosure to anyone other than the intended recipient does not constitute waiver of privilege. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify us and delete it and any attachments from your computer system and records.

From:	Dan Hagan (Greenview)
To:	Thandeka Ponalo (MECP); abdul.guyum@ontario.ca
Cc:	Emily Tieu (MOECC); Leonard Emon (TGM); Tyler Peters (Greenview); Solutions - Greenview
Subject:	Thank-you for Pre-Sub Mtg - ECA App - Rev Design & OP - NL WDS & MSPWDS - TGM (1023.21.013/015)
Date:	January 20, 2022 3:31:54 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Good afternoon, Thandeka and Abdul -

On behalf of the Township of Greater Madawaska (Township), I wanted to extend our thanks for your time today for the *Pre-Submission Meeting* regarding our planned Environmental Compliance Approval (ECA) Applications for the Norway Lake Waste Disposal Site (A411702) and Mount St Patrick Waste Disposal Site (A411901).

Based on our discussions during the meeting, we anticipate the following to be our next steps in the process:

- Norway Lake WDS prepare final version of *Revised Design and Operations Plan*, and associated ECA Application (and any other required supporting documents), and proceed to submit to the MECP.
- 2. Mount St Patrick WDS we will wait to submit the *Revised Design and Operations Plan* for the site until the 2021 Annual Report has been finalized (anticipated prior to the March 31, 2022 submission date), and submit it to Thandeka with an accompanying cover letter requesting a review of the 2021 Annual Report (to allow Technical Support Services [TSS] the opportunity to review the minor change [addition] of an additional background monitoring well to the Environmental Monitoring Program for the site). Once the report has been reviewed by TSS and they have provided their thoughts on the 2021 Annual Report to Thandeka, then we will proceed to formally submit the ECA Application Package to the MECP.

As part of both submissions, and given Abdul's involvement with the Pre-Submission Meeting, we will make a request with both submissions that the MECP consider assigning both reviews to him.

Thank you again everyone, we really appreciate your time on these important projects for the Township.

Stay warm and stay safe!

Dan.

Sincerely,

Dan Hagan, P.Geo. Senior Project Manager / Geologist



613.332.0057 x 105 www.greenview-environmental.ca

This e-mail message (including attachments, if any) is confidential and may be privileged. Any unauthorized distribution or disclosure is prohibited. Disclosure to anyone other than the intended recipient does not constitute waiver of privilege. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify us and delete it and any attachments from your computer system and records.

Appendix D



ERIS 📚

REPORT



Project Property: Report Type: Order #:

Date:

Mount St. Patrick WDS 134 Flat Rd Greater Madawaska ON K0J1N0 Custom-Build Your Own Report 20130227022

March 8, 2013

EcoLog ERIS Ltd.

Environmental Risk Information Service Ltd. (ERIS) A division of Glacier Media Inc. P: 1.866.517.5204 E: info@erisinfo.com

www.erisinfo.com

Table of Contents

Table of Contents.	1
Executive Summary	2
Executive Summary: Report Summary.	3
Executive Summary: Site Report Summary – Project Property	5
Executive Summary: Site Report Summary – Surrounding Properties	6
<u>Map</u>	7
<u>Aerial</u>	8
Detail Report.	9
Unplottable Report.	13
Appendix: Database Descriptions	15
Definitions	

Notice: IMPORTANT LIMITATIONS and YOUR LIABILITY

Reliance on information in Report: This report DOES NOT replace a full Phase 1 Environmental Site Assessment but is solely intended to be used to focus further investigation.

Licence for use of information in Report: No page of this report can be used without this cover page, this notice and the project property identifier. The information in Report(s) may not be modified or re-sold.

Your Liability for misuse: Using this Service and/or its reports in a manner contrary to this Notice or your agreement will be in breach of copyright and contract and ERIS may obtain damages for such mis-use, including damages caused to third parties, and gives ERIS the right to terminate your account, rescind your licence to any previous reports and to bar you from future use of the Service.

No warranty of Accuracy or Liability for ERIS: The information contained in this report has been produced by EcoLog Environmental Risk Information Services Ltd ("ERIS") using various sources of information, including information provided by Federal and Provincial government departments. The report applies only to the address and up to the date specified on the cover of this report, and any alterations or deviation from this description will require a new report. This report and the data contained herein does not purport to be and does not constitute a guarantee of the accuracy of the information contained herein and does not constitute a legal opinion nor medical advice. Although ERIS has endeavoured to present you with information that is accurate, EcoLog ERIS disclaims, any and all liability for any errors, omissions, or inaccuracies in such information and data, whether attributable to inadvertence, negligence or otherwise, and for any consequences arising therefrom. Liability on the part of EcoLog ERIS is limited to the monetary value paid for this report.

Trademark and Copyright: You may not use the ERIS trademarks or attribute any work to ERIS other than as outlined above. This Service and Report(s) are protected by copyright owned by EcoLog ERIS Ltd. Copyright in data used in the Service or Report(s) (the "Data") is owned by EcoLog ERIS or its licensors. The Service, Report(s) and Data may not be copied or reproduced in whole or in any substantial part without prior written consent of EcoLog ERIS.

Executive Summary

Property Information:

Project Property:

Mount St. Patrick WDS 134 Flat Rd Greater Madawaska ON K0J1N0

Coordinates:

Latitude:	45.332251
Longitude:	-76.89866
UTM Northing:	351,224.17
UTM Easting:	5,021,613.79
UTM Zone:	UTM Zone 18T

Elevation:

627 FT 191.08 M

Order Information:

Order No.: Date Requested: Requested by: Report Type: 20130227022 08/03/2013 Greenview Environmental Management Custom-Build Your Own Report

Additional Products:

Executive Summary: Report Summary

Database	Name	Selected	On Site	Within 0.50KM	Total
<u>AAGR</u>	Abandoned Aggregate Inventory	Ν	-	-	-
<u>AGR</u>	Aggregate Inventory	Ν	-	-	-
<u>AMIS</u>	Abandoned Mine Information System	Ν	-	-	-
ANDR	Anderson's Waste Disposal Sites	Ν	-	-	-
<u>AUWR</u>	Automobile Wrecking & Supplies	Ν	-	-	-
<u>BORE</u>	Borehole	Ν	-	-	-
<u>CA</u>	Certificates of Approval	Ν	-	-	-
<u>CFOT</u>	Commercial Fuel Oil Tanks	Ν	-	-	-
<u>CHEM</u>	Chemical Register	Ν	-	-	-
<u>COAL</u>	Inventory of Coal Gasification Plants and Coal Tar Sites	Ν	-	-	-
<u>CONV</u>	Compliance and Convictions	Ν	-	-	-
<u>CPU</u>	Certificates of Property Use	Ν	-	-	-
<u>DRL</u>	Drill Hole Database	Ν	-	-	-
<u>EASR</u>	Environmental Activity and Sector Registry	Ν	-	-	-
<u>EBR</u>	Environmental Registry	Ν	-	-	-
<u>ECA</u>	Environmental Compliance Approval	Ν	-	-	-
<u>EEM</u>	Environmental Effects Monitoring	Ν	-	-	-
<u>EHS</u>	ERIS Historical Searches	Ν	-	-	-
<u>EIIS</u>	Environmental Issues Inventory System	Ν	-	-	-
<u>EXP</u>	List of TSSA Expired Facilities	Ν	-	-	-
<u>FCON</u>	Federal Convictions	Ν	-	-	-
<u>FCS</u>	Contaminated Sites on Federal Land	Ν	-	-	-
<u>FOFT</u>	Fisheries & Oceans Fuel Tanks	Ν	-	-	-
<u>FST</u>	Fuel Storage Tank	Ν	-	-	-
<u>GEN</u>	Ontario Regulation 347 Waste Generators Summary	Ν	-	-	-
<u>HINC</u>	TSSA Historic Incidents	Ν	-	-	-
<u>IAFT</u>	Indian & Northern Affairs Fuel Tanks	Ν	-	-	-
<u>INC</u>	TSSA Incidents	Ν	-	-	-
<u>LIMO</u>	Landfill Inventory Management Ontario	Ν	-	-	-
MINE	Canadian Mine Locations	Ν	-	-	-
<u>MNR</u>	Mineral Occurrences	Ν	-	-	-
<u>NATE</u>	National Analysis of Trends in Emergencies System (NATES)	Ν	-	-	-
<u>NCPL</u>	Non-Compliance Reports	Ν	-	-	-
<u>NDFT</u>	National Defence & Canadian Forces Fuel Tanks	Ν	-	-	-
<u>NDSP</u>	National Defence & Canadian Forces Spills	Ν	-	-	-
<u>NDWD</u>	National Defence & Canadian Forces Waste Disposal Sites	Ν	-	-	-
<u>NEES</u>	National Environmental Emergencies System (NEES)	Ν	-	-	-
<u>NPCB</u>	National PCB Inventory	Ν	-	-	-

Database	Name	Selected	On Site	Within 0.50KM	Total
<u>NPRI</u>	National Pollutant Release Inventory	Ν	-	-	-
<u>OGW</u>	Oil and Gas Wells	Ν	-	-	-
<u>00GW</u>	Ontario Oil and Gas Wells	Ν	-	-	-
<u>OPCB</u>	Inventory of PCB Storage Sites	Ν	-	-	-
<u>ORD</u>	Orders	Ν	-	-	-
<u>PAP</u>	Canadian Pulp and Paper	Ν	-	-	-
<u>PCFT</u>	Parks Canada Fuel Storage Tanks	Ν	-	-	-
<u>PES</u>	Pesticide Register	Ν	-	-	-
<u>PINC</u>	TSSA Pipeline Incidents	Ν	-	-	-
<u>PRT</u>	Private and Retail Fuel Storage Tanks	Ν	-	-	-
<u>PTTW</u>	Permit to Take Water	Ν	-	-	-
<u>REC</u>	Ontario Regulation 347 Waste Receivers Summary	Ν	-	-	-
<u>RSC</u>	Record of Site Condition	Ν	-	-	-
<u>RST</u>	Retail Fuel Storage Tanks	Ν	-	-	-
<u>SCT</u>	Scott's Manufacturing Directory	Ν	-	-	-
<u>SPL</u>	Ontario Spills	Ν	-	-	-
<u>SRDS</u>	Wastewater Discharger Registration Database	Ν	-	-	-
<u>TANK</u>	Anderson's Storage Tanks	Ν	-	-	-
<u>TCFT</u>	Transport Canada Fuel Storage Tanks	Ν	-	-	-
VAR	TSSA Variances for Abandonment of Underground Storage	Ν	-	-	-
<u>WDS</u>	Tanks Waste Disposal Sites - MOE CA Inventory	Ν	-	-	-
<u>WDSH</u>	Waste Disposal Sites - MOE 1991 Historical Approval Inventory	Ν	-	-	-
<u>WWIS</u>	Water Well Information System	Y	0	6	6
		Total:	0	6	6

Executive Summary: Site Report Summary – Project Property

Мар	DB	Company/Site Name	Address	Dis m	Elev	Page
Key					diff m	Number

No records found in the selected databases for the project property.

Executive Summary: Site Report Summary – Surrounding Properties

Map Key	DB	Company/Site Name	Address	Dis m	Elev Diff m	Page Number
<u>1</u>	WWIS		lot 4-5 con 14 DACRE ON	168.5	3.92	9
<u>2</u>	WWIS		lot 4 con 14 NEAR DACRE ON	231.1	3.88	9
<u>3</u>	WWIS		lot 5 con 14 ON	259.1	7.66	10
<u>4</u>	WWIS		lot 5 con 14 ON	366.2	3.66	10
<u>4</u>	WWIS		lot 5 con 14 ON	366.2	3.66	11
<u>5</u>	WWIS		lot 5 con 14 ON	408.7	8.56	11



Мар

Address: 134 Flat Rd, Greater Madawaska, ON, K0J1N0



Source: © 2012 DMTI Spatial Inc.

Order No: 20130227022

Aerial Not Available

Detail Report

Map Key	Number Records	of Distance s m	Elevation m	Site	DB
1	1 of 1	168.5	195.0	<i>lot 4-5 con 14 DACRE ON</i>	<u>WWIS</u>
Well Id: Concessior County: Easting Nac Zone: Primary Wa Secondary Use: Pump Rate: Flow Rate: Specific Ca Construction	n: d83: oter Use: Water : pacity: on	7152082 14 RENFREW 351177 18 Public		Lot: Concession Name: Municipality: Northing Nad83: Utm Reliability: Construction Date: Well Depth: Static Water Level: Clear/Cloudy: Final Well Status: Flowing (y/n):	4-5 BROUGHAM TOWNSHIP 5021452 margin of error : 10 - 30 m 9/14/2010 Water Supply
Elevation (r	n): edrock:	195.841476		Elevation Reliability: Overburden/Bedroc k:	
Water Type	:	Untested		Casing Material:	
2	1 of 1	231.1	195.0	<i>lot 4 con 14 NEAR DACRE ON</i>	<u>WWIS</u>
Well Id: Concession County: Easting Nac Zone: Primary Wa Secondary Use:	n: d83: nter Use: Water	7038974 14 RENFREW 351289 18		Lot: Concession Name: Municipality: Northing Nad83: Utm Reliability: Construction Date: Well Depth:	004 CON BROUGHAM TOWNSHIP 5021392 margin of error : 10 - 30 m 10/6/2006 6.1 m
Pump Rate: Flow Rate: Specific Ca Constructic Method:	pacity: on	Other Method		Static Water Level: Clear/Cloudy: Final Well Status: Flowing (y/n):	Observation Wells
Elevation (r	n): edrock:	195.018371		Elevation Reliability: Overburden/Bedroc	Overburden
Water Type	:			r. Casing Material:	PLASTIC
Details Thickness Material C	 s: Colour:	3 m BROWN		Original Depth: Material:	3 m SAND
Thickness	5:	3.1 m		Original Depth:	6.1 m

9 <u>erisinfo.com</u>| EcoLog ERIS Ltd. Mount St. Patrick WDS 134 Flat Rd Greater Madawaska ON K0J1N0

Order #: 20130227022

Мар Кеу	Numbe Record	r of Is	Distance m	Elevation m	Site	DB
Material (Colour:	BROWN			Material:	SAND, GRAVEL
3	1 of 1	2	259.1	198.7	lot 5 con 14 ON	<u>WWIS</u>
Well Id: Concession	n:	5505964 14 RENEREW	1		Lot: Concession Name: Municipality:	005 CON BROUGHAM TOWNSHIP
Easting Na Zone: Primary Wa Secondary Use:	ad83: ater Use: Water	351130 18 Domestic			Northing Nad83: Utm Reliability: Construction Date: Well Depth:	5021372 margin of error : 100 m - 300 m 11/1/1979 72 ft
Pump Rate Flow Rate: Specific Ca Constructio	e: apacity: on	60 GPM Cable Tool			Static Water Level: Clear/Cloudy: Final Well Status: Flowing (y/n):	18 ft CLEAR Water Supply N
Method: Elevation (m):	199.77647	4		Elevation Reliability:	Disdosiste
Water Type	earock: e:	FRESH			overburden/Bedroc k: Casing Material:	STEEL, OPEN HOLE
Details -						
Thicknes Material (+	ss: Colour:	12 π GREY			Original Depth: Material:	12 π CLAY, PACKED
Thicknes Material (s: Colour:	6 ft GREY			Original Depth: Material:	18 ft SHALE, SOFT
+ Thicknes Material (s: Colour:	54 ft BLACK			Original Depth: Material:	72 ft GRANITE, HARD
4	1 of 2	3	866.2	194.7	lot 5 con 14 ON	<u>WWIS</u>
Well Id: Concession County: Easting Na Zone: Primary Wa Secondary	n: od83: ater Use: ^v Water	5510001 14 RENFREW 350898 18 Domestic	I		Lot: Concession Name: Municipality: Northing Nad83: Utm Reliability: Construction Date: Well Depth:	005 CON BROUGHAM TOWNSHIP 5021780 unknown UTM 1/4/1990 91 ft
Pump Rate Flow Rate: Specific Ca Constructio	e: apacity: on	10 GPM Cable Tool			Static Water Level: Clear/Cloudy: Final Well Status: Flowing (y/n):	11 ft CLEAR Water Supply N
Method: Elevation (I	m):	195.01466	3		Elevation Reliability:	
Depth to B	edrock:	18			Overburden/Bedroc k:	Bedrock
Water Type	9:	FRESH			Casing Material:	STEEL, OPEN HOLE

--- Details ---

Map Key	Numbe Record	r of 's	Distance m	Elevation m	Site	DB
Thicknes Material (s: Colour:	18 ft BROWN			Original Depth: Material:	18 ft SAND, GRAVEL, BOULDERS
+ Thicknes Material (s: Colour:	57 ft GREY			Original Depth: Material:	75 ft SANDSTONE, HARD
Thicknes Material (s: Colour:	16 ft WHITE			Original Depth: Material:	91 ft QUARTZ, UNKNOWN TYPE
4	2 of 2		366.2	194.7	lot 5 con 14 ON	<u>WWIS</u>
Well Id: Concession County: Easting Na Zone: Primary Wa Secondary	n: od83: ater Use: ' Water	5507112 14 RENFRE ¹ 350898 18 Domestic	W		Lot: Concession Name: Municipality: Northing Nad83: Utm Reliability: Construction Date: Well Depth:	005 CON BROUGHAM TOWNSHIP 5021780 unknown UTM 5/10/1983 106 ft
Pump Rate Flow Rate: Specific Ca Constructio	e: apacity: on	50 GPM Cable Too	bl		Static Water Level: Clear/Cloudy: Final Well Status: Flowing (y/n):	18 ft CLEAR Water Supply N
Elevation (m): edrock:	195.0146 18	63		Elevation Reliability: Overburden/Bedroc	Bedrock
Water Type	ə:	FRESH			k: Casing Material:	STEEL, OPEN HOLE
Details - Thicknes Material (: :s: Colour:	18 ft GREY			Original Depth: Material:	18 ft CLAY, PACKED
+ Thicknes Material (s: Colour:	22 ft WHITE			Original Depth: Material:	40 ft LIMESTONE, HARD
т Thicknes Material (s: Colour:	66 ft GREY			Original Depth: Material:	106 ft LIMESTONE, HARD
5	1 of 1		408.7	199.6	lot 5 con 14 ON	<u>WWIS</u>
Well Id: Concessio County: Easting Na Zone: Primary Wa Secondary Use:	n: d83: ater Use: Water	5505951 14 RENFRE ¹ 350830 18 Domestic	N		Lot: Concession Name: Municipality: Northing Nad83: Utm Reliability: Construction Date: Well Depth:	005 CON BROUGHAM TOWNSHIP 5021722 margin of error : 100 m - 300 m 10/22/1979 68 ft
Pump Rate Flow Rate: Specific Ca Construction	e: apacity: on	40 GPM Cable Too	bl		Static Water Level: Clear/Cloudy: Final Well Status: Flowing (y/n):	18 ft CLEAR Water Supply N
11	originfo			td	/	Order #: 20130227022

erisinfo.com EcoLog ERIS Ltd. Mount St. Patrick WDS 134 Flat Rd Greater Madawaska ON K0J1N0

Order #: 20130227022

Map Key	Number of Records	Distance m	Elevation m	Site		DB
Method: Elevation (m): 200.9	584014		Elevation Reliability:		
Depth to Bed	drock: 15			Overburden/Bedroc k:	Bedrock	
Water Type:	FRE	SH		Casing Material:	STEEL, OPEN HOLE	
Details						
Thickness:	: 15 ft			Original Depth:	15 ft	
Material Co	olour: GRE	Y		Material:	CLAY, PACKED	
+						
Thickness:	: 53 ft			Original Depth:	68 ft	
Material Co	olour: WHI	ΓE		Material:	LIMESTONE	

Unplottable Report

Sile.

MT ST PATRICK LANDFILL FLAT RD MOUNT ST. PATRICK ON

Database: WWIS

County: Well Id: Concession: Easting Nad83: Zone:	RENFREW 7113405	Municipality: Lot: Concession Name: Northing Nad83: Utm	BROUGHAM TOWNSHIP margin of error : 10 - 30 m	
Primary Water Use:	Monitoring	Reliability: Construction Date:		
Secondary Water Use: Pump Rate:		Well Depth: Static Water	20 ft	
Flow Rate: Specific Capacity:		Level: Clear/Cloudy: Final Well Status:	Test Hole	
Construction Method:	Auger	Flowing (y/n):		
Elevation (m):		Elevation Reliability: Overburden/B		
Water Type:		edrock: Casing Material:	PLASTIC, PLASTIC	
Details Thickness:	20 ft	Original	20 ft	
Material Colour:	BROWN	Depth: Material:	SAND, GRAVEL	
<u>Site:</u> lot 3 ON			Da Wi	tabase: WIS
County:	RENFREW	Municipality:	BAGOT & BLITHFIELD TOWNSHIF (BLITHFIELD)	Р
Well Id: Concession:	5514201	Lot: Concession	003 CON	
Easting Nad83:		Northing Nad83:		
Zone:		Utm Reliability:	unknown UTM	
Primary Water Use:	Domestic	Construction Date:	10/23/2000	
Secondary Water		Well Denth	140 ft	
Use:		Hen Deptil.		
Ose: Pump Rate:	6 GPM	Static Water	35 ft	

13 <u>erisinfo.com</u>| EcoLog ERIS Ltd. Mount St. Patrick WDS 134 Flat Rd Greater Madawaska ON K0J1N0

Order #: 20130227022

Construction	Air Precussion	Status: Flowing (y/n):	Ν
Water Type:	FRESH	Casing Material:	STEEL
Elevation (m):		Elevation Reliability:	
Depth to Bedrock:	3	Overburden/B edrock:	Bedrock
Details			
Thickness:	3 ft	Original Depth:	3 ft
Material Colour: +	BROWN	Material:	SAND
Thickness:	137 ft	Original Depth:	140 ft
Material Colour:	BLACK	Material:	GRANITE
Appendix: Database Descriptions

Ecolog Environmental Risk Information Services Ltd can search the following databases. The extent of Historical information varies with each database and current information is determined by what is publicity available to Ecolog ERIS at the time of update. Note: Databases denoted with " * " indicates that the database will no longer be updated. See the individual database description for more information.

Up to Sept 2002 Provincial AAGR Abandoned Aggregate Inventory: The MAAP Program maintains a database of all abandoned pits and guarries. Please note that the database is only referenced by lot and concession and city/town location. The database provides information regarding the location, type, size, land use, status and general comments.

Aggregate Inventory: Up to Aug 2012 Provincial AGR The Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources maintains a database of all active pits and quarries. Please note that the database is only referenced by lot\concession and city/town location. The database provides information regarding the registered owner/operator, location, status, licence type, and maximum tonnage.

Abandoned Mine Information System: 1800-Jan 2012 Provincial AMIS The Abandoned Mines Information System contains data on known abandoned and inactive mines located on both Crown and privately held lands. The information was provided by the Ministry of Northern Development and Mines (MNDM), with the following disclaimer: "the database provided has been compiled from various sources, and the Ministry of Northern Development and Mines makes no representation and takes no responsibility that such information is accurate, current or complete". Reported information includes official mine name, status, background information, mine start/end date, primary commodity, mine features, hazards and remediation.

1860s-Present Private ANDR Anderson's Waste Disposal Sites: The information provided in this database was collected by examining various historical documents which aimed to characterize the likely position of former waste disposal sites from 1860 to present. The research initiative behind the creation of this database was to identify those sites that are missing from the Ontario MOE Waste Disposal Site Inventory, as well as to provide revisions and corrections to the positions and descriptions of sites currently listed in the MOE inventory. In addition to historic waste disposal facilities, the database also identifies certain auto wreckers and scrap yards that have been extrapolated from documentary sources. Please note that the data is not warranted to be complete, exhaustive or authoritive. The information was collected for research purposes only.

2001-Jun 2010 Private AUWR Automobile Wrecking & Supplies: This database provides an inventory of all known locations that are involved in the scrap metal, automobile wrecking/recycling, and automobile parts & supplies industry. Information is provided on the company name, location and business type.

Borehole:

1875-Aug 2011 Provincial BORE A borehole is the generalized term for any narrow shaft drilled in the ground, either vertically or horizontally. The information here includes geotechnical investigations or environmental site assessments, mineral exploration, or as a pilot hole for installing piers or underground utilities. Information is from many sources such as the Ministry of Transportation (MTO) boreholes from engineering reports and projects from the 1950 to 1990's in Southern Ontario. Boreholes from the Ontario Geological Survey (OGS) including The Urban Geology Analysis Information System (UGAIS) and the York Peel Durham Toronto (YPDT) database of the Conservation Authority Moraine Coalition. This database will include fields such as location, stratigraphy, depth, elevation, year drilled, etc. For all water well data or oil and gas well data for Ontario please refer to WWIS and OOGW.

Certificates of Approval: This database contains the following types of approvals: Ai Waste Management Systems and Renewable Energy App emissions to the atmosphere, discharges contaminants to stores, transports or disposes of waste, must have a Certif approval number, business name, address, approval date, updated, as CofA's have been replaced by either Environm Compliance Approval (ECA). Please refer to those individu	1985-Oct 30, 2011* r & Noise, Industrial Sewage, Mu rovals. The MOE in Ontario state ground or surface water, provide icate of Approval before it can op approval type and status. This o nental Activity and Sector Registr ual databases for any information	Provincial unicipal & Private S es that any facility th s potable water sup perate lawfully. Fiel database will no lor y (EASR) or Enviro n after Oct.31, 2017	<u>CA</u> Sewage, nat releases oplies, or ds include nger be onmental I.
Commercial Fuel Oil Tanks: Since May 2002, Ontario developed a new act where it bed Technical Standards & Safety Authority (TSSA). This data Ontario with fields such as location, registration number, ta	1948-Aug 2011 came mandatory for fuel oil tanks would include all commercial un nk material, age of tank and tank	Provincial to be registered w derground fuel oil t size.	<u>CFOT</u> rith ranks in
<u>Chemical Register:</u> This database includes information from both a one time st facilities that manufacture or distribute chemicals. The pro chemical reactions and/or chemical separation processes (1992, 1999-Jun 2010 udy conducted in 1992 and priva duction of these chemical substa (i.e. fractionation, solvent extracti	Private ate source and is a inces may involve on, crystallization,	<u>CHEM</u> listing of one or more etc.).
Inventory of Coal Gasification Plants and Coal Tar Sites: This inventory includes both the "Inventory of Coal Gasifica of Industrial Sites Producing or Using Coal Tar and Related identifies industrial sites that produced and continue to pro- is available and includes: facility type, size, land use, inform operators/occupants, site description, potential environment inventory.*	Apr 1987 and Nov 1988* ation Plant Waste Sites in Ontario d Tars in Ontario-November 1988 duce or use coal tar and other re nation on adjoining properties, so ntal impacts and historic maps av	Provincial o-April 1987" and th 3) collected by the lated tars. Detailed oil condition, site vailable. This was a	COAL ne Inventory MOE. It I information a one-time
<i>Compliance and Convictions:</i> This database summarizes the fines and convictions hander and individuals named here have been found guilty of envi	1989-2012 ed down by the Ontario courts be ronmental offenses in Ontario co	Provincial ginning in 1989. C urts of law.	<u>CONV</u> Companies
<u>Certificates of Property Use:</u> This is a subset taken from Ontario's Environmental Regist such as (EPA s. 168.6) - Certificate of Property Use.	1994-Jan 2013 rry (EBR) database. It will includ	Provincial e all CPU's on the	<u>CPU</u> registry
Drill Hole Database: The Ontario Drill Hole Database contains information on m drill holes from assessment files on record with the departer available for southern Ontario, as it was the last area to be submitted to the Ministry were converted in the Assessmen degree of accuracy (coordinates) as to the exact location of to the MNDM. Levels of accuracy used to locate holes are 1:50,000 map; a detailed company map; or from submitted	1886-Oct 2011 ore than 113,000 percussion, ov nent of Mines and Minerals. Plea completed. The database was o nt File Research Image Database f drill holes is dependent upon th e: centering on the mining claim; a "Report of Work".	Provincial erburden, sonic an ase note that limite created when surve e (AFRI) project. H he source documen a sketch of the mir	DRL d diamond d data is eys owever, the t submitted hing claim; a

Environmental Activity and Sector Registry: Oct 31, 2011-Jan 2013 Provincial EASR On October 31, 2011, a smarter, faster environmental approvals system came into effect in Ontario. The EASR allows businesses to register certain activities with the ministry, rather than apply for an approval. The registry is available for common systems and processes, to which preset rules of operation can be applied. The EASR is currently available for: heating systems, standby power systems and automotive refinishing. Businesses whose activities aren't subject to the EASR may apply for an ECA (Environmental Compliance Approval), Please see our ECA database.

<u>Environmental Registry:</u> The Environmental Registry lists proposals, decisions and that could significantly affect the environment. Through th upcoming proposals and invite their comments. For exam certificate of approval to release substances into the air o Approval for discharge into the natural environment other OWRA s. 53(1), and EPA s. 27 - Approval for a waste dis (PTTW), Certificate of Property Use (CPU) and (ORD) Or	1994-Jan 2013 d exceptions regarding policies, , e Registry, thirteen provincial m ple, if a local business is reques r water; these are notified on the than water (i.e. Air) - EPA s. 9, , posal site. For information rega ders please refer to those individ	Provincial Acts, instruments, or inistries notify the pu- ting a permit, license registry. Data inclue Approval for sewage rding Permit to Take dual databases.	EBR r regulations iblic of e, or des: e works - e Water
Environmental Compliance Approval: On October 31, 2011, a smarter, faster environmental apply business had to apply for multiple approvals (known as cerequipment. Today, a business either registers itself, or apply conducts. Businesses whose activities aren't subject to the business's emissions, discharges and wastes. Separate a database will also include Renewable Energy Approvals. database. For all Waste Disposal Sites please refer to the business of the second s	Oct 31, 2011-Jan 2013 provals system came into effect ertificates of approval) for individ plies for a single approval, depe e EASR may apply for an ECA. approvals for air, noise and wast For CofA's prior to Nov 1st, 201 e WDS database.	Provincial in Ontario. In the pas ual processes and p nding on the types o A single ECA addres e are no longer requ 1, please refer to the	ECA st, a vieces of of activities it sses all of a vired. This cA
Environmental Effects Monitoring: The Environmental Effects Monitoring program assesses fish habitat and human usage of fisheries resources. Since EEM studies under the Pulp and Paper Effluent Regulation geographical location and sub-lethal toxicity data.	1992-2007* the effects of effluent from indus ce 1992, pulp and paper mills ha ons. This database provides info	Federal strial or other sources ave been required to prmation on the mill r	EEM s on fish, conduct name,
<u>ERIS Historical Searches</u> EcoLog ERIS has compiled a database of all environmen this database include: site location, date of report, type of database can be referenced on both the map and "Statist	1999-Oct 2012 tal risk reports completed since report, and search radius. As pe ical Profile" page.	Private March 1999. Availal er all other database	EHS ble fields for s, the ERIS
<u>Environmental Issues Inventory System</u> : The Environmental Issues Inventory System was develop Remediation Plan. This plan was established to determin First Nation reserves, and where necessary, to remediate future environmental problems. The EIIS provides inform	1992-2001* ed through the implementation of the the location and severity of co those that posed a risk to health ation on the reserve under invest	Federal of the Environmental intaminated sites on n and safety; and to stigation, inventory n	EIIS Issues and inhabited prevent umber,

List of TSSA Expired Facilities: Current to Feb 2012 Provincial EXP This is a list of all expired facilities that fall under the TSSA (TSSA Act & Safety Regulations), including the six regulations that exist under the Fuels Safety Division. It will include facilities such as private fuel outlets, bulk plants, fuel oil tanks, gasoline stations, marinas, propane filling stations, liquid fuel tanks, piping systems, etc. These tanks have been removed and automatically fall under the expired facilities inventory held by TSSA.

name of site, environmental issue, site action (Remediation, Site Assessment), and date investigation completed.

Federal Convictions:1988-Jun 2007FederalFCONEnvironment Canada maintains a database referred to as the "Environmental Registry" that details prosecutions under the
Canadian Environmental Protection Act (CEPA) and the Fisheries Act (FA). Information is provided on the company
name, location, charge date, offence and penalty.Information is provided on the company

Fisheries & Oceans Canada maintains an inventory of all aboveground & underground fuel storage tanks located on Fisheries & Oceans property or controlled by DFO. Our inventory provides information on the site name, location, tank owner, tank operator, facility type, storage tank location, tank contents & capacity, and date of tank installation. Fuel Storage Tank: Current to Jun 2011 Provincial The Technical Standards & Safety Authority (TSSA), under the Technical Standards & Safety Act of 2000 maintains a database of registered private and retail fuel storage tanks in Ontario with fields such as location, tank status, license

date, tank type, tank capacity, fuel type, installation year and facility type.

Provincial <u>GEN</u> **Ontario Regulation 347 Waste Generators Summary:** 1986-Apr 2012 Regulation 347 of the Ontario EPA defines a waste generation site as any site, equipment and/or operation involved in the production, collection, handling and/or storage of regulated wastes. A generator of regulated waste is required to register the waste generation site and each waste produced, collected, handled, or stored at the site. This database contains the registration number, company name and address of registered generators including the types of hazardous wastes generated. It includes data on waste generating facilities such as: drycleaners, waste treatment and disposal facilities, machine shops, electric power distribution etc. This information is a summary of all years from 1986 including the most currently available data. Some records may contain, within the company name, the phrase "See & Use ... " followed by a series of letters and numbers. This occurs when one company is amalgamated with or taken over by another registered company. The number listed as "See & Use", refers to the new ownership and the other identification number refers to the original ownership. This phrase serves as a link between the 2 companies until operations have been fully transferred.

TSSA Historic Incidents: 2006-June 2009 Provincial HINC This database will cover all incidences recorded by TSSA with their older system, before they moved to their new management system. TSSA's Fuels Safety Program administers the Technical Standards & Safety Act 2000, providing fuel-related safety services associated with the safe transportation, storage, handling and use of fuels such as gasoline, diesel, propane, natural gas and hydrogen. Under this Act, TSSA regulates fuel suppliers, storage facilities, transport trucks, pipelines, contractors and equipment or appliances that use fuels. The TSSA works to protect the public, the environment and property from fuel-related hazards such as spills, fires and explosions. This database will include spills and leaks from pipelines, diesel, fuel oil, gasoline, natural gas, propane and hydrogen recorded by the TSSA.

Indian & Northern Affairs Fuel Tanks: 1950-Aug 2003 Federal IAFT The Department of Indian & Northern Affairs Canada (INAC) maintains an inventory of all aboveground & underground fuel storage tanks located on both federal and crown land. Our inventory provides information on the reserve name, location, facility type, site/facility name, tank type, material & ID number, tank contents & capacity, and date of tank installation.

18

Contaminated Sites on Federal Land:

Fisheries & Oceans Fuel Tanks:

The Federal Contaminated Sites Inventory includes information on all known federal contaminated sites under the custodianship of departments, agencies and consolidated Crown corporations as well as those that are being or have been investigated to determine whether they have contamination arising from past use that could pose a risk to human health or the environment. The inventory also includes non-federal contaminated sites for which the Government of Canada has accepted some or all financial responsibility. It does not include sites where contamination has been caused by, and which are under the control of, enterprise Crown corporations, private individuals, firms or other levels of government.

June 2000-Jan 2013

1964-Sept 2003

FCS

Federal

Federal

FOFT

FST

TSSA Incidents: TSSA's Fuels Safety Program administers the Technical Siservices associated with the safe transportation, storage, hatural gas and hydrogen. Under this Act, TSSA regulates contractors and equipment or appliances that use fuels. Include spills and leaks hydrogen recorded by the TSSA.	June 2009-Mar 2012 tandards & Safety Act andling and use of fue fuel suppliers, storage cludes incidents from from diesel, fuel oil, g	2 Provincial 2000, providing fuel-related sa els such as gasoline, diesel, pro e facilities, transport trucks, pip fuel-related hazards such as sp asoline, natural gas, propane a	INC afety opane, elines, pills, fires and
Landfill Inventory Management Ontario: The Landfill Inventory Management Ontario (LIMO) databated updated information. The inventory will include small and I operators of the larger landfills complete a landfill data collect the following information from the previous operating year. amount of total waste received, landfill capacity, estimated reporting and monitoring details, size of location, service and contaminant attenuation zone and more. The small landfills certificate of approval # and status.	2010 use is updated every y arge landfills. Addition ection form that will be This will include addit total remaining landfil rea, approved waste t s will include information	Provincial ear, as the ministry compiles ne hally, each year the ministry wil a used to update LIMO and will ional information such as estim Il capacity, fill rates, engineering ypes, leachate of site treatmen on such as site owner, site loca	LIMO ew and I request include nated g designs, it, ation and
Canadian Mine Locations: This information is collected from the Canadian & American that provides over 290 listings on mines (listed as public corrocks. Listed are mines that are currently in operation, close projects). Their locations are provided as geographic coord pertaining to Canadian smelters and refineries has been approximately of the constraint of the constr	1998-2009 n Mines Handbook. T ompanies) dealing prin sed, suspended, or an rdinates (x, y and/or lo opended to this databa	Private The Mines database is a national narily with precious metals and e still being developed (advanc ongitude, latitude). As of 2002, ase.	MINE al database hard ced data
Mineral Occurrences: In the early 70's, the Ministry of Northern Development and	1846-Nov 2011 Mines created an inv	Provincial /entory of approximately 19,000	<u>MNR</u> 0 mineral

occurrences in Ontario, in regard to metallic and industrial minerals, as well as some information on building stones and aggregate deposits. Please note that the "Horizontal Positional Accuracy" is approximately +/- 200 m. Many reference elements for each record were derived from field sketches using pace or chain/tape measurements against claim posts or topographic features in the area. The primary limiting factor for the level of positional accuracy is the scale of the source material. The testing of horizontal accuracy of the source materials was accomplished by comparing the planimetric (X and Y) coordinates of that point with the coordinates of the same point as defined from a source of higher accuracy.

<u>National Analysis of Trends in Emergencies System</u> 1974-1994* Federal <u>NATE</u>

In 1974 Environment Canada established the National Analysis of Trends in Emergencies System (NATES) database, for the voluntary reporting of significant spill incidents. The data was to be used to assist in directing the work of the emergencies program. NATES ran from 1974 to 1994. Extensive information is available within this database including company names, place where the spill occurred, date of spill, cause, reason and source of spill, damage incurred, and amount, concentration, and volume of materials released.

Non-Compliance Reports:1992(water only), 1994-2010ProvincialNCPLThe Ministry of the Environment provides information about non-compliant discharges of contaminants to air and waterthat exceed legal allowable limits, from regulated industrial and municipal facilities. A reported non-compliance failuremay be in regard to a Control Order, Certificate of Approval, Sectoral Regulation or specific regulation/act.

Up to May 2001* National Defence & Canadian Forces Fuel Tanks: Federal NDFT The Department of National Defence and the Canadian Forces maintains an inventory of all aboveground & underground fuel storage tanks located on DND lands. Our inventory provides information on the base name, location, tank type & capacity, tank contents, tank class, date of tank installation, date tank last used, and status of tank as of May 2001. This database will no longer be updated due to the new National Security protocols which have prohibited any release of this database.

Mar 1999-Aug 2010 National Defence & Canadian Forces Spills: Federal NDSP The Department of National Defence and the Canadian Forces maintains an inventory of spills to land and water. All spill sites have been classified under the "Transportation of Dangerous Goods Act - 1992". Our inventory provides information on the facility name, location, spill ID #, spill date, type of spill, as well as the quantity of substance spilled & recovered.

National Defence & Canadian Forces Waste Disposal	2001-Apr 2007	Federal	<u>NDWD</u>
<u>Sites:</u>			

The Department of National Defence and the Canadian Forces maintains an inventory of waste disposal sites located on DND lands. Where available, our inventory provides information on the base name, location, type of waste received, area of site, depth of site, year site opened/closed and status.

National Environmental Emergencies System 1974-2003 Federal NEES (NEES):

In 2000, the Emergencies program implemented NEES, a reporting system for spills of hazardous substances. For the most part, this system only captured data from the Atlantic Provinces, some from Quebec and Ontario and a portion from British Columbia. Data for Alberta, Saskatchewan, Manitoba and the Territories was not captured. However, NEES is also a repository for all previous Environment Canada spill datasets. NEES is composed of the historic datasets ' or Trends ' which dates from approximately 1974 to present. NEES Trends is a compilation of historic databases, which were merged and includes data from NATES (National Analysis of Trends in Emergencies System), ARTS (Atlantic Regional Trends System), and NEES. In 2001, the Emergencies Program determined that variations in reporting regimes and requirements between federal and provincial agencies made national spill reporting and trend analysis difficult to achieve. As a consequence, the department has focused efforts on capturing data on spills of substances which fall under its legislative authority only (CEPA and FA). As such, the NEES database will be decommissioned in December 2004.

National PCB Inventory: 1988-2008 Federal NPCB Environment Canada's National PCB inventory includes information on in-use PCB containing equipment in Canada including federal, provincial and private facilities. All federal out-of-service PCB containing equipment and all PCB waste owned by the federal government or by federally regulated industries such as airlines, railway companies, broadcasting companies, telephone and telecommunications companies, pipeline companies, etc. are also listed. Although it is not Environment Canada's mandate to collect data on non-federal PCB waste, the National PCB inventory includes some information on provincial and private PCB waste and storage sites.

1993-2010 Federal National Pollutant Release Inventory: NPRI Environment Canada has defined the National Pollutant Release Inventory ("NPRI") as a federal government initiative designed to collect comprehensive national data regarding releases to air, water, or land, and waste transfers for recycling for more than 300 listed substances.

Oil and Gas Wells:

1988-2012 Private OGW The Nickle's Energy Group (publisher of the Daily Oil Bulletin) collects information on drilling activity including operator and well statistics. The well information database includes name, location, class, status and depth. The main Nickle's database is updated on a daily basis, however, this database is updated on a monthly basis. More information is available at www.nickles.com.

Ontario Oil and Gas Wells: In 1998, the MNR handed over to the Ontario Oil, Gas and database of oil and gas wells drilled in Ontario. The OGSR available for all wells in the ERIS database include well own no., status, depth and the primary target (rock unit) of the w plus all water table information is also provide for each well	1800-Feb 2012 Salt Resources Corporation, the Library has over 20,000+ wells her/operator, location, permit issu ell being drilled. All geology/stra record.	Provincial responsibility of ma in their database. In ue date, well cap da atigraphy table infor	OOGW aintaining a nformation ate, licence mation,
Inventory of PCB Storage Sites: The Ontario Ministry of Environment, Waste Management E province. Ontario Regulation 11/82 (Waste Management - under the Ontario EPA requires the registration of inactive F with the Ontario Ministry of Environment. This database co sites storing liquid or solid waste; and 3) a waste storage in	1987-Oct 2004 Branch, maintains an inventory of PCB) and Regulation 347 (Gene PCB storage equipment and/or d ntains information on: 1) waste ventory.	Provincial f PCB storage sites erator Waste Manag isposal sites of PC quantities; 2) major	OPCB within the gement) B waste and minor
Orders: This is a subset taken from Ontario's Environmental Registres such as (EPA s. 17) - Order for remedial work, (EPA s. 18) removal of waste and restoration of site, (EPA s. 44) - Order - Order for performance of environmental measures.	1994-Jan 2013 y (EBR) database. It will include - Order for preventative measure r for conformity with Act for wast	Provincial e all Orders on the l es, (EPA s. 43) - Or e disposal sites, (E	ORD registry der for PA s. 136)
Canadian Pulp and Paper:	1999, 2002, 2004, 2005, 2009	Private	PAP
This information is part of the Pulp and Paper Canada Direct locations of pulp and paper mills and the products that they	ctory. The Directory provides a c produce.	omprehensive listin	ng of the
Parks Canada Fuel Storage Tanks: Canadian Heritage maintains an inventory of all known fuel Parks and at National Historic Sites. The database details capacity, fuel type, facility type, tank design and owner/ope	1920-Jan 2005 storage tanks operated by Parks information on site name, locatio rator.	Federal s Canada, in both N n, tank install/remo	PCFT National Ival date,
<i>Pesticide Register:</i> The Ontario Ministry of Environment maintains a database	1988-Jun 2012 of all manufacturers and vendors	Provincial s of registered pesti	PES icides.
TSSA Pipeline Incidents: TSSA's Fuels Safety Program administers the Technical St services associated with the safe transportation, storage, ha natural gas and hydrogen. Under this Act, TSSA regulates to contractors and equipment or appliances that use fuels. This by the TSSA.	June 2009-Mar 2012 andards & Safety Act 2000, prov andling and use of fuels such as fuel suppliers, storage facilities, t s database will include spills, str	Provincial riding fuel-related sa gasoline, diesel, pr ransport trucks, pip ike and leaks from	PINC afety ropane, pelines, recorded
Private and Retail Fuel Storage Tanks: The Fuels Safety Branch of the Ontario Ministry of Consum registered private fuel storage tanks and licensed retail fuel have gasoline, oil, waste oil, natural gas and/or propane stothis information. This information is now collected by the T	1989-1996* er and Commercial Relations ma outlets. This database includes orage tanks on their property. Th echnical Standards and Safety A	Provincial aintained a databas an inventory of loca ne MCCR no longer Authority (TSSA).	PRT se of all ations that collects

Permit to Take Water:1994-Jan 2013ProvincialPTTWThis is a subset taken from Ontario's Environmental Registry (EBR) database. It will include all PTTW's on the registrysuch as OWRA s. 34 - Permit to take water.

Part V of the Ontario Environmental Protection Act ("EPA") regulates the disposal of regulated waste through an operating waste management system or a waste disposal site operated or used pursuant to the terms and conditions of a Certificate of Approval or a Provisional Certificate of Approval. Regulation 347 of the Ontario EPA defines a waste receiving site as any site or facility to which waste is transferred by a waste carrier. A receiver of regulated waste is required to register the waste receiving facility. This database represents registered receivers of regulated wastes, identified by registration number, company name and address, and includes receivers of waste such as: landfills, incinerators, transfer stations, PCB storage sites, sludge farms and water pollution control plants. This information is a summary of all years from 1986 including the most currently available data.

Record of Site Condition:

1997-Sept 2001, Oct 2004- Provincial <u>RSC</u> 2012

Provincial

REC

The Record of Site Condition (RSC) is part of the Ministry of the Environment's Brownfields Environmental Site Registry. Protection from environmental cleanup orders for property owners is contingent upon documentation known as a record of site condition (RSC) being filed in the Environmental Site Registry. In order to file an RSC, the property must have been properly assessed and shown to meet the soil, sediment and groundwater standards appropriate for the use (such as residential) proposed to take place on the property. The Record of Site Condition Regulation (O. Reg. 153/04) details requirements related to site assessment and clean up.

RSCs filed after July 1, 2011 will also be included as part of the new (O.Reg. 511/09).

Retail Fuel Storage Tanks:1999-Jun 2010PrivateRSTThis database includes an inventory of retail fuel outlet locations (including marinas) that have on their property gasoline,oil, waste oil, natural gas and / or propane storage tanks.

<u>Scott's Manufacturing Directory:</u> 1992-Mar 2011 Private <u>SCT</u> Scott's Directories is a data bank containing information on over 200,000 manufacturers across Canada. Even though Scott's listings are voluntary, it is the most comprehensive database of Canadian manufacturers available. Information concerning a company's address, plant size, and main products are included in this database.

Ontario Spills:1988-Aug 2012ProvincialSPLThis database identifies information such as location (approximate), type and quantity of contaminant, date of spill,
environmental impact, cause, nature of impact, etc. Information from 1988-2002 was part of the ORIS (Occurrence
Reporting Information System). The SAC (Spills Action Centre) handles all spills reported in Ontario. Regulations for
spills in Ontario are part of the MOE's Environmental Protection Act, Part X.

Wastewater Discharger Registration Database:1990-2011ProvincialSRDSInformation under this heading is combination of the following 2 programs. The Municipal/Industrial Strategy forAbatement (MISA) division of the Ontario Ministry of Environment maintained a database of all direct dischargers of toxicpollutants within nine sectors including:Electric Power Generation; Mining; Petroleum Refining; Organic Chemicals;Inorganic Chemicals;Pulp & Paper; Metal Casting; Iron & Steel; and Quarries. All sampling information is now collectedand stored within the Sample Result Data Store (SRDS).

Anderson's Storage Tanks: 1915-1953* Private TANK The information provided in this database was collected by examining various historical documents, which identified the location of former storage tanks, containing substances such as fuel, water, gas, oil, and other various types of miscellaneous products. Information is available in regard to business operating at tank site, tank location, permit year, permit & installation type, no. of tanks installed & configuration and tank capacity. Data contained within this database pertains only to the city of Toronto and is not warranted to be complete, exhaustive or authoritative. The information was collected for research purposes only.

Transport Canada Fuel Storage Tanks:1970-Mar 2007FederalTCFTWith the provinces of BC, MB, NB, NF, ON, PE, and QC; Transport Canada currently owns and operates 90 fuel storage
tanks. Our inventory provides information on the site name, location, tank age, capacity and fuel type.TCFT

within two years of disuse. If removal of a tank is not feasible, you may apply to seek a variance from this code

TSSA Variances for Abandonment of Underground

Storage Tanks:

WDS The Ontario Ministry of Environment, Waste Management Branch, maintains an inventory of known open (active or inactive) and closed disposal sites in the Province of Ontario. Active sites maintain a Certificate of Approval, are approved to receive and are receiving waste. Inactive sites maintain Certificate(s) of Approval but are not receiving waste. Closed sites are not receiving waste. The data contained within this database was compiled from the MOE's Certificate of Approval database. Locations of these sites may be cross-referenced to the Anderson database described under ERIS's Private Source Database section, by the CA number. All new Environmental Compliance Approvals handed out after Oct 31, 2011 for Waste Disposal Sites will still be found in this database.

The TSSA, Under the Liquid Fuels Handling Code and the Fuel Oil Code, all underground storage tanks must be removed

Current to Oct 2011

Waste Disposal Sites - MOE 1991 Historical Approval Up to Oct 1990* Provincial <u>WDSH</u> Inventory:

In June 1991, the Ontario Ministry of Environment, Waste Management Branch, published the "June 1991 Waste Disposal Site Inventory", of all known active and closed waste disposal sites as of October 30st, 1990. For each "active" site as of October 31st 1990, information is provided on site location, site/CA number, waste type, site status and site classification. For each "closed" site as of October 31st 1990, information is provided on site location, site/CA number, closure date and site classification. Locations of these sites may be cross-referenced to the Anderson database described under ERIS's Private Source Database section, by the CA number.

Provincial Water Well Information System: 1955-2011 WWIS This database describes locations and characteristics of water wells found within Ontario in accordance with Regulation 903. It includes such information as coordinates, construction date, well depth, primary and secondary use, pump rate, static water level, well status, etc. Also included are detailed stratigraphy information, approximate depth to bedrock and the approximate depth to the water table.

Provincial VAR

Provincial

Definitions

<u>Database Descriptions</u>: This section provides a detailed explanation for each database including: source, information available, time coverage, and acronyms used. They are listed in alphabetic order.

<u>Detail Report</u>. This is the section of the report which provides the most detail for each individual record. Records are summarized by location, starting with the project property followed by records in closest proximity.

Distance: The distance value is the distance between plotted points, not necessarily the distance between the sites' boundaries". All values are an approximation.

<u>Elevation</u>: The elevation value is taken from the location at which the records for the site address have been plotted. All values are an approximation. Source: Google Elevation API.

Executive Summary: This portion of the report is divided into 3 sections:

'Report Summary'- Displays a chart indicating how many records fall on the project property, within the report search radius, and the surrounding area outside the search radius.

'Site Report Summary'-Project Property'- This section lists all the records which fall on the project property. For more details, see the 'Detail Report' section.

'Site Report Summary-Surrounding Properties'- This section summarizes all records on adjacent properties, listing them in order of proximity from the project property. For more details, see the 'Detail Report' section.

<u>Map Key:</u> The map key number is assigned according to closest proximity from the project property. Map Key numbers always start at #1. The project property will always have a map key of '1' if records are available. If there is a number in brackets beside the main number, this will indicate the number of records on that specific property. If there is no number in brackets, there is only one record for that property.

The symbol and colour used indicates 'elevation': the red upside down triangle will dictate 'ERIS Sites with Lower Elevation', the yellow triangle will dictate 'ERIS Sites with Higher Elevation' and the orange square will dictate 'ERIS Sites with Same Elevation.'

<u>**Unplottables:**</u> These are records that could not be mapped due to various reasons, including limited geographic information. These records may or may not be in your study area, and were included as reference.

Appendix E





FIELD SAMPLING RECORD - GROUND WATER

LOCATION:	Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site DATE:			May 6, 2021				SAMPLED BY:			RSB / MAG / DMH				
PROJECT NO .:	102.21.015			-		WEATHER (SAM	PLE DAY):	Sunny, 12°C					WEATHER (PRE	VIOUS DAY):	Overcast, 5°C
Monitoring Location	Static Water Level	Borehole Depth (m)	Stick - Up (m)	Borehole Diameter (mm)	Purge V	olumes (L) Obtained	Temperature (°C)	pH (units)	Conductivity (µS)	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	Colour	Obser Clarity	odour	Sheen	Comments
MW08-1	3.94	6.60	0.77	50.8	15	15	7.57	7.52	362	10.22	brown	opaque	none	none	
MW06-2	1.29	3.20	0.93	50.8	12	12	7.82	7.18	634	12.05	brown	opaque	none	none	GW QAVQC
MW06-3	1.26	3.72	0.97	50.8	15	15	6.20	7.25	375	8.40	light brown	opaque	none	none	
MW06-4	2.36	4.84	0.91	50.8	15	15	7.27	7.55	554	9.42	brown	opaque	none	none	
MW09-5R	1.36	2.22	1.20	50.8	6	6	6.72	7.04	281	12.50	brown	opaque	none	none	Floating Organics
MW09-6R	1.55	1.94	1.23	50.8	2	2	7.19	7.35	422	11.10	light brown	opaque	none	none	
MW21-7	0.77	1.68	0.54	50.8	6	6	8.60	7.41	314	11.96	brown	opaque	none	none	New Background Well
MP3R	0.81	1.51	0.91	19.0					-	-				-	
MP4	2.33	2.10	0.74	19.0			-		-	-		-		-	
MP5	0.90	1.01	0.78	19.0					-	-					
MP6	0.59	1.76	0.66	19.0			-		-	-		-		-	
MP7	0.96	1.76	0.71	19.0	-	-	-		-	-		-		-	
GLL7		-	-	-					-	-		-		-	No Sample Due to COVID-19



FIELD SAMPLING RECORD - SURFACE WATER

LOCATION:	Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site DATE:				May 6, 2021				-	SAMPLED BY: RSB / MAG			1			
PROJECT NO .:	102.21.015			<u>-</u>		WEATHER (SAM	PLE DAY):	Sunny, 12°C				<u>-</u>	WEATHER (PRE)	'IOUS DAY):	Overcast, 5°C	
Sample Station	Depth (m)	Width (m)	Distance (m)	Time (s)	Correction (.9=S, .8=R)	Velocity (m/s)	Discharge (m ³ /s)	Temperature (°C)	pH (units)	Conductivity (µS)	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	Colour	Obser Clarity	vations Odour	Sheen	Comments
SW1	0.50	18.00	1.00	3.0	0.9	0.33	2.700	11.45	7.90	203	12.75	clear	clear	none	none	
SW2	0.35	18.00	1.00	5.0	0.9	0.20	1.134	11.24	8.02	202	11.86	clear	clear	none	none	
SW3	0.12	2.00			No Discernible Flow	v		10.04	7.95	502	12.00	clear	clear	none	none	Floating Organics
SW4	0.30	14.00	1.00	4.0	0.9	0.25	0.945	10.97	8.14	208	11.00	clear	clear	none	none	SW QA/QC / Beaver Activity



FIELD SAMPLING RECORD - GROUND WATER

LOCATION:	Mount St. Patrick	Waste Disposal Sit	le	DATE: November 23, 2021					_	SAMPLED BY:		MAG / JD			
PROJECT NO .:	102.21.015			_		WEATHER (SAM	IPLE DAY):	Sunny, 7°C				_	WEATHER (PRE	VIOUS DAY):	Snow, 2°C
Monitoring Location	Static Water Level	Borehole Depth (m)	Stick - Up (m)	Borehole Diameter (mm)	Purge V Needed	/olumes (L) Obtained	Temperature (°C)	pH (units)	Conductivity (µS)	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	Colour	Obser Clarity	odour	Sheen	Comments
MW08-1	5.07	6.44	0.77	50.8	9	9	8.31	7.10	719	9.86	brown	opaque	none	none	GW QAQC
MW06-2	1.82	3.15	0.93	50.8	8	8	6.75	7.55	684	9.88	light brown	opaque	none	none	
MW06-3	1.66	3.50	0.97	50.8	13	13	8.87	7.58	618	6.07	light brown	clear	none	none	
MW06-4	3.35	4.84	0.91	50.8	9	9	6.78	7.81	693	8.71	brown	opaque	none	none	
MW09-5R	1.41	2.18	1.20	50.8	5	5	4.39	7.99	337	8.44	light brown	opaque	sulphur	none	
MW09-6R	1.71	1.90	1.23	50.8	2	2	3.88	7.74	471	9.36	light brown	clear	none	none	
MW21-7	1.49	1.66	0.54	50.8	1	0			-	-				-	Insufficient water to sample
MP3R	-	1.51	0.91	19.0						-				-	Dry
MP4	-	2.10	0.74	19.0					-	-				-	Dry
MP5	-	1.01	0.78	19.0					-	-				-	Dry
MP6	0.90	1.76	0.66	19.0			-	-	-	-		-		-	
MP7	1.10	1.76	0.71	19.0				-		-					
GLL7								-		-					No Sample Due to COVID-19



FIELD SAMPLING RECORD - SURFACE WATER

LOCATION:	Mount St. Patrick Waste Disposal Site DATE:				November 23, 2021				-	SAMPLED BY: MAG / JD						
PROJECT NO .:	102.21.015			-		WEATHER (SAM	PLE DAY):	Sunny, 7°C				-	WEATHER (PREV	'IOUS DAY):	Snow, 2°C	
Sample Station	Depth (m)	Width (m)	Distance (m)	Time (s)	Correction (.9=S, .8=R)	Velocity (m/s)	Discharge (m ³ /s)	Temperature (°C)	pH (units)	Conductivity (µS)	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	Colour	Obser Clarity	vations Odour	Sheen	Comments
SW1	0.25	20.00	1.00	5.0	0.9	0.20	0.900	1.69	7.90	172	12.29	clear	clear	none	none	Floating Organics
SW2	0.20	15.00	1.00	4.0	0.9	0.25	0.675	2.34	8.23	163	14.55	clear	clear	none	none	SW QAVQC
SW3					Dry	r										Dry
SW4	0.40	15.00	1.00	4.0	0.9	0.25	1.350	2.25	7.90	162	14.10	clear	clear	none	none	

Appendix F



C.O.C.: G103257

Report To:

Greenview Environmental Management 13 Commerce Crt., PO Box 100 Bancroft Ontario K0L1C0

Attention: Riley Betson

DATE RECEIVED: 07-May-21 DATE REPORTED: 01-Jun-21

SAMPLE MATRIX: Groundwater

Final Report

REPORT No. B21-13566

Caduceon Environmental Laboratories 285 Dalton Ave Kingston Ontario K7K 6Z1 Tel: 613-544-2001 Fax: 613-544-2770 JOB/PROJECT NO.: Mount St. Patrick WDS P.O. NUMBER: 102.21.015 WATERWORKS NO.

			Client I.D.		MW08-1	MW06-2	MW06-3	MW06-4
			Sample I.D.		B21-13566-1	B21-13566-2	B21-13566-3	B21-13566-4
			Date Collect	ed	06-May-21	06-May-21	06-May-21	06-May-21
Parameter	Units	R.L.	Reference Method	Date/Site Analyzed				
Alkalinity(CaCO3) to pH4.5	mg/L	5	SM 2320B	21-May-21/O	248	326	222	294
pH @25°C	pH Units		SM 4500H	21-May-21/O	7.96	7.90	7.77	8.09
Conductivity (calc.)	µmho/cm		Calc.	25-May-21/O	540	973	621	834
Chloride	mg/L	0.5	SM4110C	13-May-21/O	16.1	99.3	54.4	68.4
Nitrite (N)	mg/L	0.1	SM4110C	13-May-21/O	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Nitrate (N)	mg/L	0.1	SM4110C	13-May-21/O	0.9	0.5	< 0.1	0.9
Sulphate	mg/L	1	SM4110C	13-May-21/O	9	39	16	36
Phosphorus-Total	mg/L	0.01	E3199A.1	18-May-21/K	0.01	0.05	0.08	0.04
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	mg/L	0.1	E3199A.1	18-May-21/K	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.2
Ammonia (N)-Total	mg/L	0.01	SM4500- NH3-H	28-May-21/K	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.03
TDS(ion sum calc.)	mg/L	1	Calc.	25-May-21/O	290	536	336	462
Dissolved Organic Carbon	mg/L	0.2	EPA 415.2	13-May-21/O	2.5	2.6	8.9	2.4
COD	mg/L	5	SM5220C	11-May-21/K	5	9	27	10
Hardness (as CaCO3)	mg/L	1	SM 3120	13-May-21/O	253	400	202	315
Aluminum	mg/L	0.01	SM 3120	13-May-21/O	0.07	0.08	0.05	0.06
Barium	mg/L	0.001	SM 3120	13-May-21/O	0.088	0.232	0.138	0.187
Boron	mg/L	0.005	SM 3120	13-May-21/O	0.009	0.201	0.029	0.057
Cadmium	mg/L).000015	EPA 200.8	17-May-21/O	< 0.000015	< 0.000015	0.000028	< 0.000015
Calcium	mg/L	0.02	SM 3120	13-May-21/O	75.0	118	59.9	92.4
Copper	mg/L	0.0001	EPA 200.8	17-May-21/O	0.0014	0.0014	0.0019	0.0015
Iron	mg/L	0.005	SM 3120	13-May-21/O	0.099	0.005	0.029	< 0.005
Magnesium	mg/L	0.02	SM 3120	13-May-21/O	16.0	25.5	12.6	20.4
Manganese	mg/L	0.001	SM 3120	13-May-21/O	0.007	0.011	0.366	< 0.001
Potassium	mg/L	0.1	SM 3120	13-May-21/O	1.5	2.5	0.6	2.4
Sodium	mg/L	0.2	SM 3120	13-May-21/O	20.3	54.3	58.5	62.0
Silicon	mg/L	0.01	SM 3120	13-May-21/O	4.62	5.50	3.89	4.93
Strontium	mg/L	0.001	SM 3120	13-May-21/O	0.153	0.254	0.117	0.187

R.L. = Reporting Limit

Test methods may be modified from specified reference method unless indicated by an * Site Analyzed=K-Kingston,W-Windsor,O-Ottawa,R-Richmond Hill,B-Barrie

Michelle Dubien Lab Manager



C.O.C.: G103257

Report To:

Greenview Environmental Management 13 Commerce Crt., PO Box 100 Bancroft Ontario K0L1C0 <u>Attention:</u> Riley Betson DATE RECEIVED: 07-May-21

DATE REPORTED: 01-Jun-21 SAMPLE MATRIX: Groundwater

REPORT No. B21-13566

Final Report

Caduceon Environmental Laboratories 285 Dalton Ave Kingston Ontario K7K 6Z1 Tel: 613-544-2001 Fax: 613-544-2770 JOB/PROJECT NO.: Mount St. Patrick WDS P.O. NUMBER: 102.21.015 WATERWORKS NO.

			Client I.D.		MW08-1	MW06-2	MW06-3	MW06-4
			Sample I.D.		B21-13566-1	B21-13566-2	B21-13566-3	B21-13566-4
			Date Collect	be	06-May-21	06-May-21	06-May-21	06-May-21
Parameter	Units	R.L.	Reference Method	Date/Site Analyzed				
Zinc	mg/L	0.005	SM 3120	13-May-21/O	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005

R.L. = Reporting Limit

Test methods may be modified from specified reference method unless indicated by an * Site Analyzed=K-Kingston,W-Windsor,O-Ottawa,R-Richmond Hill,B-Barrie Michelle Dubien Lab Manager

C.O.C.: G103257

Report To:

Greenview Environmental Management 13 Commerce Crt., PO Box 100 Bancroft Ontario K0L1C0 <u>Attention:</u> Riley Betson

DATE RECEIVED: 07-May-21 DATE REPORTED: 01-Jun-21

SAMPLE MATRIX: Groundwater

Final Report

REPORT No. B21-13566

Caduceon Environmental Laboratories 285 Dalton Ave

Kingston Ontario K7K 6Z1 Tel: 613-544-2001 Fax: 613-544-2770

JOB/PROJECT NO .: Mount St. Patrick WDS

P.O. NUMBER: 102.21.015

WATERWORKS NO.

			1		2154			
			Client I.D.		MW09-5R	MW09-6R	MW21-7	QAQC
			Sample I.D.		B21-13566-5	B21-13566-6	B21-13566-7	B21-13566-8
			Date Collect	ed	06-May-21	06-May-21	06-May-21	06-May-21
Parameter	Units	R.L.	Reference Method	Date/Site Analyzed			L	
Alkalinity(CaCO3) to pH4.5	mg/L	5	SM 2320B	21-May-21/O	196	204	160	322
pH @25°C	pH Units		SM 4500H	21-May-21/O	7.61	7.76	7.39	7.79
Conductivity (calc.)	µmho/cm		Calc.	25-May-21/O	441	621	449	970
Chloride	mg/L	0.5	SM4110C	13-May-21/O	15.7	57.6	15.5	99.7
Nitrite (N)	mg/L	0.1	SM4110C	13-May-21/O	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Nitrate (N)	mg/L	0.1	SM4110C	13-May-21/O	0.1	< 0.1	0.2	0.5
Sulphate	mg/L	1	SM4110C	13-May-21/O	5	23	39	38
Phosphorus-Total	mg/L	0.01	E3199A.1	18-May-21/K	0.09	0.03	0.04	0.01
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	mg/L	0.1	E3199A.1	18-May-21/K	0.5	0.7	1.2	0.3
Ammonia (N)-Total	mg/L	0.01	SM4500- NH3-H	28-May-21/K	0.12	0.08	0.18	0.03
TDS(ion sum calc.)	mg/L	1	Calc.	25-May-21/O	228	328	240	534
Dissolved Organic Carbon	mg/L	0.2	EPA 415.2	13-May-21/O	17.6	16.5	25.1	3.0
COD	mg/L	5	SM5220C	11-May-21/K	10	55	133	11
Hardness (as CaCO3)	mg/L	1	SM 3120	13-May-21/O	223	266	216	398
Aluminum	mg/L	0.01	SM 3120	13-May-21/O	0.05	0.07	0.16	0.07
Barium	mg/L	0.001	SM 3120	13-May-21/O	0.099	0.107	0.034	0.230
Boron	mg/L	0.005	SM 3120	13-May-21/O	0.009	0.008	0.020	0.203
Cadmium	mg/L	0.000015	EPA 200.8	17-May-21/O	< 0.000015	< 0.000015	0.000033	< 0.000015
Calcium	mg/L	0.02	SM 3120	13-May-21/O	65.0	83.2	63.9	117
Copper	mg/L	0.0001	EPA 200.8	17-May-21/O	0.0010	0.0042	0.0033	0.0014
Iron	mg/L	0.005	SM 3120	13-May-21/O	0.405	0.326	0.094	0.005
Magnesium	mg/L	0.02	SM 3120	13-May-21/O	14.8	14.1	13.8	25.7
Manganese	mg/L	0.001	SM 3120	13-May-21/O	0.046	0.024	0.033	0.011
Potassium	mg/L	0.1	SM 3120	13-May-21/O	1.3	0.9	1.1	2.6
Sodium	mg/L	0.2	SM 3120	13-May-21/O	7.5	25.9	8.8	54.6
Silicon	mg/L	0.01	SM 3120	13-May-21/O	4.44	4.52	5.20	5.55
Strontium	mg/L	0.001	SM 3120	13-May-21/O	0.147	0.229	0.159	0.255

R.L. = Reporting Limit

Test methods may be modified from specified reference method unless indicated by an * Site Analyzed=K-Kingston,W-Windsor,O-Ottawa,R-Richmond Hill,B-Barrie

The analytical results reported herein refer to the samples as received. Reproduction of this analytical report in full or in part is prohibited without prior consent from

Michelle Dubien

Lab Manager



C.O.C.: G103257

Report To:

Greenview Environmental Management 13 Commerce Crt., PO Box 100 Bancroft Ontario K0L1C0

Attention: Riley Betson

DATE RECEIVED: 07-May-21 DATE REPORTED: 01-Jun-21 SAMPLE MATRIX: Groundwater

REPORT No. B21-13566

Final Report

Caduceon Environmental Laboratories 285 Dalton Ave Kingston Ontario K7K 6Z1 Tel: 613-544-2001 Fax: 613-544-2770 JOB/PROJECT NO.: Mount St. Patrick WDS P.O. NUMBER: 102.21.015

WATERWORKS NO.

			Client I.D.		MW09-5R	MW09-6R	MW21-7	QAQC
			Sample I.D.		B21-13566-5	B21-13566-6	B21-13566-7	B21-13566-8
			Date Collected		06-May-21	06-May-21	06-May-21	06-May-21
Parameter	Units	R.L.	Reference Method	Date/Site Analyzed				
Zinc	mg/L	0.005	SM 3120	13-May-21/O	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.029	< 0.005

R.L. = Reporting Limit

Test methods may be modified from specified reference method unless indicated by an * Site Analyzed=K-Kingston,W-Windsor,O-Ottawa,R-Richmond Hill,B-Barrie

Michelle Dubien Lab Manager

The analytical results reported herein refer to the samples as received. Reproduction of this analytical report in full or in part is prohibited without prior consent from

⇒ į,

C.O.C.: G103258

Report To:

Greenview Environmental Management 13 Commerce Crt., PO Box 100 Bancroft Ontario K0L1C0 <u>Attention:</u> Riley Betson DATE RECEIVED: 07-May-21 DATE REPORTED: 01-Jun-21

SAMPLE MATRIX: Surface Water

Final Report

REPORT No. B21-13521

Caduceon Environmental Laboratories 285 Dalton Ave Kingston Ontario K7K 6Z1 Tel: 613-544-2001 Fax: 613-544-2770 JOB/PROJECT NO.: Mount St. Patrick WDS P.O. NUMBER: 102.21.015 WATERWORKS NO.

			Client I.D.		SW-1	SW-2	SW-3	SW-4
			Sample I.D.		B21-13521-1	B21-13521-2	B21-13521-3	B21-13521-4
			Date Collect	ed	06-May-21	06-May-21	06-May-21	06-May-21
Parameter	Units	R.L.	Reference Method	Date/Site Analyzed			•	·
Alkalinity(CaCO3) to pH4.5	mg/L	5	SM 2320B	20-May-21/O	133	134	284	133
pH @25°C	pH Units		SM 4500H	20-May-21/O	8.15	8.08	8.19	8.01
Conductivity (calc.)	µmho/cm		Calc.	21-May-21/O	289	285	699	290
Chloride	mg/L	0.5	SM4110C	13-May-21/O	8.0	8.0	59.7	8.1
Nitrite (N)	mg/L	0.05	SM4110C	13-May-21/O	< 0.05	< 0.05	0.06	< 0.05
Nitrate (N)	mg/L	0.05	SM4110C	13-May-21/O	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Sulphate	mg/L	1	SM4110C	13-May-21/O	6	6	10	6
BOD(5 day)	mg/L	3	SM 5210B	12-May-21/K	< 3	< 3	< 3	< 3
Total Suspended Solids	mg/L	3	SM2540D	11-May-21/K	4	4	22	3
Phosphorus-Total	mg/L	0.01	E3199A.1	15-May-21/K	0.03	0.04	0.06	0.03
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	mg/L	0.1	E3199A.1	15-May-21/K	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.4
Ammonia (N)-Total	mg/L	0.01	SM4500- NH3-H	28-May-21/K	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.03
TDS(ion sum calc.)	mg/L	1	Calc.	21-May-21/O	150	149	380	150
Dissolved Organic Carbon	mg/L	0.2	EPA 415.2	13-May-21/O	7.1	7.4	5.0	7.1
Phenolics	mg/L	0.001	MOEE 3179	17-May-21/K	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
COD	mg/L	5	SM5220C	11-May-21/K	21	22	31	22
Hardness (as CaCO3)	mg/L	1	SM 3120	11-May-21/O	135	131	260	137
Arsenic	mg/L	0.0001	EPA 200.8	14-May-21/O	< 0.0001	0.0001	< 0.0001	0.0001
Barium	mg/L	0.001	SM 3120	11-May-21/O	0.062	0.059	0.201	0.063
Boron	mg/L	0.005	SM 3120	11-May-21/O	0.007	0.007	0.009	0.008
Cadmium	mg/L).000015	EPA 200.8	14-May-21/O	< 0.000015	< 0.000015	< 0.000015	< 0.000015
Calcium	mg/L	0.02	SM 3120	11-May-21/O	42.0	40.6	77.4	42.8
Chromium	mg/L	0.001	EPA 200.8	17-May-21/O	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Copper	mg/L	0.0001	EPA 200.8	17-May-21/O	0.0005	0.0005	0.0008	0.0003
Iron	mg/L	0.005	SM 3120	11-May-21/O	0.066	0.063	0.020	0.067
Lead	mg/L	0.00002	EPA 200.8	14-May-21/O	0.00004	0.00005	0.00003	0.00004
Magnesium	mg/L	0.02	SM 3120	11-May-21/O	7.33	7.17	16.3	7 34

R.L. = Reporting Limit

Test methods may be modified from specified reference method unless indicated by an * Site Analyzed=K-Kingston,W-Windsor,O-Ottawa,R-Richmond Hill,B-Barrie

The analytical results reported herein refer to the samples as received. Reproduction of this analytical report in full or in part is prohibited without prior consent from

Michelle Dubien

Lab Manager



C.O.C.: G103258

. .

Final Report

REPORT No. B21-13521

Report To:	Caduceon Environmental Laboratories
Greenview Environmental Management	285 Dalton Ave
13 Commerce Crt., PO Box 100	Kingston Ontario K7K 6Z1
Bancroft Ontario K0L1C0 <u>Attention:</u> Riley Betson	Tel: 613-544-2001 Fax: 613-544-2770
DATE RECEIVED: 07-May-21	JOB/PROJECT NO.: Mount St. Patrick WDS
DATE REPORTED: 01-Jun-21	P.O. NUMBER: 102.21.015
SAMPLE MATRIX: Surface Water	WATERWORKS NO.

		[Client I.D.		SW-1	SW-2	SW-3	SW-4
			Sample I.D.		B21-13521-1	B21-13521-2	B21-13521-3	B21-13521-4
			Date Collect	ed	06-May-21	06-May-21	06-May-21	06-May-21
Parameter	Units	R.L.	Reference Method	Date/Site Analyzed				
Manganese	mg/L	0.001	SM 3120	11-May-21/O	0.031	0.028	0.002	0.031
Mercury	mg/L	0.00002	SM 3112 B	13-May-21/O	< 0.00002	< 0.00002	< 0.00002	< 0.00002
Potassium	mg/L	0.1	SM 3120	11-May-21/O	1.0	0.9	1.2	1.0
Sodium	mg/L	0.2	SM 3120	11-May-21/O	5.5	5.4	45.4	5.7
Strontium	mg/L	0.001	SM 3120	11-May-21/O	0.119	0.117	0.138	0.120
Zinc	mg/L	0.005	SM 3120	11-May-21/O	0.008	0.010	0.008	0.008

R.L. = Reporting Limit

Test methods may be modified from specified reference method unless indicated by an * Site Analyzed=K-Kingston,W-Windsor,O-Ottawa,R-Richmond Hill,B-Barrie

Michelle Dubien Lab Manager

C.O.C.: G103258

Report To:

Greenview Environmental Management 13 Commerce Crt., PO Box 100 Bancroft Ontario K0L1C0 Attention: Riley Betson DATE RECEIVED: 07-May-21

DATE REPORTED: 01-Jun-21 SAMPLE MATRIX: Surface Water

Final Report

REPORT No. B21-13521

Caduceon Environmental Laboratories 285 Dalton Ave Kingston Ontario K7K 6Z1 Tel: 613-544-2001 Fax: 613-544-2770 JOB/PROJECT NO.: Mount St. Patrick WDS P.O. NUMBER: 102.21.015

WATERWORKS NO.

		Ì	Client I.D.		QAOC		1	
			Sample I.D.		B21-13521-5			
			Date Collect	ed	06-May-21			
			Deference	D=4=1014=	00 may 21			
Parameter	Units	R.L.	Method	Analyzed				
Alkalinity(CaCO3) to pH4.5	mg/L	5	SM 2320B	20-May-21/O	134			
pH @25°C	pH Units		SM 4500H	20-May-21/O	8.06			
Conductivity (calc.)	µmho/cm		Calc.	21-May-21/O	294	• We APPEN of the orbital industry sources were source as an annual starting supergroup of apply of the ap		
Chloride	mg/L	0.5	SM4110C	13-May-21/O	8.1			
Nitrite (N)	mg/L	0.05	SM4110C	13-May-21/O	< 0.05			
Nitrate (N)	mg/L	0.05	SM4110C	13-May-21/O	< 0.05			
Sulphate	mg/L	1	SM4110C	13-May-21/O	6			
BOD(5 day)	mg/L	3	SM 5210B	12-May-21/K	< 3		11	■ 1 × 1 × 1 × 1 × 1 × 1 × 1 × 1 × 1 × 1
Total Suspended Solids	mg/L	3	SM2540D	11-May-21/K	3			
Phosphorus-Total	mg/L	0.01	E3199A.1	15-May-21/K	0.06			
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	mg/L	0.1	E3199A.1	15-May-21/K	0.4			
Ammonia (N)-Total	mg/L	0.01	SM4500- NH3-H	28-May-21/K	0.03			
TDS(ion sum calc.)	mg/L	1	Calc.	21-May-21/O	153			
Dissolved Organic Carbon	mg/L	0.2	EPA 415.2	13-May-21/O	7.3			
Phenolics	mg/L	0.001	MOEE 3179	17-May-21/K	< 0.001			
COD	mg/L	5	SM5220C	11-May-21/K	22			
Hardness (as CaCO3)	mg/L	1	SM 3120	11-May-21/O	139			
Arsenic	mg/L	0.0001	EPA 200.8	14-May-21/O	< 0.0001	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Barium	mg/L	0.001	SM 3120	11-May-21/O	0.063			
Boron	mg/L	0.005	SM 3120	11-May-21/O	0.008			
Cadmium	mg/L).000015	EPA 200.8	14-May-21/O	< 0.000015			
Calcium	mg/L	0.02	SM 3120	11-May-21/O	43.5			
Chromium	mg/L	0.001	EPA 200.8	17-May-21/O	< 0.001			
Copper	mg/L	0.0001	EPA 200.8	17-May-21/O	0.0005	100 and 100 100 allows		
Iron	mg/L	0.005	SM 3120	11-May-21/O	0.066			
Lead	mg/L	0.00002	EPA 200.8	14-May-21/O	0.00004			
Magnesium	mg/L	0.02	SM 3120	11-May-21/O	7.48	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		

R.L. = Reporting Limit

Test methods may be modified from specified reference method unless indicated by an * Site Analyzed=K-Kingston,W-Windsor,O-Ottawa,R-Richmond Hill,B-Barrie

The analytical results reported herein refer to the samples as received. Reproduction of this analytical report in full or in part is prohibited without prior consent from

Michelle Dubien

Lab Manager



C.O.C.: G103258

Report To:

Greenview Environmental Management 13 Commerce Crt., PO Box 100 Bancroft Ontario K0L1C0 <u>Attention:</u> Riley Betson

DATE RECEIVED: 07-May-21 DATE REPORTED: 01-Jun-21

SAMPLE MATRIX: Surface Water

Final Report

REPORT No. B21-13521

Caduceon Environmental Laboratories 285 Dalton Ave Kingston Ontario K7K 6Z1 Tel: 613-544-2001 Fax: 613-544-2770 JOB/PROJECT NO.: Mount St. Patrick WDS P.O. NUMBER: 102.21.015 WATERWORKS NO.

			Client I.D.		QAQC		
			Sample I.D.		B21-13521-5		
N			Date Collect	ed	06-May-21	1 1	
Parameter	Units	R.L.	Reference Method	Date/Site Analyzed			
Manganese	mg/L	0.001	SM 3120	11-May-21/O	0.030		
Mercury	mg/L	0.00002	SM 3112 B	13-May-21/O	< 0.00002	a and a second sec	
Potassium	mg/L	0.1	SM 3120	11-May-21/O	1.0		
Sodium	mg/L	0.2	SM 3120	11-May-21/O	5.8		
Strontium	mg/L	0.001	SM 3120	11-May-21/O	0.122		
Zinc	mg/L	0.005	SM 3120	11-May-21/O	0.009		

R.L. = Reporting Limit

Test methods may be modified from specified reference method unless indicated by an * Site Analyzed=K-Kingston,W-Windsor,O-Ottawa,R-Richmond Hill,B-Barrie

Michelle Dubien

Lab Manager

ENVIRONMENTAL LABORATORYES Client committed. Quality assured.

C.O.C.: G101828

Report To:

Greenview Environmental Management

CADUCE

13 Commerce Crt., PO Box 100 Bancroft Ontario K0L1C0

Attention: Mike Grasby

DATE RECEIVED: 25-Nov-21

DATE REPORTED: 06-Jan-22 SAMPLE MATRIX: Groundwater

Final Report

REPORT No. B21-38656

Caduceon Environmental Laboratories 285 Dalton Ave Kingston Ontario K7K 6Z1 Tel: 613-544-2001 Fax: 613-544-2770 JOB/PROJECT NO.: Mount St. Patrick WDS

P.O. NUMBER: 102.21.015

WATERWORKS NO.

			Client I.D.		MW06-2	MW06-3	MW09-5R	MW09-6R
			Sample I.D.		B21-38656-1	B21-38656-2	B21-38656-3	B21-38656-4
			Date Collect	ed	23-Nov-21	23-Nov-21	23-Nov-21	23-Nov-21
Parameter	Units	R.L.	Reference Method	Date/Site Analyzed				
Alkalinity(CaCO3) to pH4.5	mg/L	5	SM 2320B	06-Dec-21/O	340	266	222	229
pH @25°C	pH Units		SM 4500H	06-Dec-21/O	8.07	7.72	7.32	7.86
Conductivity @25°C	µmho/cm	1	SM 2510B	06-Dec-21/O	1020	824	504	758
Chloride	mg/L	0.5	SM4110C	01-Dec-21/O	95.2	89.1	19.2	85.0
Nitrite (N)	mg/L	0.1	SM4110C	01-Dec-21/O	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Nitrate (N)	mg/L	0.1	SM4110C	01-Dec-21/O	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1
Sulphate	mg/L	1	SM4110C	01-Dec-21/O	49	20	12	24
Phosphorus-Total	mg/L	0.01	E3199A.1	14-Dec-21/K	2.65	0.10	0.82	0.10
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	mg/L	0.1	E3199A.1	14-Dec-21/K	3.2	0.5	8.2	0.8
Ammonia (N)-Total	mg/L	0.01	SM4500- NH3-H	30-Nov-21/K	0.06	0.03	0.08	0.08
TDS (Calc. from Cond.)	mg/L	1	Calc.	07-Dec-21	547	434	261	396
Dissolved Organic Carbon	mg/L	0.2	EPA 415.2	05-Jan-22/O	2.9	8.9	18.9	13.7
COD	mg/L	5	SM5220C	29-Nov-21/K	131	28	439	51
Hardness (as CaCO3)	mg/L	1	SM 3120	29-Nov-21/O	469	298	283	365
Aluminum	mg/L	0.01	SM 3120	29-Nov-21/O	0.16	0.02	0.02	0.13
Barium	mg/L	0.001	SM 3120	29-Nov-21/O	0.284	0.221	0.126	0.164
Boron	mg/L	0.005	SM 3120	29-Nov-21/O	0.112	0.036	0.009	0.010
Cadmium	mg/L).000015	EPA 200.8	07-Dec-21/O	< 0.000015	0.000037	< 0.000015	0.000037
Calcium	mg/L	0.02	SM 3120	29-Nov-21/O	139	89.2	83.3	113
Copper	mg/L	0.002	SM 3120	29-Nov-21/O	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002
Iron	mg/L	0.005	SM 3120	29-Nov-21/O	0.365	0.028	0.126	1.20
Magnesium	mg/L	0.02	SM 3120	29-Nov-21/O	29.5	18.2	18.2	19.9
Manganese	mg/L	0.001	SM 3120	29-Nov-21/O	0.146	0.307	0.025	0.123
Potassium	mg/L	0.1	SM 3120	29-Nov-21/O	3.8	1.2	0.9	1.6
Silicon	mg/L	0.01	SM 3120	29-Nov-21/O	6.07	5.00	5.09	5.76
Sodium	mg/L	0.2	SM 3120	29-Nov-21/O	62.3	74.4	9.5	37.5
Strontium	ma/l	0.001	SM 3120	29-Nov-21/0	0 294	0.174	0 181	0.205

R.L. = Reporting Limit

Test methods may be modified from specified reference method unless indicated by an * Site Analyzed=K-Kingston,W-Windsor,O-Ottawa,R-Richmond Hill,B-Barrie

Michelle Dubien Lab Manager

CADUCE

ENVIRONMENTAL LABORATOR¹ES Client committed. Quality assured.

C.O.C.: G101828

Report To:

Greenview Environmental Management

13 Commerce Crt., PO Box 100 Bancroft Ontario K0L1C0

Attention: Mike Grasby

DATE RECEIVED: 25-Nov-21

DATE REPORTED: 06-Jan-22

SAMPLE MATRIX: Groundwater

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

Final Report

REPORT No. B21-38656

Caduceon Environmental Laboratories 285 Dalton Ave Kingston Ontario K7K 6Z1 Tel: 613-544-2001 Fax: 613-544-2770 JOB/PROJECT NO.: Mount St. Patrick WDS

P.O. NUMBER: 102.21.015

WATERWORKS NO.

			Client I.D.		MW06-2	MW06-3	MW09-5R	MW09-6R
			Sample I.D.		B21-38656-1	B21-38656-2	B21-38656-3	B21-38656-4
			Date Collect	ed	23-Nov-21	23-Nov-21	23-Nov-21	23-Nov-21
Parameter	Units	R.L.	Reference Method	Date/Site Analyzed				
Zinc	mg/L	0.005	SM 3120	29-Nov-21/O	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.008

R.L. = Reporting Limit

Test methods may be modified from specified reference method unless indicated by an * Site Analyzed=K-Kingston,W-Windsor,O-Ottawa,R-Richmond Hill,B-Barrie

Michelle Dubien Lab Manager

ENVIRONMENTAL LABORATORYES Client committed. Quality assured.

C.O.C.: G101828

Report To:

Greenview Environmental Management

CADUCE

13 Commerce Crt., PO Box 100 Bancroft Ontario K0L1C0 <u>Attention:</u> Mike Grasby

DATE RECEIVED: 25-Nov-21

DATE REPORTED: 06-Jan-22

SAMPLE MATRIX: Groundwater

Caduceon Environmental Laboratories 285 Dalton Ave

Kingston Ontario K7K 6Z1 Tel: 613-544-2001 Fax: 613-544-2770

JOB/PROJECT NO .: Mount St. Patrick WDS

P.O. NUMBER: 102.21.015

WATERWORKS NO.

		1	Client I.D.		MW06-4	MW08-1	GW QA/QC	
			Sample I.D.		B21-38656-5	B21-38656-6	B21-38656-7	
			Date Collect	ed	23-Nov-21	23-Nov-21	23-Nov-21	
Parameter	Units	R.L.	Reference Method	Date/Site Analyzed				
Alkalinity(CaCO3) to pH4.5	mg/L	5	SM 2320B	06-Dec-21/O	327	299	300	
pH @25°C	pH Units		SM 4500H	06-Dec-21/O	7.77	7.93	7.90	
Conductivity @25°C	µmho/cm	1	SM 2510B	06-Dec-21/O	937	835	840	
Chloride	mg/L	0.5	SM4110C	01-Dec-21/O	75.1	78.4	77.3	
Nitrite (N)	mg/L	0.1	SM4110C	01-Dec-21/O	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	
Nitrate (N)	mg/L	0.1	SM4110C	01-Dec-21/O	0.8	0.5	0.5	
Sulphate	mg/L	1	SM4110C	01-Dec-21/O	50	12	13	
Phosphorus-Total	mg/L	0.01	E3199A.1	14-Dec-21/K	0.32	1.35	1.39	
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	mg/L	0.1	E3199A.1	14-Dec-21/K	0.3	0.6	0.6	
Ammonia (N)-Total	mg/L	0.01	SM4500- NH3-H	30-Nov-21/K	0.02	0.13	0.03	
TDS (Calc. from Cond.)	mg/L	1	Calc.	07-Dec-21	498	440	443	
Dissolved Organic Carbon	mg/L	0.2	EPA 415.2	05-Jan-22/O	2.9	1.4	1.5	
COD	mg/L	5	SM5220C	29-Nov-21/K	< 5	22	71	
Hardness (as CaCO3)	mg/L	1	SM 3120	29-Nov-21/O	400	388	382	
Aluminum	mg/L	0.01	SM 3120	29-Nov-21/O	0.03	0.19	0.17	
Barium	mg/L	0.001	SM 3120	29-Nov-21/O	0.247	0.163	0.161	
Boron	mg/L	0.005	SM 3120	29-Nov-21/O	0.083	0.013	0.013	
Cadmium	mg/L).000015	EPA 200.8	07-Dec-21/O	< 0.000015	< 0.000015	< 0.000015	
Calcium	mg/L	0.02	SM 3120	29-Nov-21/O	118	117	116	
Copper	mg/L	0.002	SM 3120	29-Nov-21/O	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	
Iron	mg/L	0.005	SM 3120	29-Nov-21/O	0.010	0.447	0.420	
Magnesium	mg/L	0.02	SM 3120	29-Nov-21/O	25.2	22.9	22.5	
Manganese	mg/L	0.001	SM 3120	29-Nov-21/O	0.002	0.038	0.035	
Potassium	mg/L	0.1	SM 3120	29-Nov-21/O	2.9	2.3	2.3	
Silicon	mg/L	0.01	SM 3120	29-Nov-21/O	5.16	5.41	5.34	
Sodium	mg/L	0.2	SM 3120	29-Nov-21/O	63.2	47.2	47.0	
Strontium	mg/L	0.001	SM 3120	29-Nov-21/O	0.230	0.229	0.226	

R.L. = Reporting Limit

Test methods may be modified from specified reference method unless indicated by an * Site Analyzed=K-Kingston,W-Windsor,O-Ottawa,R-Richmond Hill,B-Barrie

Michelle Dubien Lab Manager

The analytical results reported herein refer to the samples as received. Reproduction of this analytical report in full or in part is prohibited without prior consent from

Page 3 of 4.

Final Report

REPORT No. B21-38656

CADUCE

ENVIRONMENTAL LABORATORYES Client committed. Quality assured.

C.O.C.: G101828

Report To:

Greenview Environmental Management 13 Commerce Crt., PO Box 100

Bancroft Ontario K0L1C0

Attention: Mike Grasby

DATE RECEIVED: 25-Nov-21

DATE REPORTED: 06-Jan-22

SAMPLE MATRIX: Groundwater

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

Final Report

REPORT No. B21-38656

Caduceon Environmental Laboratories 285 Dalton Ave Kingston Ontario K7K 6Z1 Tel: 613-544-2001 Fax: 613-544-2770 JOB/PROJECT NO.: Mount St. Patrick WDS P.O. NUMBER: 102.21.015

WATERWORKS NO.

			Client I.D.	·····	MVV06-4	MVV08-1	GW QA/QC	7.
			Sample I.D.		B21-38656-5	B21-38656-6	B21-38656-7	
			Date Collect	ed	23-Nov-21	23-Nov-21	23-Nov-21	
Parameter	Units	R.L.	Reference Method	Date/Site Analyzed				
Zinc	mg/L	0.005	SM 3120	29-Nov-21/O	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	

R.L. = Reporting Limit

Test methods may be modified from specified reference method unless indicated by an * Site Analyzed=K-Kingston,W-Windsor,O-Ottawa,R-Richmond Hill,B-Barrie

Michelle Dubien Lab Manager

ENVIRONMENTAL LABORATOR TES Client committed. Quality assured.

C.O.C.: G101828

Report To:

Greenview Environmental Management 13 Commerce Crt., PO Box 100

Bancroft Ontario K0L1C0

Attention: Mike Grasby

DATE RECEIVED: 25-Nov-21 DATE REPORTED: 06-Jan-22

SAMPLE MATRIX: Surface Water

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

Final Report

REPORT No. B21-38659

Caduceon Environmental Laboratories 285 Dalton Ave Kingston Ontario K7K 6Z1 Tel: 613-544-2001 Fax: 613-544-2770

JOB/PROJECT NO .: Mount St. Patrick WDS P.O. NUMBER: 102.21.015 WATERWORKS NO.

			Contract of the local division of the local					
			Client I.D.		SW-4	SW-2	SW-1	SW QA/QC
			Sample I.D.		B21-38659-1	B21-38659-2	B21-38659-3	B21-38659-4
			Date Collect	ed	23-Nov-21	23-Nov-21	23-Nov-21	23-Nov-21
Parameter	Units	R.L.	Reference Method	Date/Site Analyzed			Lee	·
Alkalinity(CaCO3) to pH4.5	mg/L	5	SM 2320B	06-Dec-21/O	138	137	139	151
pH @25°C	pH Units		SM 4500H	06-Dec-21/O	8.07	8.09	8.14	8.12
Conductivity @25°C	µmho/cm	1	SM 2510B	06-Dec-21/O	283	286	281	310
Chloride	mg/L	0.5	SM4110C	30-Nov-21/O	9.7	8.8	9.1	9.0
Nitrite (N)	mg/L	0.1	SM4110C	30-Nov-21/O	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Nitrate (N)	mg/L	0.1	SM4110C	30-Nov-21/O	< 0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Sulphate	mg/L	1	SM4110C	30-Nov-21/O	6	6	6	7
BOD(5 day)	mg/L	3	SM 5210B	25-Nov-21/K	< 3	< 3	< 3	< 3
Total Suspended Solids	mg/L	3	SM2540D	25-Nov-21/K	< 3	10	19	22
Phosphorus-Total	mg/L	0.01	E3199A.1	17-Dec-21/K	0.01	0.03	0.04	0.01
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	mg/L	0.1	E3199A.1	17-Dec-21/K	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.4
Ammonia (N)-Total	mg/L	0.01	SM4500- NH3-H	29-Nov-21/K	0.05	0.02	0.02	0.01
TDS (Calc. from Cond.)	mg/L	1	Calc.	07-Dec-21	145	147	144	159
Dissolved Organic Carbon	mg/L	0.2	EPA 415.2	05-Jan-22/O	6.5	6.3	8.0	6.1
Phenolics	mg/L	0.001	MOEE 3179	29-Nov-21/K	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
COD	mg/L	5	SM5220C	29-Nov-21/K	12	11	14	14
Hardness (as CaCO3)	mg/L	1	SM 3120	26-Nov-21/O	141	144	132	138
Arsenic	mg/L	0.0001	EPA 200.8	01-Dec-21/O	< 0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001
Barium	mg/L	0.001	SM 3120	26-Nov-21/O	0.067	0.069	0.066	0.066
Boron	mg/L	0.005	SM 3120	26-Nov-21/O	0.018	0.010	0.009	0.008
Cadmium	mg/L).000015	EPA 200.8	01-Dec-21/O	< 0.000015	< 0.000015	< 0.000015	< 0.000015
Calcium	mg/L	0.02	SM 3120	26-Nov-21/O	42.7	43.2	39.6	41.4
Chromium	mg/L	0.001	EPA 200.8	01-Dec-21/O	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Copper	mg/L	0.0001	EPA 200.8	01-Dec-21/O	0.0008	0.0005	0.0005	0.0003
Iron	mg/L	0.005	SM 3120	26-Nov-21/O	0.054	0.051	0.338	0.067
Lead	mg/L	0.00002	EPA 200.8	01-Dec-21/O	0.00006	0.00003	0.00016	0.00005
Magnesium	mg/L	0.02	SM 3120	26-Nov-21/O	8.44	8.65	8.06	8.38

R.L. = Reporting Limit

Test methods may be modified from specified reference method unless indicated by an * Site Analyzed=K-Kingston,W-Windsor,O-Ottawa,R-Richmond Hill,B-Barrie

The analytical results reported herein refer to the samples as received. Reproduction of this analytical report in full or in part is prohibited without prior consent from

Lab Manager

Page 1 of 2.

Michelle Dubien



Client committed. Quality assured.

C.O.C.: G101828

Report To:

Greenview Environmental Management

13 Commerce Crt., PO Box 100 Bancroft Ontario K0L1C0 <u>Attention:</u> Mike Grasby

DATE RECEIVED: 25-Nov-21 DATE REPORTED: 06-Jan-22 SAMPLE MATRIX: Surface Water

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

Final Report

REPORT No. B21-38659

Caduceon Environmental Laboratories 285 Dalton Ave

Kingston Ontario K7K 6Z1 Tel: 613-544-2001 Fax: 613-544-2770

JOB/PROJECT NO.: Mount St. Patrick WDS P.O. NUMBER: 102.21.015

WATERWORKS NO.

			Client I.D.		SW-4	SW-2	SW-1	SW QA/QC
			Sample I.D.		B21-38659-1	B21-38659-2	B21-38659-3	B21-38659-4
			Date Collect	ed	23-Nov-21	23-Nov-21	23-Nov-21	23-Nov-21
Parameter	Units	R.L.	Reference Method	Date/Site Analyzed				•
Manganese	mg/L	0.001	SM 3120	26-Nov-21/O	0.015	0.017	0.117	0.018
Mercury	mg/L	0.00002	SM 3112 B	29-Nov-21/O	< 0.00002	< 0.00002	< 0.00002	< 0.00002
Potassium	mg/L	0.1	SM 3120	26-Nov-21/O	1.2	1.2	1.1	1.1
Sodium	mg/L	0.2	SM 3120	26-Nov-21/O	6.1	5.6	5.2	5.3
Strontium	mg/L	0.001	SM 3120	26-Nov-21/O	0.127	0.131	0,119	0.126
Zinc	mg/L	0.005	SM 3120	26-Nov-21/O	0.011	0.010	0.010	0.007

R.L. = Reporting Limit

Test methods may be modified from specified reference method unless indicated by an * Site Analyzed=K-Kingston,W-Windsor,O-Ottawa,R-Richmond Hill,B-Barrie

Michelle Dubien Lab Manager

Appendix G



Appendix D-Monitoring and Screening Checklist General Information and Instructions

General Information: The checklist is to be completed, and submitted with the Monitoring Report. Instructions: A complete checklist consists of:

- (a) a completed and signed checklist, including any additional pages of information which can be attached as needed to provide further details where indicated.
- (b) completed contact information for the Competent Environmental Practitioner (CEP)
- (c) self-declaration that CEP(s) meet(s) the qualifications as set out below and in Section 1.2 of the Technical Guidance Document.

Definition of Groundwater CEP:

For groundwater, the CEP must have expertise in hydrogeology and meet one of the following:

- (a) the person holds a licence, limited licence or temporary licence under the Professional Engineers Act; or
- (b) the person holds a certificate of registration under the *Professional Geoscientists Act, 2000* and is a practicing member, temporary member or limited member of the Association of Professional Geoscientists of Ontario. O. Reg. 66/08, s. 2..

Definition of Surface water CEP:

A CEP for surface water assessments is a scientist, professional engineer or professional geoscientist as described in (a) and (b) above with demonstrated experience and post-secondary education, either a diploma or degree, in hydrology, aquatic ecology, limnology, aquatic biology, physical geography with specialization in surface water, and/or water resource management.

The type of scientific work that a CEP performs must be consistent with that person's education and experience. If an individual has appropriate training and credentials in both groundwater and surface water and is responsible for both areas of expertise, the CEP may then complete and validate both sections of the checklist.

Monitoring Report and Site Information

Waste Disposal Site Name:	Mount St. Patrick WDS
Location (e.g. street address,	lot, concession): Part of Lot 4, Concession 14, geographic Township of
Brougham, Township of Great	er Madawaska
GPS Location (taken within th	ne property boundary at front gate/front entry):
North American Datum (NAD83	3) are 351183.0 metres (m) East, 5021553.0 m North, in Zone 18T
Municipality: Township of Great	ater Madawaska
Client and/or Site Owner: Tow	vnship of Greater Madawaska
Monitoring Period (Year): 20	021

This Monitoring Report is being submitted under the following: • Certificate of Approval No.: A411901

- Director's Order No.:
- Provincial Officer's Order No.:
- o Other: _____

If closed, specify C of A, control or authorizing document closure date:

Has the nature of the operations at the site changed during this monitoring period? Yes	No	L
If yes, provide details:		

Groundwater WDS Verification:

Based on all available information about the site and site knowledge, it is my opinion that:

Sampling and Monitoring Program Status:

 The monitoring program continues to effectively characterize site conditions and any groundwater discharges from the site. All monitoring wells are confirmed to be in good condition and are secure:

X		l
	Yes	No

If no, list exceptions:

See report

 All groundwater, leachate and WDS gas sampling and monitoring for the monitoring period being reported on was successfully completed as required by Certificate(s) of Approval or other relevant authorizing/control document(s):

Χ	Yes	No	Not applicable
---	-----	----	----------------

If no, list exceptions below or attach information.

Groundwater Sampling Location	Description/Explanation for change (change in name or location, additions, deletions)	Date
See report		

November 2010

 a) Some or all groundwater, leachate and WDS gas sampling and monitoring requirements have been established or defined outside of a ministry C of A, authorizing, or control document.

Yes	X No	Not applicable
-----	------	----------------

b) If yes, the sampling and monitoring identified under 3(a) for the monitoring period being reported on was successfully completed in accordance with established protocols, frequencies, locations, and parameters developed as per the Technical Guidance Document:

Yes	No

Not applicable

If no, list exceptions or attach additional information.

Description/Explanation for change (change in name or location, additions, deletions)	Date		
New background monitoring well MW21-7 installed in spring 2021.			
	Description/Explanation for change (change in name or location, additions, deletions) itoring well MW21-7 installed in spring 2021.		

4) All field work for groundwater investigations was done in accordance with standard operating procedures as established/outlined per the Technical Guidance Document (including internal/external QA/QC requirements) (Note: A SOP can be from a published source, developed internally by the site owner's consultant, or adopted by the consultant from another organization):

No Yes

If no, specify:

November 2010

Sampling and Monitoring Program Results/WDS Conditions and Assessment:

5) The site has an adequate buffer, Contaminant Attenuation Zone (CAZ) and/or contingency plan in place. Design and operational measures, including the size and configuration of any CAZ, are adequate to prevent potential human health impacts and impairment of the environment.

X Yes No

If no, the potential design and operational concerns/exceptions are as follows:

See report

6) The site meets compliance and assessment criteria.

X _{Yes} No

If no, list and explain exceptions See report

7) The site continues to perform as anticipated. There have been no unusual trends/ changes in measured leachate and groundwater levels or concentrations.



If no, list exceptions and explain reason for increase/change.

No

See report

- 8) Is one or more of the following risk reduction practices in place at the site:
 - (a) There is minimal reliance on natural attenuation of leachate due to the presence of an effective waste liner and active leachate collection/treatment; or
 - (b) There is a predictive monitoring program in-place (modeled indicator concentrations projected over time for key locations); or
 - (c) The site meets the following two conditions (typically achieved after 15 years or longer of site operation):
 - *i.* The site has developed stable leachate mound(s) and stable leachate plume geometry/concentrations; and
 - *ii.* Seasonal and annual water levels and water quality fluctuations are well understood.



9) Have trigger values for contingency plans or site remedial actions been exceeded (where they exist):

Yes	X No	Not applicable
-----	------	----------------

If yes, list value(s) that are/have been exceeded and follow-up action taken

See report
Groundwater CEP Declaration:

I am a licensed professional Engineer or a registered professional geoscientist in Ontario with expertise in hydrogeology, as defined in Appendix D under Instructions. Where additional expertise was needed to evaluate the site monitoring data, I have relied on individuals who I believe to be experts in the relevant discipline, who have co-signed the compliance monitoring report or monitoring program status report, and who have provided evidence to me of their credentials.

I have examined the applicable Certificate of Approval and any other environmental authorizing or control documents that apply to the site. I have read and followed the Monitoring and Reporting for Waste Disposal Sites Groundwater and Surface Water Technical Guidance Document (MOE, 2010, or as amended), and associated monitoring and sampling guidance documents, as amended from time to time. I have reviewed all of the data collected for the above-referenced site for the monitoring period(s) identified in this checklist. Except as otherwise agreed with the ministry for certain parameters, all of the analytical work has been undertaken by a laboratory which is accredited for the parameters analysed to *ISO/IEC 17025:2005 (E)- General requirements for the competence of testing and calibration laboratories*, or as amended from time to time by the ministry.

If any exceptions or potential concerns have been noted in the questions in the checklist attached to this declaration, it is my opinion that these exceptions and concerns are minor in nature and will be rectified for the next monitoring/reporting period. Where this is not the case, the circumstances concerning the exception or potential concern and my client's proposed action have been documented in writing to the Ministry of the Environment District Manager in a letter from me dated:

Recommendations:

Based on my technical review of the monitoring results for the waste disposal site: IN the changes to the monitoring program are recommended The following change(s) to the monitoring program is/are recommended:

IN No changes to the site design and operation are recommended

□ The following change(s) to the site design and operation is/are recommended:

		- 101 - 1 01	IONAL GEOS
Name: Dan Hagan, P.Geo.	Seal:		
Signature:	Date:	Mar21-22	_ DANIEL M. HAGAN
CEP Contact Information:			ONTARIO
Company: Greenview Environmental Telephone No.: Fax No. : 613-332-0057	Address:	E-mail Address:	
November 2010	57	dan.hagan@green	view-environmental.ca

Co-signers for additional expertise provided:

Signature:	Date:
Signature:	Date:

November 2010

Surface Water WDS Verification:

Provide the name of surface water body/bodies potentially receiving the WDS effluent and the approximate distance to the waterbody (including the nearest surface water body/bodies to the site):

Name (s) <u>Constant Creek</u> Distance(s) See report

Based on all available information and site knowledge, it is my opinion that:

Sampling and Monitoring Program Status:

 The current surface water monitoring program continues to effectively characterize the surface water conditions, and includes data that relates upstream/background and downstream receiving water conditions:

V		
X	Yes	L No

If no, identify issues.

See report

 All surface water sampling for the monitoring period being reported was successfully completed in accordance with the Certificate(s) of Approval or relevant authorizing/control document(s) (if applicable):

X _{Yes}	
------------------	--

Not applicable (No C of A, authorizing/ control document applies)

If no, specify below or provide details in an attachment.

No

Surface Water Sampling Location	Description/Explanation for change (change in name or location, additions, deletions)	Date
See Report		
		12

November 2010

 a) Some or all surface water sampling and monitoring program requirements for the monitoring period have been established outside of a ministry C of A or authorizing/control document.

Yes	No	Not applicable

b) If yes, all surface water sampling and monitoring identified under 3 (a) was successfully completed in accordance with the established program from the site, including sampling protocols, frequencies, locations and parameters) as developed per the Technical Guidance Document:

If no, specify below or provide details in an attachment.

Surface Water Sampling Location	Description/Explanation for change (change in name or location, additions, deletions)	Date
See Report		

4) All field work for surface water investigations was done in accordance with standard operating procedures, including internal/external QA/QC requirements, as established/outlined as per the Technical Guidance Document, MOE 2010, or as amended. (Note: A SOP can be from a published source, developed internally by the site owner's consultant, or adopted by the consultant from another organization):



If no, specify:

Sampling and Monitoring Program Results/WDS Conditions and Assessment:

5) The receiving water body meets surface water-related compliance criteria and assessment criteria: i.e., there are no exceedances of criteria, based on MOE legislation, regulations, Water Management Policies, Guidelines and Provincial Water Quality Objectives and other assessment criteria (e.g., CWQGs, APVs), as noted in Table A or Table B in the Technical Guidance Document (Section 4.6):



If no, list parameters that exceed criteria outlined above and the amount/percentage of the exceedance as per the table below or provide details in an attachment:

Parameter	Compliance or Assessment Criteria or Background	Amount by which Compliance or Assessment Criteria or Background Exceeded
e.g. Nickel	e.g. C of A limit, PWQO, background	e.g. X% above PWQO
See report		

6) In my opinion, any exceedances listed in Question 5 are the result of non-WDS related influences (such as background, road salting, sampling site conditions)?

Х Yes

No

If yes, specify

See report

 All monitoring program surface water parameter concentrations fall within a stable or decreasing trend. The site is not characterized by historical ranges of concentrations above assessment and compliance criteria.



If no, list parameters and stations that is outside the expected range. Identify whether parameter concentrations show an increasing trend or are within a high historical range.

See report

8) For the monitoring program parameters, does the water quality in the groundwater zones adjacent to surface water receivers exceed assessment or compliance criteria (e.g., PWQOs, CWQGs, or toxicity values for aquatic biota (APVs)):

Х	Yes	No] Not knowr	n 🗖	Not applicable

If yes, provide details and whether remedial measures are necessary.

See report

9) Have trigger values for contingency plans or site remedial actions been exceeded (where they exist):

Х	No
	Х

Not applicable

If yes, list value(s) that are/have been exceeded and follow-up action taken.

See report

Surface Water CEP Declaration:

I, the undersigned hereby declare that I am a Competent Environmental Practitioner as defined in Appendix D under Instructions, holding the necessary level of experience and education to design surface water monitoring and sampling programs, conduct appropriate surface water investigations and interpret the related data as it pertains to the site for this monitoring period.

I have examined the applicable Certificate of Approval and any other environmental authorizing or control documents that apply to the site. I have read and followed the Monitoring and Reporting for Waste Disposal Sites Groundwater and Surface Water Technical Guidance Document (MOE, 2010, or as amended) and associated monitoring and sampling guidance documents, as amended from time to time. I have reviewed all of the data collected for the above-referenced site for the monitoring period(s) identified in this checklist. Except as otherwise agreed with the ministry for certain parameters, all of the analytical work has been undertaken by a laboratory which is accredited for the parameters analysed to *ISO/IEC 17025:2005 (E)- General requirements for the competence of testing and calibration laboratories*, or as amended from time to time by the ministry.

If any exceptions or potential concerns have been noted in the questions in the checklist attached to this declaration, it is my opinion that these exceptions and concerns are minor in nature or will be rectified for future monitoring events. Where this is not the case, the circumstances concerning the exception or potential concern and my client's proposed action have been documented in writing to the Ministry of the Environment District Manager in a letter from me dated:

Recommendations:

Based on my technical review of the monitoring results for the waste disposal site: ☑ No changes to the monitoring program are recommended □ The following change(s) to the monitoring program is/are recommended:

☑ No changes to the site design and operation are recommended
□ The following change(s) to the site design and operation is/are recommended:

CED Signaturou	
Relevant Discipline P.Geo.	
Date: (yyyy/mm/dd): <u>Mar21-22</u>	
CEP Contact Information:	

Company:Greenview Environmental Management Limited Address: 13 Commerce Court, Bancroft, Ontario, KOL 1C0 Telephone No.: 613-332-0057 Fax No. : E-mail Address: dan.hagan@greenview-environmental.ca

November 2010

Appendix H





Statement of Service Conditions & Limitations

The following conditions and limitations shall form an integral part of any agreement between Greenview and the Client. In the event of duplication or conflict, the most stringent shall supercede the other.

Provision of Services and Payment

Upon documented acceptance of Greenview's proposed services and conditions in written form by the Client, Greenview may commence work on the proposed services directly.

Greenview's offers for services in the form of proposals, quotations, bids, tenders, or other like an offering to a Client are formulated upon available information at the time of the offer submission. In the event of discovery of unknown conditions, or any other unknown circumstance that may arise following the presentation of Greenview's offer to the Client, Greenview reserves the right to negotiate terms with the Client with respect to changes in scope, fees, disbursements, or the like as may be fair and reasonable considering the discovery.

Upon retention of Greenview's services related to any commission, the Client agrees to remit payment for the services rendered for the specified period within (30) days of the invoice date as invoiced by Greenview on a typical monthly basis, unless otherwise arranged between the Client and Greenview. In the event of non-payment by the Client, Greenview reserves the right, without external influence or expense, to discontinue services and retain any documentation, data, reports, or other project information until such time as payment is received by Greenview. Interest on any overdue accounts may be applied accordingly.

Warranty, Limitations, and Reliance

Greenview relies on background and historical information from the Client to determine the appropriate scope of services to meet the Client's objectives, in accordance with applicable legislation, guidelines, industry practices, and accepted methodologies.

Greenview provides its services under the specific terms and conditions of a specific proposal (and where necessary formal contract), in accordance with the above requirements and the *Limitations Act 2002*, as amended, only.

The hypotheses, results, conclusions, and recommendations presented in documentation authored by Greenview are founded on the information provided by the Client to Greenview in preparation for the work. Facts, conditions, and circumstances discovered by Greenview during the performance of the work requested by the Client are assumed by Greenview to be part of preparatory information provided by the Client as part of the proposal stage of the project. Greenview assumes that, until notified or discovered otherwise, that the information provided by, or obtained by Greenview from, the Client is factual, accurate, and represents a true depiction of the circumstances that exist related to the time of the work.

Greenview relies on its Clients to inform Greenview if there are changes to any related information to the work. Greenview does not review, analyze, or attempt to verify the accuracy or completeness of the information or materials provided, or circumstances encountered, other than in accordance with applicable accepted industry practice. Greenview will not be responsible for matters arising from incomplete, incorrect, or misleading information or from facts or circumstances that are not fully disclosed to, or that are concealed from Greenview during the period that proposals, services, work, or documentation preparation was performed by Greenview.

Facts, conditions, information, and circumstances may vary with time and locations and Greenview's services are based on a review of such matters as they existed at the time and location indicated in its documentation. No assurance is made by Greenview that the facts, conditions, information, circumstances or any underlying assumptions made by Greenview in connection with the work performed will not change after the work is completed and documentation is submitted. If any such changes occur or additional information is obtained, Greenview should be advised and requested to consider if the changes or additional information affect its findings or results.

When preparing documentation, Greenview considers applicable legislation, regulations, governmental guidelines, and policies to the extent they are within its knowledge, but Greenview is not qualified to advise with respect to legal matters. The presentation of information regarding applicable legislation, regulations, not intended to and should not be interpreted as constituting a legal opinion concerning the work completed or conditions outlined in a report. All legal matters should be reviewed and considered by an appropriately qualified legal practitioner.

Greenview's services, work and reports are provided solely for the exclusive use of the Client which has retained the services of Greenview and to which its reports are addressed. Greenview is not responsible for the use of its services, work or reports by any other party, or for the reliance on, or for any decision which is made by any party using the services or work performed by or a report prepared by Greenview without Greenview's express written consent. Any party that uses, relies on, or makes a decision based on services or work performed by Greenview or a report prepared by Greenview without Greenview's express written consent, does so at its own risk. Except as set out herein, Greenview specifically disclaims any liability or responsibility to any third party for any loss, damage, expense, fine, penalty or other such thing which may arise or result from the use of, reliance on or decision based on any information, recommendation or other matter arising from the services, work or reports provided by Greenview.

Site Reviews and Assessments

A site assessment is created using data and information collected during the investigation of a site and based on conditions encountered at the time and particular locations at which fieldwork is conducted. The information, sample results and data collected represent the conditions only at the specific times at which and at those specific locations from which the information, samples and data were obtained and the information, sample results and data may vary at other locations and times. To the extent that Greenview's work or report considers any locations or times other than those from which information, sample results and data were specifically received, the work or report is based on a reasonable extrapolation from such information, sample results and data but the actual conditions encountered may vary from those based on extrapolations.

Only conditions, and substances, at the site and locations chosen for study by the Client are evaluated; no adjacent or other properties are evaluated unless specifically requested by the Client. Any physical or other aspects of the site that were not chosen for study by the Client, or any other matter not specifically addressed in a report prepared by Greenview, are beyond the scope of the work performed by Greenview and such matters have not been investigated or addressed.

Confidentiality

Greenview provides its proposals, reports, assessments, designs, and any other work for the sole party identified as the Client or potential Client in the case of proposals.

For proposals specifically, the information contained therein is strictly confidential, proprietary information, and shall not be reproduced or disclosed to any other party than to that of the addressee of the original proposal submission, without prior written permission of Greenview. Any such unauthorised reproduction, in whole or in part, is considered a breach of trust or contract, as applicable by law.

Greenview retains all rights to its working/editable files, documents, calculations, drawings, and all other such information utilized in the preparation of its end deliverables to its Clients. Working documentation is considered to be proprietary, and the sole ownership of Greenview and its subconsultants/subtractors.